Abstract E-062 Table 2 Predictors of FPE (mTICI2c-3)

	Odds ratio	95%CI	P value
Irregular occlusion shape	1.756	1.049–2.940	0.03
ASPECTS	1.151	0.982–1.348	0.08
Hyperlipidemia	1.697	0.976–2.953	0.06

rates of FPE and functional independence (90-day mRS 0–2). Uni- and multivariable analyses were performed to identify predictors of FPE. A secondary analysis was the interaction between the first line MT technique and the shape of occlusion on the FPE.

Results A total of 319 were included in the analysis, the mean age was 65.1 years, 168 (52.7%) were females. Median [IQR] baseline NIHSS score was 17 [12–21] and ASPECTS was 8 [7–9]. Patients with the regular shape of occlusion had a significantly higher frequency of smoking (28.5% vs. 18.5%, $p=0.037$). Cardioembolic strokes were more frequently presented with the regular shape of occlusion (53.1% vs. 43.9%). Conversely, intracranial atherosclerosis was significantly associated with irregular shape of occlusion (13.2% vs. 6%), $p=0.04$. Irregular occlusion shape had significantly lower median number of MT passes to achieve successful reperfusion (1 [1–2] vs. 2 [1–3], $p=0.01$ and higher rates of FPE 46% vs. 34.6%, $p=0.04$) and a trend to lower rates of parenchymal hematoma type 2 (2.6% vs. 6.9%, $p=0.067$). There was no significant interaction between first-line MT technique and the shape of occlusion on FPE. Functional independence and 90-day mortality were similar between the two groups. On multivariable analysis, the irregular shape of occlusion was an independent predictor of FPE (OR 1.756; 95% CI[1.049–2.940], $p=0.03$).

Conclusions The angiographic shape of M1 occlusion is a predictor of FPE. Large prospective multicenter studies are warranted for a better understanding of whether the occlusion appearance guides the selection of the MT techniques and hence potentially increase the chance of FPE.

Disclosures M. Mohamaden: None. D. Haussen: 2; C; Stryker, Vesalio. 4; C; Viz.AI. L. Pisani: None. A. Al-Bayati: None. S. Belagaje: None. N. Bhatt: None. M. Frankel: None.

R. Nogueira: 2; C; Phenox, Anaconda, Genentech, Brainomix, Viz-AI

E-063

PRIMARY RESULTS FROM THE PREDICT (PRE-HOSPITAL EMERGENCY LVO DETECTION DURING INITIAL CARE TRANSPORT) STUDY: A PROSPECTIVE, MULTI-CENTER, NON-INFERIORITY COMPARISON BETWEEN THE NOVEL PREDICT COMPOSITE 4-ITEM SCALE AND THE RAPID ARTERIAL OCCLUSION EVALUATION (RACE) SCALE TO DETECT LARGE-VESEL OCCLUSION (LVO) STROKES WHEN ADMINISTERED BY US-BASED EMS PERSONNEL TO SUSPECTED STROKE PATIENTS AT THE INITIAL PRE-HOSPITAL ENCOUNTER

¹R James III*, ¹A Cruz, ¹E Fortuny, ¹B Ugiliweneza, ¹D Wang, ²A White, ¹N Khattar, ¹S Adams, ¹B Gallimore, ¹D Ding, ³S Wolfe, ⁴D Heck. ¹Neurosurgery, University of Louisville, Louisville, KY; ²Radiology, University of Louisville, Louisville, KY; ³Neurosurgery, Wake Forest Baptist Health, Lexington, NC; ⁴Radiology, Forsythe Medical Center, Winston-Salem, NC

10.1136/neurintsurg-2020-SNIS.99

Introduction Accurate identification of large vessel occlusion (LVO) strokes in the pre-hospital setting is imperative to reduce time to thrombectomy and improve outcomes. Prior to this study, RACE was the only stroke severity scale designed to detect LVO that had been prospectively validated with Emergency Medical Services (EMS). The goal of our non-inferiority study is to prospectively validate and compare the novel PREDICT-3 item scale, PASS scale, and a composite of these two scales: the PREDICT Composite 4-item (PREDICT-4) scale to the RACE scale in detecting LVO in the prehospital setting.

