CASE REPORT

Novel approach for independent control of brain hypothermia and systemic normothermia: cerebral selective deep hypothermia for refractory cardiac arrest
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ABSTRACT

A 38-year-old man was found unconscious, alone in the driver’s seat of his car. The emergency medical team identified his condition as pulseless ventricular tachycardia. Defibrillation was attempted but failed. Extracorporeal membrane oxygenation (ECMO) was started in the emergency room 52 min after the estimated arrest following the extracorporeal cardiopulmonary resuscitation (ECPR) protocol in our center. The initial prognosis under the standard protocol was <25% chance of survival. A novel adjunctive to our ECPR protocol, cerebral selective deep (<30°C) hypothermia (CSDH), was applied. CSDH adds a second independent femoral access extracorporeal circuit, perfusing cold blood into the patient’s common carotid artery. The ECMO and CSDH circuits demonstrated independent control of cerebral and core temperatures. Nasal temperature was lowered to below 30°C for 12 hours while core was maintained at normothermia. The patient was discharged without significant neurological deficit 32 days after the initial arrest.

BACKGROUND

Extracorporeal cardiopulmonary resuscitation (ECPR) has become a useful approach in treating adult refractory cardiac arrest patients. The ECPR protocol implemented at our center1 has shown improved survival and neurological outcomes.2 3 Following refractory arrest, when the patient arrives at the emergency room (ER), the ECPR protocol involves application of extracorporeal membrane oxygenation (ECMO) to end their period of low flow ischemia. The ECMO circuit is then used to support recovery of cardiac output over a period of several days before a controlled weaning process is initiated. Currently, systemic therapeutic hypothermia at 33–35°C is a complementary neuroprotection strategy used at our center, applied to the subset of ECPR patients with a Glasgow Coma Scale (GCS) score of <6, as evaluated following ECMO initiation. This procedure is within the current ILCOR guidelines,4 although the guidelines have no specific recommendation for ECPR refractory arrest patients.

Any improved neuroprotection approach would be valuable in refractory cardiac arrest patients and possibly in other patients with ischemic injury. The investigators had two motivations in the design of the cerebral selective deep (<30°C) hypothermia (CSDH) protocol: (1) to enable therapeutic hypothermia to be applied to the cerebral region without negative side effects on the core; (2) to enable application of temperatures below 30°C in ECPR, following two studies of selective hypothermia below 30°C in surgical animal stroke models.5 6

In the present case, implementation of the CSDH protocol demonstrated that it is feasible to establish prolonged (>12 hours) separate temperature controlled zones for the core and cerebral regions. Hence it may be a feasible procedure to allow potential neuroprotective hypothermia to be applied to the brain while not incurring the negative effects of hypothermic temperatures on core organ systems. We do not believe or assert that the case demonstrates efficacy or clinical value, even though the specific outcome was favorable. Evaluation of the efficacy of the CSDH protocol and choice of target temperatures will require larger studies.

CASE PRESENTATION

A bystander found a 38-year-old man unconscious, alone in the driver’s seat. No cardiopulmonary resuscitation (CPR) was performed before arrival of the emergency medical team (EMT). The EMT recorded an initial rhythm of pulseless ventricular tachycardia. Several attempts at defibrillation were made but failed. The patient was transferred to the hospital ER under continuous manual CPR. Initial laboratory reports in the ER showed a pH of 7.03 and lactate value of 6.31. The patient was deemed appropriate for ECPR, and ECMO was set up according to our previous protocols.3 ECMO was started 52 min after the estimated arrest. At that time, the patient had at least 8 min of no flow followed by 44 min of manual CPR after arrival of the EMT. Neurological re-evaluation after ECMO initiation gave a GCS score of 4–5 with intubation (eye, E1; motor, M3–4).

Based on our prior experience, including 230 adult ECPR cases,7 a prognosis was constructed based on out-of-hospital cardiac arrest, age, GCS score, initial rhythm, and period of no flow and low flow before the start of ECMO. For similar patients, the prognosis for survival is 22–25%; the prognosis for a good neurological recovery is...
lower. The patient was expected to have an unfavorable clinical outcome.

INVESTIGATIONS
Based on the prognosis, the medical team offered the patient’s family the option of applying the investigational CSDH protocol as an adjunct to our center’s standard ECPR protocol. All of the hospital’s standard institutional review board emergency approval procedures were followed for the case reported here.

TREATMENT
The CSDH protocol was applied as an adjunct to the standard ECPR protocol by adding a second independent extracorporeal circuit, as shown in figure 1. The first circuit was inserted in the ER for standard ECMO/ECPR, and the second was inserted through the opposite leg, implementing CSDH. Each extracorporeal circuit has an independent heat exchange system, allowing independent temperature control. The time course and strategy of the underlying ECPR protocol is not changed by CSDH, and the CSDH circuit can be established and removed without disruption of the ECPR protocol. The cannula for the CSDH circuit is a 14 F concentric lumen catheter (TwinFlo; ThermopeutiX, San Diego, USA). The TwinFlo catheter is inserted through a single puncture in the left femoral artery and its outer lumen is placed in the aortic arch, while its inner lumen is advanced into the right internal carotid artery (figure 2).

