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ABSTRACT

Introduction Current models for the endovascular treatment of aneurysms often require the use of adjuvant devices. Balloon-assisted techniques require temporary occlusion of the parent vessel. Intravascular stents require the use of antiplatelet medication. A novel device that achieves temporary neck protection without parent vessel occlusion or antiplatelet medication is examined in a canine model.

Method 20 sidewall wide-necked aneurysms were created in 10 canines, one in each carotid artery, using a vein graft technique, and allowed to mature. In each canine, one aneurysm was catheterized with a microcatheter while the neck protection device (NPD) was unsheathed across the neck of the aneurysm and the aneurysm was coiled. The second aneurysm in each canine was coiled without an adjunctive device. The NPD was assessed for coil herniation, coil entrapment within the device, deployability and retrievability. Five animals were killed immediately and five were killed at 28 days and the carotid artery was explanted and sent for necropsy to assess for injury to the endothelium.

Results All aneurysms were successfully treated with no adverse events. The NPD was well visualized and unsheathed and resheathed successfully in all cases. There was no coil entrapment within the device, and there were no cases of coil herniation. There was no evidence of endothelial injury or dissection.

Conclusion The device was technically successful in all devices and further development of this device may be of benefit in endovascular treatment of aneurysms.

INTRODUCTION

Current endovascular treatment of aneurysms often requires the use of an adjuvant device to prevent coil herniation into the parent vessel during endovascular obliteration of an aneurysm. The balloon-assisted catheterization (BAT) utilizes intermittent occlusion of the parent vessel while a second microcatheter is deploying coils into the aneurysm.1 It is common to inflate and deflate the balloon several times during the treatment, with inflation times varying based upon aneurysm location, collateral vessels and surgeon preference. Patients are heparinized to prevent thrombus formation during occlusion.

Intravascular microstents can also be used to assist in the treatment of aneurysms.2–4 They can be deployed prior to catheterization, which requires microcatheterization of the aneurysm through the stent, or they can be deployed after aneurysm catheterization, effectively jailing the microcatheter into the aneurysm. The former technique can be susceptible to stent shift or migration during the placement of the microcatheter through the stent, while in the latter technique, the jailed microcatheter creates a potential space for coil herniation along the catheter due to incomplete opposition of the stent—parent artery wall interface along the microcatheter. Both techniques require the use of antiplatelet medication to prevent thrombus formation and have been shown to carry a high risk of major morbidity and mortality associated with its use in the setting of ruptured aneurysms.5,6 There is also a small risk of stenosis, which can develop within the stent during the vessel’s healing process.7

A novel temporary aneurysm neck bridge system (TANBS) (Mindframe Inc., Lake Forest, California, USA), which achieves temporary neck protection without parent vessel occlusion or long-term antiplatelet medication is examined in a canine model. The aim of this project is to assess the device as a potential adjunctive device for coil embolization and evaluate the device for safety through histological evaluation of the catheterized vasculature.

METHODS

This study was performed under a protocol approved by the Institutional Animal Care Committee at the Medical University of South Carolina. Analgesia and anesthesia were administered according to the standard operating procedure of the university.

After induction of anesthesia, 20 sidewall aneurysms were created in 10 canines, one in each cervical carotid artery, using a vein graft technique previously described,8 and allowed to mature for 2 weeks. Under anesthesia, the common femoral artery was accessed and a 6F guide catheter was placed into the carotid artery. All aneurysms were coiled using currently available, FDA-approved platinum coils from a single vendor. In each canine, one aneurysm was catheterized with a microcatheter and coiled to occlusion while the TANBS was unsheathed across the neck of the aneurysm. The second aneurysm, if patent, was coiled to occlusion without the use of an adjunctive device. The TANBS was assessed for coil herniation, coil entrapment within the device, radio-opacity, movement through the device, trackability, movement of the guidewire through the system, TANBS deployability, TANBS resheathing and TANBS retrievability using the following grading scale: 1, unsatisfactory; 2, difficult; 3, adequate; 4, good; 5, excellent.

