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Safety and efficacy of intra-arterial fibrinolytics as adjunct to mechanical thrombectomy: a systematic review and meta-analysis of observational data


ABSTRACT

Background Achieving the best possible reperfusion is a key determinant of clinical outcome after mechanical thrombectomy (MT). However, data on the safety and efficacy of intra-arterial (IA) fibrinolytics as an adjunct to MT with the intention to improve reperfusion are sparse.

Methods We performed a PROSPERO-registered (CRD42020149124) systematic review and meta-analysis accessing MEDLINE, PubMed, and Embase from January 1, 2000 to January 1, 2020. A random-effect estimate (Mantel-Haenszel) was computed and summary OR with 95% CI were used as a measure of added IA fibrinolytics versus control on the risk of symptomatic intracranial hemorrhage (sICH) and secondary endpoints (modified Rankin Scale ≤2, mortality at 90 days).

Results The search identified six observational cohort studies and three randomized-controlled trial data reporting on IA fibrinolytics with MT as compared with MT alone, including 2797 patients (405 with additional IA fibrinolytics (100 urokinase (uPA), 305 tissue plasminogen activator (tPA)) and 2392 patients without IA fibrinolytics). Of 405 MT patients treated with additional IA fibrinolytics, 209 (51.6%) received prior intravenous tPA. We did not observe an increased risk of sICH after administration of IA fibrinolytics as adjunct to MT (OR 1.06, 95% CI 0.64 to 1.76), nor excess mortality (0.81, 95% CI 0.60 to 1.08). Although the mode of reporting was heterogeneous, some studies observed improved reperfusion after IA fibrinolytics.

Conclusion The quality of evidence regarding peri-interventional administration of IA fibrinolytics in MT is low and limited to observational data. In highly selected patients, no increase in sICH was observed, but there is large uncertainty.

INTRODUCTION

Achieving complete reperfusion is the most important modifiable predictor for maximizing the treatment effect of mechanical thrombectomy (MT). Although the chances for a clinical benefit are optimal if complete reperfusion is attained after the first pass, there is a growing body of evidence suggesting that an effect of improved reperfusion is still tangible after multiple attempts and prolonged procedure time. Despite recent technical advances, failed reperfusion (Thrombolysis in Cerebral Infarction (TICI) 0/1) is the final result of MT in every 10th patient, and most patients treated successfully do not reach complete reperfusion (TICI 3). Treatment options in these scenarios are distal MT of thrombus fragments, bailout stenting or administration of intra-arterial (IA) fibrinolytics.

According to a survey by investigators of the German Stroke Registry, up to 40% of interventionalists administer IA fibrinolysis during or after MT on a case-by-case basis, and a recent US survey described the use of IA thrombolysis in 61% of the survey’s responders. The recently published updated American Stroke Association/American Heart Association guidelines state that IA fibrinolysis constitutes a reasonable supplement to achieve TICI grade 2b/3 results; however, data on the safety and efficacy of IA fibrinolytics as adjunct to MT are still scarce.

In view of clinical equipoise, and with the intention to inform the planning of potential randomized controlled trials, we conducted a systematic review and meta-analysis to report on observational data comparing safety and efficacy outcomes in patients with MT, either additionally treated with IA fibrinolytics or best medical management.

METHODS

This study is reported according to the recommendations of the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) reporting guideline.

Protocol and registration

The protocol for this study was published before performing the analysis (PROSPERO: CRD42020149124).

Study eligibility criteria

Eligible studies included all age groups and all ethnic groups reporting on adults (older than 18 years) with an acute ischemic stroke and IA fibrinolytic
therapy as adjunct to MT treatment of a target large-vessel occlusion. Acute ischemic stroke had to be diagnosed by qualified personnel (in an emergency department, stroke center, department of neurology, or similar unit) and large-vessel occlusion had to be confirmed by pre-interventional imaging (MR angiography/CT angiography or first diagnostic digital subtraction angiography runs). Studies had to report symptomatic intracranial hemorrhage (sICH) or adequate surrogates (eg, parenchymal hematoma type II according to the European Cooperative Acute Stroke Study (ECASS) criteria) in patients treated with second-generation MT (aspiration technique or stent-retriever devices) with strata of administration of IA fibrinolytics during MT (with or without additional IA fibrinolytics, respectively). Fibrinolytics considered were (pro)urokinase, alteplase, reteplase and tenecteplase. In this manuscript, tPA (tissue plasminogen activator) and uPA exclusively refer to alteplase and urokinase, respectively. We excluded studies reporting on historical mechanical treatments such as balloon angioplasty, guidewire clot disruption or first-generation devices, and we did not consider bailout stenting for this analysis. Abstracts were included if the authors were able to provide the required data for this meta-analysis. Otherwise, we included peer-reviewed, original studies only. Since state-of-the-art endovascular therapy was used in the last two decades, we only considered publications beyond January 1, 2000 for analysis. Additionally, we contacted the Principal Investigators of randomized-controlled trials included in the HERMES collaboration, if the trial protocol allowed administration of IA fibrinolytics in the mechanical thrombectomy arm (ESCAPE (Endovascular Treatment for Small Core and Anterior Circulation Proximal Occlusion With Emphasis on Minimizing CT to Recanalization Times)), MR CLEAN (Multicenter Randomized Clinical trial of Endovascular treatment for Acute ischemic stroke in the Netherlands), THRACE (Trial and Cost Effectiveness Evaluation of Intra-arterial Thrombectomy in Acute Ischemic Stroke) (25). Corresponding authors of the included observational studies were contacted to provide the median dose of fibrinolytics and rates of sICH with strata of intravenous thrombolysis (IVT)+MT+IA versus IVT+MT and direct MT+IA versus direct MT only. We excluded studies with duplicate data, or no specific strata of patients receiving adjunct fibrinolytic therapy. When sICH was the primary outcome of a study, but the authors did not report numbers for patients receiving additional fibrinolytic therapy, authors were contacted to report events of sICH and mortality in patients receiving additional fibrinolytic therapy during or after aspiration or mechanical thrombectomy. We excluded studies with <10 patients undergoing endovascular treatment.