Methods Adult patients suspected of having a stroke by EMS and transported to a participating comprehensive stroke center had both PREDICT-4 and RACE scales administered prospectively and recorded in a secure web-based database. Admission NIHSS score and final diagnosis were also recorded. Cerebrovascular imaging studies (CTA, MRA or DSA) were reviewed by a blinded, independent neuroradiologist to determine LVO diagnosis. LVO was defined as occlusion of intracranial internal carotid artery (ICA), middle cerebral artery (MCA) M1

Abstract E-063 Figure 1A Receiver Operating Characteristic (ROC) curve illustrating the sensitivity, specificity and area under the curve of the PREDICT-3 scale. **1B** Receiver Operating Characteristic (ROC) curve illustrating the sensitivity, specificity and area under the curve of the RACE Scale

Abstract E-063 Table 1 Comparing accuracy of the individual scales performed in this trial

StrokeSeverity Scale	Score Range	Optimal Score Cut Point (CP)	Overall Accuracy	Sensitivity	Specificity	Area Under the Curve	PPV	NPV	Odds Ratio
PREDICT-3	0–3	≥3	0.75	0.45	0.80	0.65, 95% CI [0.55–0.75]	0.26	0.90	1.72, 95% CI [1.17, 2.53]
PASS	0–3	≥2	0.61	0.74	0.59	0.67, 95% CI [0.57, 0.77]	0.22	0.94	1.93, 95% CI [1.26, 3.0]
PREDICT-4	0–4	≥3	0.67	0.55	0.69	0.64, 95% CI [0.54, 0.75]	0.21	0.91	1.51, 95% CI [1.10, 2.05]
RACE	0–9	≥6	0.79	0.74	0.80	0.80, 95% CI [0.72, 0.88]	0.37	0.95	1.57, 95% CI [1.32, 1.87]
RACE (at traditional CP)	0–9	≥5	0.74	0.77	0.74	0.80, 95% CI [0.72, 0.88]	0.31	0.96	1.57, 95% CI [1.32, 1.87]

portion, or proximal aspect of single or multiple M2 branches of MCA, or basilar artery (BA). We used SAS and c-statistics to create receiver-operating characteristic (ROC) curves to determine the area under the curve (AUC) and optimal score cut point (CP) for each scale. We also calculated the sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value (PPV), negative predictive value (NPV) and overall accuracy for each optimal CP score.

Results 250 subjects were enrolled, 17 were excluded for lack of neurovascular imaging, 1 was excluded for incomplete stroke scale data, leaving 232 subjects who met inclusion criteria for analysis. 31 subjects had LVO and the prevalence of LVO was 13.4%. In table 1, we report the performance of each stroke severity scale at their respective optimal CP. In our study, the optimal CP for RACE was ≥6 while the original RACE study was ≥5.

Conclusions This large, US-based, prospective, pre-hospital, EMS-administered study showed greater AUC for RACE. However, all three scales were non-inferior in AUC with respect to RACE. These newer, simpler scales may have acceptable diagnostic ability for LVO and be more easily adopted by EMS.

Disclosures R. James: 1; C; Medtronic, Medtronic. A. Cruz: None. E. Fortuny: None. B. Ugiliweneza: None. D. Wang: None. A. White: None. N. Khattar: None. S. Adams: None. B. Gallimore: None. D. Ding: None. S. Wolfe: None. D. Heck: None.