Five animals were killed immediately, and five survived 28 days then were killed, and the carotid arteries were explanted and sent for blinded necropsy at an independent facility to assess for evidence of injury to the endothelium.
Device

The TANBS is a self-expanding, closed-cell nitinol mesh engineered from a laser-cut hypotube in 23-mm and 32-mm lengths, 5 mm in diameter (figure 1). The diameter of the device fully expanded is 5 mm and it has a cell size that is smaller than that of the Solitaire stent (ev3; Plymouth, Minnesota, USA) and similar in cell size to the Neuroform (Boston Scientific, Natick, Massachusetts, USA) and Enterprise (Cordis Neurovascular, Miami Lakes, Florida, USA) stents (figure 2). It is mounted on a pusher created via a hypotube to allow for an over-the-wire design such that the device can be navigated into position over a 0.010 inch = 0.254 millimeters microwire. The inner lumen of the TANBS and pusher is 0.0165 inch = 0.41402 millimeters allowing for a luminal capacity around the 0.010-inch microwire for intra-arterial infusion of saline or medication. The TANBS has an outer diameter of 0.0225 inch = 0.5715 millimeters. The entire system is designed to be delivered through all commercially available 0.027 inch = 0.6858 millimeters microcatheters. The device is deployed by positioning the device across the intended location and withdrawing the catheter, which unsheathes the device. Resheathing is performed by advancing the catheter over the device while it is fixed in position. The outward radial force varies based on the size of the target vessel; however, it is similar to the Solitaire stent, is consistently greater than the Enterprise stent and consistently lower than the Neuroform stent (figure 3).

Histology

The specimens were explanted and evaluated at an independent pathology laboratory for necropsy by a veterinarian pathologist who was blinded to which aneurysm and attached parent artery were catheterized with the TANBS and coiled and which specimens were in the control arm. All specimens were fixed in formalin, then embedded in methyl methacrylate, polished, sectioned and stained with H&E. The parent arteries were examined for evidence of endothelial injury. The aneurysms were graded for the presence of fibroblasts and inflammation using the following scale: 1, minimal; 2, mild; 3, moderate; 4, moderate—severe; 5, severe.

RESULTS

There were 17 aneurysms present for coiling out of the 20 aneurysms originally created. Three aneurysms thrombosed during the 2-week healing period and were occluded on angiography at the time of intended coiling. All 17 patent aneurysms were successfully coiled to complete occlusion and explanted at their respective time-points. Ten of the aneurysms, one in each of the 10 canines, were coiled to occlusion while the TANBS device was deployed across the neck of the aneurysm. The seven remaining aneurysms located on the contralateral carotid artery were coiled to occlusion without the assistance of any neck protection devices. Immediate occlusion and a dense coil mass were achieved in all cases.
The TANBS was successfully moved through the delivery catheter with an excellent grade (score=5) in all cases. The device was navigated into position across the aneurysm neck, deployed and reshathed without adverse events in all 10 aneurysms, receiving an excellent grade (score=5) in all canines. The device was successfully removed in all cases. The 0.010-inch microwire moved well through the TANBS and received an excellent grade in all cases. Coils were successfully placed into the aneurysms without evidence of coil herniation around the device or through the interstices of the device. The device was resheathed following completion of the coiling. There were no instances of coil entrapment within the device or coil mass shifting as a result of the device being removed. The ability to infuse through the TANBS was not assessed in this study.

The radio-opacity of the device was adequate using GE/OEC 9800 C-Arm. The device had excellent visualization while constrained in the catheter as well as when deployed in the parent artery. Angiography did not reveal evidence of vasospasm or vessel dissection following the removal of the device. There was no change in the aneurysm occlusion result following the removal of the device. During device reshathing there was no evidence of interaction with the deployed coils as evidenced by movement of a coil loop or change in configuration of the coil mass (figure 4).

Fibroblasts were associated with all but two aneurysms (chronic arm, non-patent and not coiled). The third uncoiled, non-patent aneurysm (acute arm) was associated with minimal fibroblasts. Four coiled aneurysms had mild fibroblasts, two were in the TANBS group and two were coiled without assistance. The largest aneurysm was associated with the greatest degree of fibrosis (table 1).

In the three aneurysms that were not patent for coiling, there was no inflammatory response. Three specimens in two canines were associated with severe inflammation, both carotids in one canine and the control carotid in a second animal. A third animal had a moderate–severe inflammatory response in the TANBS group. All other aneurysms were associated with minimal or mild inflammatory response. Overall, fibrosis was greatest in the chronic (28-day) group, while inflammation was most prominent in the acute group. The largest aneurysm was partially recanalized at 28 days, occurring in the TANBS group. Three aneurysms were associated with suture-related granulomas. There were no cases of parent vessel endothelial injury, perforation or intramural dissection.