Data sources and searches
Data for this review were identified via a search on MEDLINE, PubMed and Embase, as well as references from relevant articles using a predefined search strategy formulated according to the Population, Intervention, Comparison and Outcome (PICO) format search strategy (online supplemental information 1). Only articles published in English, French, Spanish and German between January 1, 2000 and January 1, 2020 were included.

Study selection
Publications were uploaded into the Covidence online review tool. Their relevance was assessed against the predetermined inclusion and exclusion criteria by two independent researchers (JK and TRM), who screened all titles and abstracts. Forward and backward reference searching complemented the database searches. Full-text manuscripts were obtained for all studies entering full-text review. Any uncertainties about including a specific manuscript in the review were resolved by consensus.

Data collection process and data items
Data were extracted into the Review Manager Program (RevMan, Version 5.3. Copenhagen: The Nordic Cochrane Centre, The Cochrane Collaboration, 2014) by TRM and reviewed by JK. Data included type of study, unadjusted and adjusted odds of sICH. If not available, other surrogates (eg, parenchymal hematoma type II according to ECASS) were considered, because it is associated with a high likelihood of neurological deterioration. Secondary outcomes included all-cause mortality at 90 days, good functional outcome at 90 days (modified Rankin Scale (mRS) 0–2), and angiographic effect regarding the additional administration of IA fibrinolytics. This could be reported as frequencies of final TICI score with strata of MT patients with and without IA fibrinolytics or dedicated improvement of reperfusion analyses after administration of IA fibrinolytics. Successful reperfusion was defined as TICI 2b/3. For the summary estimate regarding successful reperfusion, we divided the studies into those reporting on patients with the primary intention to improve unsuccessful or incomplete reperfusion (high risk of reperfusion bias towards poor TICI grades in the IA groups), and studies where IA fibrinolytics were mainly applied during or before initial stent-retriever deployment or studies in which the control group of patients without IA fibrinolytics also consisted of patients with unsuccessful or incomplete MT patients (low risk of reperfusion bias towards poor TICI grades in the IA group). Studies without documented reason for administering IA fibrinolytics were rated as unknown risk of reperfusion bias.

