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ABSTRACT

Chronic subdural hematoma (cSDH) is one of the most common neurosurgical diagnoses in adults. The disease is fundamentally a disorder of the meningeal blood vessels, and options exist for the minimally invasive neuroendovascular management. We review the potential role for the endovascular management of cSDH within the context of a discussion of the epidemiology, pathophysiology, and conventional management of this disease.

THE DISEASE

Chronic subdural hematoma (cSDH) is defined in the present discussion as a subdural collection of blood with components that are hypodense or isodense to brain on CT imaging. While the duration of the process is often unclear at the time of the initial diagnosis, it is thought that the process develops over a period of 3 or more weeks. At the time of diagnosis, many patients (—9%) may have a superimposed component of acute subdural blood intermixed with the chronic components and a history of recent trauma. In these cases it is important to differentiate the process from a hyperacute subdural hematoma (SDH) or an acute SDH in a patient who is anticoagulated, as the isolated acute traumatic SDH represents a different pathology altogether.1–5

CSDH IS A CEREBROVASCULAR PATHOLOGY

cSDHs have a tendency to persist and gradually increase in volume over time. The disease is thought to be related to a cycle of chronic inflammation and angiogenesis. An original hemorrhage forms and fibrinolysis ensues with liquefaction of the initial clot. The subsequent blood breakdown products stimulate inflammation and thickening of the inner dural layer (ie, ‘dural border cells’). This process incites angiogenesis with an ingrowth of immature capillaries, which chronically leak blood. These microhemorrhages result in the progressive enlargement of the collection with increased fibrinolysis and further angiogenesis, membrane formation, and vessel proliferation. The rate of accumulation of blood products outpaces physiological reabsorption and the collection gradually enlarges. Thus the entire basis for the pathology is the formation of leaky vascular membranes, which incite a positive feedback cycle of continued hemorrhage, inflammation, and angiogenesis.6–8

Treatment strategies have been aimed at interrupting this cycle and tipping this balance back in favor of hemorrhage reabsorption. Surgical therapies involve the irrigation and removal of the blood products, sometimes with partial resection of these vascular membranes.6 Investigational medical therapies have employed various strategies, which include reducing the rate of microhemorrhage from the membranes, changing the osmotic environment, or altering angiogenesis.7 Endovascular therapies are aimed at de-vascularizing these membranes.8–11

THE SCOPE OF THE PROBLEM

cSDH is an extremely common disease process which is increasing in frequency each year.12 With the aging population and the prevalent use of anti-coagulation and antiplatelet medications, by 2030, there will be more than 60,000 new cases of SDH per year, making it the most common neurosurgical diagnosis in adults at that time (with some estimates as high as 17–20 cases per 100,000 persons in the USA per year).13 This is more than double the rate of aneurysmal subarachnoid hemorrhage in the USA today.

Studies on the outcomes of cSDH patients have verified that this is a disabling and deadly diagnosis.14 Making the diagnosis itself can be challenging clinically, as patients present with a myriad of different symptoms. These symptoms are often initially non-focal and insidiously progressive and may not instigate early neuroimaging. Patients often present with behavioral and cognitive decline, and in this age population these changes can be easily attributed to progressive dementia, particularly if a diagnosis of early dementia has been assigned before. In fact, cSDH represents a significant etiology of reversible dementia. Other common symptoms include chronic headache, speech impairment, gait instability, seizures, and ultimately focal neurological signs with hemiparesis.

In-hospital morbidity and mortality rates vary widely, but have typically been reported to be relatively low, ranging between 2% and 5%.7–10 In contrast, the 6 month and 1 year mortality rates have been consistently estimated at 30%,14 15 16 indicating that, like hip fracture or ischemic stroke, cSDH represents a significant sentinel health event which portends a marked reduction in life span for patients when compared with age matched controls.

CONVENTIONAL MANAGEMENT

There are no established guidelines for the management of patients with cSDH. As such, management strategies vary widely between individual physicians and institutions, and this variability is reflected in the literature.12–14 In general, conservative management is restricted to patients who are asymptomatic or have minor symptoms, with a cSDH measuring <10mm in greatest thickness
and with <5 mm of midline shift. For other patients with more severe symptoms or larger cSDH, surgical management is generally favored.