**DISCUSSION**

Aneurysm coiling with currently available devices is commonplace. However, aneurysm coiling often requires the use of an adjunctive device, most often a balloon or microcatheter. These devices have the potential to increase the complexity of the case. The BAT uses temporary parent artery occlusion at the site of the aneurysm to prevent coil herniation into the parent artery.1 The balloon delivery systems are often navigated into position within the same guide catheter, which creates competitive forces between the balloon catheter and the microcatheter due to friction and differences in catheter loading, which may result in the build-up of potential energy within one of the catheter systems. Ovalization of a guide catheter due to tortuosity can potentiate some of these issues. In the canine aneurysm model, there is very little tortuosity and as a result, there was little interaction between the TANBS device and the microcatheter; however, this is more likely to be related to the animal model design. It is anticipated that in a model designed with tortuosity that more closely mimicks the human, there will likely be catheter interactions similar to those seen with balloon remodeling techniques.

Stent-assisted coiling can be accomplished as a stand-alone device, or in addition to the BAT, either after the coiling has been completed or as a balloon in stent technique. Stenting as a stand-alone adjunctive device can be accomplished prior to aneurysm microcatheterization, requiring the microcatheter to navigate through the stent, or after microcatheterization, which effectively ‘jails’ the microcatheter. In the former scenario, the stent has the potential to shift or move, while in the latter, the catheter placement between the stent and the parent vessel creates a small space where the stent is not abutting the artery wall, creating a space for coil loops to herniate.

Both adjunctive devices have the potential to create thromboembolic events, thus judicious management of anticoagulation is required to prevent complication. Although BATs do not require long-term antiplatelet treatment after the procedure, stent placement does.2 Further, the long-term effects of stents implanted in the cerebral vessels remain unknown.

The novel device used in this experiment is designed to incorporate the positive attributes of existing adjuvant devices without the known limitations. The TANBS allows temporary, non-occlusive protection of the parent artery during coil embolization of aneurysms. Unlike implantable microstents, this device does not require long-term antiplatelet therapy because it is removed at the end of the procedure. The TANBS appears to provide a neck protection profile similar to what we expect with stenting. Its potential advantage over BATs of aneurysm coiling is that it provides similar protection of the parent artery without temporary occlusion of the vessel. However, in the setting of an intra-procedural aneurysm rupture, balloons provide definitive and immediate occlusion to limit hemorrhaging, a level of protection that TANBS and stents cannot provide.

The device performed well in all 10 cases and appeared to provide similar neck protection to that of a conventional

---

**Figure 4** Angiograms of the carotid artery with sidewall vein pouch aneurysm. A dime is present at the top of every picture for size reference. (A) Aneurysm prior to treatment. (B) (Native) and (C) (DSA): demonstrating that the temporary aneurysm neck bridge system (TANBS) is deployed across the aneurysm; white arrow, distal marker; double black arrow, proximal marker. Note the two markers present demonstrating that the aneurysm is catheterized. (D) After coiling with microcatheter removed and TANBS present (arrows). (E) Final DSA with TANBS removed demonstrating occlusion of the aneurysm and no evidence of vasospasm or intimal flap/endothelial injury.
microstent. There were no instances of coil entrapment or coil mass shifting during the retrieval of this device. There were no observed instances of coil loops herniating into the lumen of the device. Currently available microstents with similar cell sizes can allow for coil loop herniation through the cells of the microstent; an event that is often easily remedied by reposi-
tioning of the coil. There was one case of an aneurysm recanal-
ization out of the 10 aneurysms treated with the assistance of
this device. There were no instances of parent artery vasospasm
which was con-
clusion.


tor vessel occlusion or long-term antiplatelet medication is
excluded on necropsy.

Analysis of the necropsy demonstrates that there was no
evidence of endothelial injury related to the navigation of the
device into position, its deployment or retrieval as compared
with the control side. As anticipated, aneurysm-related inflam-
mation was more common in the acute arm, while fibrosis
was more consistent in the chronic group. The inflammation
was unusually pronounced in both aneurysms in one canine, and
the control aneurysm in a second canine, with the second
aneurysm in that canine (TANBS aneurysm) having only mild
inflammation. Both of these canines were in the acute arm of
the study. It is unclear why there was such a discrepancy in the
inflammation, but it may be due to variation in the immune
response of the animals, the local vascular supply and the degree
tissue disruption that occurred during the creation of the
aneurysms 2 weeks previously. The largest of the aneurysms had
the greatest degree of fibrosis; however, that was also the
aneurysm that recanalized thrombus within the aneurysm sac
on necropsy at 28 days.

