primary branch while the compliant balloon can seal the aneurysm neck and temporarily occlude the secondary branch.

Conclusion This device can improve the placement of devices, such as coils and a new generation of liquid embolics, into an aneurysm sac without blocking the parent vessel in the short-term, nor leaving metal in the parent vessel long-term. A new stent-balloon device would allow neurointerventionalists a less-restricted time window to deploy embolic devices and obtain a more complete aneurysm sac fill while concurrently limiting any protrusion or migration of the embolic devices downstream.

Disclosures O. Asgari: None. H. Sodawalla: None. T. Becker: None.

E-229

ANEURYSM RECURRENCE IN ANTERIOR COMMUNICATING ARTERY ANEURYSMS TREATED WITH HYDROGEL COATED COILS COMPARED TO BARE METAL COILS

J MacDonell*, N Field, P Entezami, A Boulos, J Dalfino, A Paul. *Department of neurosciences and Experimental Therapeutics, Albany Medical College, Albany, NY*

10.1136/neurintsurg-2020-SNIS.260

Introduction/Purpose Anterior communicating artery aneurysms (ACoAAs) account for 30 to 37% of all intracranial aneurysms and are additionally the most common location of subarachnoid hemorrhages (SAH).^{1,2} Endovascular coiling has been shown to be a safe treatment option for patients with intracranial aneurysms but there remains concern regarding potentially higher recurrence and subsequently higher retreatment rates. Preliminary results from the hydrogel endovascular aneurysm treatment (HEAT) trial have demonstrated reduced recurrence rates in aneurysms treated with hydrogel coated coils. Hydrogel coated coils result in higher mean packing density however their effects on recurrence rates have yet to be elucidated. In this study we compare recurrence and retreatment rates in ACoAAs treated with hydrogel coated coils to those treated with bare metal coils.

Materials and Methods A retrospective chart review was done on all patients >18 years old with an ACoAA treated with endovascular coiling between 2014 and 2018. Follow up imaging included either magnetic resonance angiography (MRA) or cerebral angiography. Aneurysm recurrence was defined as any progress on the Raymond aneurysm occlusion scale on post-operative imaging. Treatment groups were divided into hydrogel coated coil or bare metal coil. Patients were categorized as hydrogel coated coil when $\geq 70\%$ of the coil length was hydrogel coated. Patients with <70% hydrogel coated coils were placed in the bare metal coil treatment group. Fisher exact test was used to determine statistical significance.

Results 84 ACOAA were treated with coil embolization between 2014 and 2018. Post-operative imaging was available for 68 patients. 26 patients were categorized into the hydrogel treatment group and 42 into the bare metal treatment group. Of the 68 patients, 50 (74%) presented with aneurysm rupture. Sixty five percent (17/26) of patients in the hydrogel group and 79% (33/42) patients in the bare metal group presented with subarachnoid hemorrhage ($p=0.26$). Aneurysm recurrence was seen in 7.7% (2/26) of patients treated with hydrogel coated coils compared to 33.3% (14/42) of those treated with bare metal coils ($p=0.03$) (table 1). Sub-analysis

of patients presenting with aneurysm rupture revealed decrease recurrence rates in patients treated with hydrogel coated coils at 5.9% (1/17) compared to patients treated with bare metal coils at 39.4% (13/33) ($p=0.01$).

Conclusion Hydrogel coated coils may reduce recurrence rates in the treatment of both ruptured and unruptured ACoAAs.

REFERENCES

1. Cai W., et al., Anterior communicating artery aneurysm morphology and the risk of rupture. *World Neurosurg* 2018;**109**:119–126
2. Dehdashti AR, Chiluwal AK, Regli L. The implication of anterior communicating complex rotation and 3-dimensional computerized tomography angiography findings in surgical approach to anterior communicating artery aneurysms. *World Neurosurg* 2016;**91**:34–42.

Disclosures J. MacDonell: None. N. Field: None. P. Entezami: None. A. Boulos: None. J. Dalfino: None. A. Paul: None.

E-230

COMPARISON OF PED AND FRED FLOW DIVERTERS FOR POSTERIOR CIRCULATION ANEURYSMS: A PROPENSITY-SCORE MATCHED COHORT STUDY

¹C Griessenauer*, ²A Enriquez-Marulanda, ³S Xiang, ³T Hong, ³H Zhang, ⁴P Taussky, ⁴R Grandhi, ⁴M Waqas, ⁵V Tutino, ⁵A Siddiqui, ⁵E Levy, ²C Ogilvy, ²A Thomas, ⁶C Ulfert, ⁶M Möhlenbruch, ⁷L Renieri, ⁷N Limbucci, ⁸C Parra-Fariñas, ⁹J Burkhardt, ⁹P Kan, ¹⁰L Rinaldo, ¹⁰G Lanzino, ¹⁰W Brinjikji, ¹¹E Müller-Thies-Broussalis, ¹¹M Killer-Oberpfalzer, ¹²C Islak, ¹²N Kocer, ¹³M Sonnberger, ¹⁴T Engelhorn, ¹⁵M Ghuman, ¹⁵V Yang, ¹⁶A Salehani, ¹⁶M Harrigan, ¹⁷I Radovanovic, ¹⁷A Dmytriw. ¹Geisinger Health System, Danville, PA; ²Beth Israel Deaconess, Boston, MA; ³Capital Medical University, Beijing, China; ⁴University of Utah, Salt Lake City, UT; ⁵University of Buffalo, Buffalo, NY; ⁶University of Heidelberg, Heidelberg, Germany; ⁷University of Florence, Florence, Italy; ⁸St. Michael's Hospital, Toronto, ON, Canada; ⁹Baylor, Houston, TX; ¹⁰Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN; ¹¹Paracelsus University, Salzburg, Austria; ¹²Cerrahpaşa Medical School, Istanbul, Turkey; ¹³Kepler Universitätsklinikum Linz, Linz, Austria; ¹⁴University Hospital Erlangen, Erlangen, Germany; ¹⁵Sunnybrook Health Sciences Centre, Toronto, ON, Canada; ¹⁶University of Alabama, Birmingham, AL; ¹⁷Toronto Western Hospital, Toronto, ON, Canada

10.1136/neurintsurg-2020-SNIS.261

Introduction/Purpose Flow diversion is a popular endovascular treatment for cerebral aneurysms, but studies comparing different types of flow diverters are scarce. Here, we performed a propensity score-matched cohort study comparing the Pipeline Embolization Device (PED) and Flow Redirection Intraluminal Device (FRED) for posterior circulation aneurysms.

Materials and Methods Consecutive aneurysms of the posterior circulation treated at 25 neurovascular centers with either PED or FRED were collected. Propensity score matching was used to control for age, duration of follow-up imaging, adjunctive coiling, and aneurysm location, size, and morphology; previously ruptured aneurysms were excluded. The two devices were compared for the following outcomes: procedural complications, aneurysm occlusion, and functional outcome.

Results A total of 375 aneurysms of the posterior circulation were treated in 369 patients. The PED was used in 285 (77.2%) and FRED in 84 (22.8%) of procedures. Aneurysms treated with the PED were more commonly fusiform in morphology and larger in size compared to the FRED aneurysms. To account for these important differences, propensity score matching was performed resulting in 44 PED and FRED unruptured aneurysm pairs. There were no differences between the two devices in terms of occlusion status, functional outcome, and neurologic complications.

Conclusion Comparative analysis of PED and FRED for the treatment of unruptured posterior circulation aneurysms did not identify significant differences in aneurysm occlusion status