**Surgical management of cSDH**

The percentage of patients who undergo surgery versus conservative management varies widely in the literature, from a low of 30% to almost 90%. Surgical approaches have traditionally included twist drill hole, burr hole, and craniotomy based evacuations. Although many small comparative studies exist comparing the various surgical management techniques to each other, we have not found any organized studies that we are aware of comparing surgical management with observation.

Outcomes after surgical removal have generally been reported to be favorable, however, one major challenge is the tendency of the cSDH to recur after the initial evacuation, often times with neurological deterioration and a requirement for urgent or emergent re-operation. Reported rates of recurrence vary widely, ranging from 2% to 37% in various observational single center series, with most estimates falling between 10% and 20%. A second major challenge with surgical intervention is the requirement for the complete reversal of anticoagulant agents, which are concomitant medications in a larger and larger proportion of afflicted patients. This necessary preoperative intervention comes with its own set of potential ischemic complications in this cohort. Finally, most patients with cSDH are elderly and have major comorbidities which could potentially complicate cranial surgery, and as such, less invasive options are attractive for selected patients.

**Conservative management of cSDH**

Success rates for the conservative management of cSDH also vary widely between studies. Many authors state that spontaneous resolution of symptomatic cSDH is an unusual phenomenon with some studies reporting success as low as 5% or less. Several medical management strategies have been applied in cSDH patients, including steroids, platelet activating factor antagonists, and statins. One of the more recent and prospective trials of medical management with steroids reported that of 26 consecutive patients (average hematoma size 21.9 mm) treated with dexamethasone, 15 (57.6%) failed and required surgical bailout. Other older, typically retrospective, series have reported somewhat more optimistic results in selected cSDH patients treated with steroids, with failure rates ranging between 15% and 30%. A recent small retrospective case control trial evaluating statins in cSDH reported that of 24 patients, deterioration requiring surgery occurred in 2 of 12 (16.7%) patients treated with atorvastatin versus 7 of 12 (58.3%) in a case control arm. In a prospective study of a platelet activating factor antagonist, it was reported that 13 of 24 (54%) of the treatment group and 27 of 29 (93%) of the control group failed conservative/medical management and required surgery. In summary, when reviewing the literature for conservative and/or medical management, the available studies are vexingly small and poorly controlled, and the reported rates of treatment failure requiring surgical rescue vary widely between 15% and 90%. As such, the natural history of the disease is challenging to ascertain.

In addition, observational or medical therapies require prolonged hospitalization with immobilization or reduced activity, numerous serial imaging studies, and the discontinuation of antplatelet and anticoagulant medications for a protracted period of time. Thus while avoiding surgery may be beneficial in some patients, this strategy also has potentially detrimental aspects to it.

**Middle meningeal artery embolization**

The goal of middle meningeal artery (MMA) embolization is to devascularize the subdural membranes to a sufficient extent such that the balance is shifted from the continued leakage and accumulation of blood products towards reabsorption. MMA embolization has been employed as the sole therapy (figure 1) and as a preoperative or postoperative adjunct to surgical evacuation with the intention of reducing postoperative recurrence. The procedure has typically been achieved with polyvinyl alcohol (PVA) particle embolization. Several small case series have reported success with this approach.

To date, the most rigorous evaluation of this treatment strategy was reported by Ban et al, who described outcomes in 72 consecutive patients who underwent MMA embolization, as sole therapy (27) or prior to surgical evacuation (45). The outcomes in the MMA embolization group were compared with a historical control group of 469 cSDH patients who were treated conventionally. They defined treatment failure as either surgical rescue (ie, an operation in patients originally managed conservatively or

---

**Figure 1**  Adult patient presenting with a mixed density, chronic, left subdural hematoma with associated local mass effect (A). The patient underwent embolization of the middle meningeal artery branches with Onyx-18 (Medtronic, Irvine, California, USA). Follow-up CT (B) performed 12 weeks after the embolization demonstrates near complete resolution of the chronic subdural hematoma with resolution of the associated mass effect.