Systemic hypothermia was started in the intensive care unit using the ECMO circuit heat exchange system. The initial systemic target temperature was 34°C, as measured with a bladder temperature probe.

After the consent process, the patient was sent to the catheterization laboratory to set up the CSDH circuit in the right carotid artery. Angiography was performed to confirm the patency of the coronary artery and absence of carotid stenosis. After insertion of the CSDH circuit, the patient was sent back to the intensive care unit for CSDH cooling initiation and operation.

The patient’s temperature was monitored using probes at the bladder, right (ipsilateral to the cooling catheter tip) nasal, and left nasal locations. At the start of systemic hypothermia, the ECMO circuit heat exchanger is initially set to maintain bladder temperature at 34°C.

The CSDH protocol was designed to cool to the target temperature and to hold that temperature for 12 hours, followed by gradual rewarming at 0.5°C/hour. The target temperature was a right nasal temperature of 27±3°C. The flow in the CSDH circuit was kept between 200 and 250 mL/min during the procedure, as needed. Figure 3 shows the observed temperature profiles during the CSDH part of the procedure. While CSDH was operating, the ECMO circuit was used to maintain core (bladder) temperature in a normothermic range. During CSDH, the right and left nasal probes were within 1.5±0.58°C of each other.

CSDH cooling started at hour 13, target temperature was reached at hour 15, rewarming started at hour 29, and rewarming ended and the CSDH circuit was withdrawn at hour 51.

Figure 1  Schematic diagram of the protocol. The extracorporeal membrane oxygenation–cerebral selective deep hypothermia (ECMO–CSDH) protocol uses two independent extracorporeal circuits: the one for standard ECMO is established using a cut-down in the emergency room and continues for 3–5 days; the other is established by a single standard femoral percutaneous puncture for placement of the TwinFlo cannula. CSDH circuit inserts, operates, and may be removed independently of the ECMO circuit.

Figure 2  The TwinFlo catheter used in the cerebral selective deep hypothermia protocol. The outer lumen opening (A) is placed into the aortic arch and core temperature blood is removed through the outer lumen. The distal tip of the inner lumen (B) is advanced into the internal carotid and cooled blood is injected there.
Following the center’s standard ECPR protocol, activated clotting time was monitored every hour for the first 4 hours until stable, and then every 4–8 hours for the rest of the protocol. Continuous heparin was infused to maintain an activated clotting time of 200–220 s.

On day 3, the patient experienced a mild seizure of the face which was controlled with valproic acid. At that time a corticosteroid was administered to block potential swelling.

Echocardiography demonstrated good ventricular function recovery at hour 54, after which the ECMO weaning process started. The ECMO circuit was removed at hour 81 without complications.

OUTCOME AND FOLLOW-UP

The time course of the patient’s recovery was faster than expected. The patient’s consciousness level improved to E3M5 on day 4 and to E4M6 on day 5. The patient was extubated on day 8 without obvious motor neurological signs except for short term memory loss. Brain CT and MRI studies 1 week after the episode demonstrated several minimal infarcts without significant symptoms.

On day 9, the patient was diagnosed with Brugada syndrome, and on day 11 was treated by implantation of a cardioverter defibrillator. The patient was discharged without significant neurological deficits (Cerebral Performance Categories Scale 1) 32 days after the episode. The patient returned to his professional work as a urologist 2 months later.

DISCUSSION

This is the first case presentation of CSDH for refractory out-of-hospital cardiac arrest in a patient. The long no flow (at least 8 min) and low flow (44 min) period before ECMO initiation was expected to hinder the recovery of the neurological insult, and we thought recovery might take more than 2–3 weeks. The cerebral temperature applied was much lower than that previously obtainable by systemic means. Recovery from the neurological insult was more rapid than expected.

Several initial observations about implementation of the CSDH protocol in this case included: (a) a difference of 5–6°C between the core (bladder) and ipsilateral nasal temperatures was achieved and sustained; (b) left and right nasal probes were within ±1.5°C; (c) within 2 hours from the start of CSDH, cerebral temperature had dropped below 30°C; (d) the protocol demonstrated rapid achievement of the cerebral target and a 12 hour stable hold of core normothermia and the target cerebral temperature; and (e) the same system provided adequate controlled rewarming.

This case demonstrates that implementing a CSDH protocol is feasible. We do not believe or assert that the case demonstrates efficacy or clinical value, even though the specific outcome was favorable. Evaluation of the efficacy of the CSDH protocol and choice of target temperatures will require larger studies.
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