CONCLUSION

The TANBS device was technically successful in all cases and
provided parent artery protection as was intended. There were
no adverse events related to its use. Necropsy demonstrated
that there was no evidence of endothelial injury related to the device.
This device may provide benefits over other currently available
devices designed to assist in the treatment of aneurysms.
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Table 1 Necropsy results at acute and 28 days detailing the degree of fibrosis and inflammation present in the specimens

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Animal number</th>
<th>Tissue</th>
<th>Fibroblasts</th>
<th>Inflammation</th>
<th>Other</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Acute</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CVFAM1</td>
<td>Left carotid</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>NSF</td>
<td>Mononuclear inflammation with fewer neutrophils</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CVFAM2</td>
<td>Left carotid</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>FM</td>
<td>Inflammation is mostly pyogranulomatous</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CVHAMM</td>
<td>Left carotid</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>FM</td>
<td>Inflammation is mostly pyogranulomatous</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CVHBBR</td>
<td>Left carotid</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>Very few neutrophils with hemosiderin-laden macrophages</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CVHBBR</td>
<td>Right carotid</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Granulomas</td>
<td>There are several peripheral suture granulomas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CVY BEF</td>
<td>Right carotid</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>FM</td>
<td>The inflammation is mostly pyogranulomatous</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CVF BEF</td>
<td>Right carotid</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>Inflammation is mostly mononuclear with fewer neutrophils</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CVFAY5</td>
<td>Right carotid</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>Mononuclear inflammation with fewer neutrophils</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CVFAY5</td>
<td>Right carotid</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>Mononuclear with hemosiderophages and fewer granulomas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic: 28 days</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CVFBIM</td>
<td>Left carotid</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>RT</td>
<td>recanalized thrombus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CVFBNG</td>
<td>Right carotid</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>No lumen identified</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CYGAFP</td>
<td>Right carotid</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>NSF</td>
<td>Very few macrophages and lymphoplasmacytes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CYGAFP</td>
<td>Left carotid</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>Mostly mononuclear inflammation with suture granulomas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CYFASK</td>
<td>Right carotid</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>Mostly mononuclear inflammation with suture granulomas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CYFBNG</td>
<td>Left carotid</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>Mononuclear inflammation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CYFBIM</td>
<td>Right carotid</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>Pyogranulomatous inflammation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CYFBNG</td>
<td>Right carotid</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>Mononuclear inflammation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CYHAMN</td>
<td>Left carotid</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>Mostly mononuclear inflammation with suture granulomas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CYHAMN</td>
<td>Right carotid</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>Mononuclear inflammation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CYFBEF</td>
<td>Left carotid</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>Mononuclear inflammation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CYFBEF</td>
<td>Left carotid</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>Mononuclear inflammation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CYFAY5</td>
<td>Left carotid</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>Mononuclear inflammation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CYFAY5</td>
<td>Right carotid</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>Mononuclear inflammation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CYGAFP</td>
<td>Right carotid</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>Mostly mononuclear inflammation with suture granulomas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CYHAMN</td>
<td>Left carotid</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>Mononuclear inflammation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CYHAMN</td>
<td>Left carotid</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>Mononuclear inflammation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CYHBBR</td>
<td>Left carotid</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>Very few neutrophils with hemosiderin-laden macrophages</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CYHBBR</td>
<td>Right carotid</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Granulomas</td>
<td>There are several peripheral suture granulomas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CYFASD</td>
<td>Left carotid</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>Mostly mononuclear inflammation with suture granulomas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CYFBEF</td>
<td>Right carotid</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>The inflammation is mostly pyogranulomatous</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CYGAFP</td>
<td>Right carotid</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>RT</td>
<td>recanalized thrombus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CYHAMN</td>
<td>Left carotid</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>Very few macrophages and lymphoplasmacytes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CYHAMN</td>
<td>Right carotid</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>Mononuclear inflammation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CYFBEF</td>
<td>Right carotid</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>Mononuclear inflammation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CYFBEF</td>
<td>Right carotid</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>Mononuclear inflammation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CYFBEF</td>
<td>Right carotid</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>Mononuclear inflammation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CYFBEF</td>
<td>Right carotid</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>Mononuclear inflammation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CYFBEF</td>
<td>Right carotid</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>Mononuclear inflammation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CYFBEF</td>
<td>Right carotid</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>Mononuclear inflammation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CYFBEF</td>
<td>Right carotid</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>Mononuclear inflammation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CYFBEF</td>
<td>Right carotid</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>Mononuclear inflammation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. minimal; 2. mild; 3. moderate; 4. moderately severe; 5. severe.
FM, foreign material present; NSF, no significant findings.
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