---

a re-operation after initial surgical management) or the incomplete resolution or re-accumulation of a subdural hematoma measuring >10 mm at the 6-month follow-up CT. Of the 27 patients undergoing MMA embolization as sole therapy, there were no (0%) treatment failures. Of the 45 patients undergoing MMA embolization prior to a planned surgical resection, there was only 1 (2.2%) failure. When compared with case control data from the same institution, they reported failure in 56 of 67 (83.6%) patients initially managed conservatively and in 73/402 (18.2%) initially managed surgically. When reported as a composite, MMA embolization was successful in 71/72 (98.6%) cases compared with a success rate of 340/469 (72.5%) in the control arm (P<0.001). Kim et al reported a smaller case control study comparing cSDH recurrence rates in surgical patients who underwent perioperative MMA embolization versus those who were treated conventionally. In this series of 20 patients undergoing MMA embolization, only 1 recurred (5%). Of the 23 treated conventionally, 8 (34.7%) recurred (P=0.024).

Interestingly, in both of these case control studies, patients in the embolization arm were more likely to be on antplatelet medications, and in the Kim et al study were more likely to have bilateral cSDH, in comparison with the conventional treatment arm. Conceivably, both of these variables would be expected to bias the results against the embolization cohort. Moreover, these data suggest that MMA embolization for sole treatment avoids the need for the disruption of anticoagulation and antplatelet medications in these patients. In fact, in the Ban study, a significant percentage of the complications in the surgical arm of the case control group resulted either from ischemic events secondary to the reversal or discontinuation of antithrombotic medications or hemorrhagic events secondary to thrombocytopenia or the inadequate reversal of antithrombotic medications.

Srivastan et al presented a meta-analysis of nine of the available MMA embolization case series and reported a markedly lower recurrence rate for cSDH after embolization compared with conventional management (2.1% vs 27.7%, OR 0.087, 95%CI 0.026 to 0.292, P<0.001).

Thus while the available data are certainly preliminary, they are promising, and indicate a potential role for MMA embolization for both the sole management and as a surgical adjunct for many patients with cSDH.

**TECHNICAL CONSIDERATIONS IN MIDDLE MENINGEAL ARTERY EMBOLIZATION**

While the vast majority of the existing case series were performed with PVA particulate embolysate, the potential advantages of liquid embolic agents for this purpose should be considered. First, particulates will only penetrate as distally as flow will allow. In older patient populations with cSDH, these meningeal arteries are often times very small. As such, the microcatheter is not infrequently as large, or nearly as large, as the targeted vessel. For this reason, only a very small volume of PVA can be injected and the degree of distal penetration is limited. On the contrary, for both the sole management and as a surgical adjunct for many patients with cSDH.
embolic agents are permanently opacified and their distribution is easily visualized (figures 2 and 3). This makes it much easier to gauge the distribution of the embolic agent and to visualize and control any potentially dangerous reflux. Finally, unlike PVA, liquid embolic agents are not resorbed and provide a durable and permanent embolization of the meningeal vasculature.

The advantages of PVA are that the existing data have largely been generated with this agent, it is inexpensive in comparison with liquid embolics, and the procedure can be performed under conscious sedation.

CONCLUSION

The existing literature describing the natural history and surgical outcomes for patients with cSDH is composed largely of single center, retrospective, self-adjudicated case series. Most of the existing trials are neither prospective nor randomized and either compare different surgical techniques or are very small studies comparing a medical management strategy to observation. For this reason, it is difficult to ascertain a sense of the natural history of the group of cSDH patients who do not require emergency surgery. Superimposed on this background of uncertainty is the new minimally invasive technique of MMA embolization. While the existing studies suggest a robust treatment effect, they are relatively small and also not prospective or randomized. As such, an adequately sized, multicenter, prospective randomized controlled trial of MMA embolization both as sole therapy and as a surgical adjunct is needed. Such a trial will be critical in defining the safety and effectiveness (or lack thereof) of MMA embolization. Moreover, the data on conventional management will also be important in defining both the natural history and surgical outcomes for this disease state.
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