E-064 DIFFERENTIAL RESPONSE OF ATRIAL FIBRILLATION ASSOCIATED STROKE WITH THROMBOLYSIS AND MECHANICAL THROMBECTOMY

¹F Akbik*, ²A Alawieh, ³C Cawley, ²B Howard, ²F Tong, ³F Nahab, ¹O Samuels, ⁴I Maier, ⁵W Feng, ⁶N Goyal, ⁷R Starke, ⁸A Rai, ⁹K Fargen, ¹⁰M Anadani, ¹¹M Psychogios, ¹²R De Leacy, ¹⁰S Keyrouz, ¹³T Dumont, ¹⁴P Kan, ¹⁵J Lena, ¹⁶J Liman, ¹⁷A Arthur, ¹⁸L Eljovich, ¹⁹D McCarthy, ²⁰V Saini, ²¹S Wolfe, ¹²J Mocco, ¹²J Fifi, ²²F Nascimento, ¹⁰J Giles, ²³R Crosa, ²⁴W Fox, ²⁵B Gory, ¹⁵A Spiotta, ²J Grossberg. ¹Neurology, Neurosurgery, Emory University, Atlanta, GA; ²Neurosurgery, Emory University, Atlanta, GA; ³Neurology, Emory University, Atlanta, GA; ⁴Neurology, Universitätsmedizin Göttingen, Göttingen, GERMANY; ⁵Neurology, Duke University, Durham, NC; ⁶Neurology, UT Memphis, Memphis, TN; ⁷Neurosurgery, University of Miami, Miami, FL; ⁸Radiology, West Virginia University, Morgantown, WV; ⁹Wake Forest Health, Winston-Salem, NC; ¹⁰Neurology, Washington University in St. Louis, St. Louis, MO; ¹¹Neuroradiology, University Medical Center Göttingen, Göttingen, GERMANY; ¹²Neurosurgery, Mount Sinai Hospital, New York, NY; ¹³Neurosurgery, Banner University of Arizona Medical Center, Tucson, AZ; ¹⁴Neurosurgery, Baylor College of Medicine, Houston, TX; ¹⁵Neurosurgery, Medical University of South Carolina, Charleston, SC; ¹⁶Neurology, University Medical Center Göttingen, Göttingen, GERMANY; ¹⁷Neurosurgery, Semmes Murphy, Memphis, TN; ¹⁸Neurology, Semmes Murphy, Memphis, TN; ¹⁹Neurology, Neurosurgery, Boston University Medical Center, Boston, MA; ²⁰Neurology, University of Miami, Miami, FL; ²¹Neurosurgery, Wake Forest Health, Winston-Salem, NC; ²²Neurology, Baylor College of Medicine, Houston, TX; ²³Neurology, Médica Uruguaya, Montevideo, URUGUAY; ²⁴Neurosurgery, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI; ²⁵University Hospital of Nancy, Nancy, FRANCE

10.1136/neurintsurg-2020-SNIS.100

Introduction Atrial fibrillation (AF) associated stroke is associated with worse functional outcomes, less effective recanalization, and increased rates of hemorrhagic complications after intravenous thrombolysis (IVT). It remains unclear whether recanalization efficacy, procedural speed, and hemorrhagic complications differ in AF associated stroke treated with mechanical thrombectomy (MT).

Methods In a retrospective multicenter study of 4,232 patients who underwent MT, 3,385 patients had anterior circulation large vessel occlusions (LVO). 1,210 (35.7%) patients had comorbid AF, diagnosed either before or on presentation. Baseline characteristics, procedural outcomes, and clinical outcomes were reported and compared.

Results AF was associated with faster procedural time (51.5 vs. 58.2 minutes, $p=0.007$), higher rates of first pass success (42% vs. 35%, $p=0.001$), and comparable angiographic outcomes. In multivariate analysis, AF was an independent predictor of both procedural speed and first pass success. AF patients had worse functional outcomes, attributable to increased age and stroke severity at presentation. In contrast to IVT associated sICH in AF patients, there was no additive risk of sICH after MT (aOR 0.95, 0.65–1.38, $p=0.791$). When patients who received IVT-MT were dichotomized by reperfusion status, only patients with poor reperfusion trended towards increased rates of sICH (aOR=2.69, 0.96 – 7.53, $p=0.06$).

Conclusions MT in AF patients is associated with increased rates of rapid reperfusion without added risk of sICH when reperfusion is achieved. Even when combined with IVT, MT in AF patients does not carry an added risk of sICH if successful recanalization is achieved. Given the historically low recanalization efficacy of IVT for AF associated stroke, it is unclear whether IVT is additive in the setting of AF associated LVO undergoing MT, particularly given the trend towards increased sICH if combined IVT-MT therapy ends with poor reperfusion. Randomized studies are warranted to evaluate whether AF patients with acute LVO may represent a subgroup of patients who may benefit from MT alone versus combined IVT-MT in thrombectomy capable centers.

Disclosures F. Akbik: None. A. Alawieh: None. C. Cawley: None. B. Howard: None. F. Tong: None. F. Nahab: None. O. Samuels: None. I. Maier: None. W. Feng: None. N. Goyal: None. R. Starke: None. A. Rai: None. K. Fargen: None. M. Anadani: None. M. Psychogios: None. R. De Leacy: None. S. Keyrouz: None. T. Dumont: None. P. Kan: None. J. Lena: None. J. Liman: None. A. Arthur: None. L. Eljovich: None. D. McCarthy: None. V. Saini: None. S. Wolfe: None. J. Mocco: None. J. T Fifi: None. F. Nascimento: None. J. Giles: None. R. Crosa: None. W. Fox: None. B. Gory: None. A. Spiotta: None. J. Grossberg: None.