Synthesis of results and summary measures
If available and consistent throughout the studies, the summary estimates of effect sizes (summarized ORs, and adjusted summarized ORs (aOR)) for sICH in MT patients with additional IA fibrinolytics as compared with MT patients without additional IA fibrinolytics (control patients) were calculated using a random-effects model (Mantel-Haenszel method). Adjusted ORs were summarized separately, if available. Heterogeneity was assessed by p value of χ² statistics and P which describes the percentage of variability in the estimates that cannot be explained by chance. We considered study-level estimates to be heterogeneous if the P statistic was >50%. All p values were calculated by two-sided tests and a significance level of 0.05 was used. Visual inspection of the funnel plot was used for the evaluation of publication bias.
Table 1: Summary of included studies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Study type</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Type of fibrinolytic</th>
<th>Median dose (IQR)</th>
<th>Median dose in patients after IV tPA</th>
<th>Timing</th>
<th>IA fibrinolytics administered after IV tPA</th>
<th>Main indication</th>
<th>Primary as conjunction with MT</th>
<th>MT Technique</th>
<th>sICH definition available</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Anadan et al</td>
<td>RO of prospective database</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>tPA</td>
<td>5 mg (2–6 mg)</td>
<td>5 mg</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Yes (13/67, 19.4%)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>ADAPT</td>
<td>No (PH2 used)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heiferman et al</td>
<td>RO</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>tPA</td>
<td>13.25 mg (8–15.25 mg)</td>
<td>10 mg</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Yes (15/28)</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Stentretriever thrombectomy</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yi et al</td>
<td>RO</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>tPA</td>
<td>NA, reported as &lt;5 mg</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td>Yes (17/37, 45.9%)</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Stentretriever thrombectomy</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zaidi et al</td>
<td>RO</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>tPA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td>Yes (17/37, 46%)</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Stentretriever thrombectomy</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kaesmacher et al</td>
<td>RO of prospective database</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>uPA</td>
<td>30000 IU (250000–500000 IU)</td>
<td>250000 (250000–500000 IU)</td>
<td>Median time to uPA (275 min, IQR 229–313)</td>
<td>Yes (43/100, 43%)</td>
<td>Yes (75%)</td>
<td>Yes (25%)</td>
<td>&gt;90% stent-retriever thrombectomy</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bracard et al</td>
<td>RO of RCT data (THRACE)</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>tPA</td>
<td>7 mg (3–10 mg)</td>
<td>7 mg</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Yes (15/15, 100%)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Stentretriever thrombectomy</td>
<td>77.1% stent-retriever thrombectomy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Berkhemer et al</td>
<td>RO of RCTA data (MR CLEAN)</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>tPA</td>
<td>NA, reported as 5 mg single-dose, up to 30 mg</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Yes (1821, 86%, 1 unknown)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Stentretriever thrombectomy</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goyal et al</td>
<td>RO of RCT data (ESCAPE)</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>tPA</td>
<td>Median dose 5 mg (range 1–7 mg)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Yes (57, 71.4%)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Stentretriever thrombectomy</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Castonguay et al</td>
<td>RO of a prospective database (STRATIS, MCA occlusions only)</td>
<td>92*</td>
<td>tPA</td>
<td>Median dose 4 mg (IQR 2–10 mg)</td>
<td>4 mg</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Yes (66/92, 65.6%)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes (75%)</td>
<td>Stentretriever thrombectomy</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td>405</td>
<td>tPA</td>
<td>Median range tPA</td>
<td>4–13.25 mg</td>
<td>51.6% (209/405)</td>
<td>&gt;80% stent-retriever</td>
<td>80% Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* numbers slightly differ in comparison to the abstract version, only patient with available data on sICH are included.

ESCAPE, Endovascular Treatment for Small Core and Anterior Circulation Proximal Occlusion With Emphasis on Minimizing CT to Recanalization Times; IA, intra-arterial; IV, intravenous; MCA, middle cerebral artery; MR CLEAN, Multicenter Randomized Clinical Trial of Endovascular Treatment for Acute ischemic stroke in the Netherlands; MT, mechanical thrombectomy; N/A, not available; PH2, parenchymal hematoma type 2; RCT, randomized controlled trial; RO, retrospective observational; sICH, symptomatic intracranial hemorrhage; STRATIS, Systematic Evaluation of Patients Treated With Stroke Devices for Acute Ischemic Stroke; THRACE, Trial and Cost Effectiveness Evaluation of Intra-arterial Thrombectomy in Acute Ischemic Stroke; tPA, tissue plasminogen activator, alteplase; uPA, urokinase.
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Data analysis was performed using R\textsuperscript{16} with the R package `meta’ 4.9–7.\textsuperscript{31} If available, calculations were made for secondary outcomes using the same analysis tools.

RESULTS

The database searches and citation tracking yielded 711 bits, of which 447 records were screened as potentially relevant after removing duplicates (see online supplemental figure 1 for PRISMA flow-chart). Reasons for excluding relevant publications after full-text review are also shown in online supplemental figure 1). In total, five publications met the inclusion criteria of reporting the risk of sICH after failed or incomplete large vessel occlusion MT in patients receiving fibrinolytics and inclusion of a control group receiving no fibrinolytics (all non-randomized observational studies). We also contacted the main author of a published abstract to provide the study data with strata of MT+IA versus MT alone.\textsuperscript{13} In addition, three of the principal investigators of recent MT trials allowing for the use of IA fibrinolytics provided unadjusted estimates regarding the frequency of sICH, as well as mRS 0–2 and mortality at 90 days with strata of additional administration of IA fibrinolytics.\textsuperscript{13–20} One principal investigator of recent MT trials allowing for the use of IA fibrinolytics also provided adjusted estimates correcting for age, sex and baseline National Institutes of Health Stroke Scale (NIHSS). In summary, nine studies reporting on 2797 patients were included.

The characteristics of these six observational cohort studies and observational data derived from the three included MT trials are shown in table 1. Eight studies compared IA tPA with control\textsuperscript{16 17 21 22 25–27 32} and one study compared IA urokinase with control.\textsuperscript{31} Rates of sICH were available in eight of nine studies, with one study reporting rates of parenchymal hematoma type 2 (PH2) only.\textsuperscript{17} The definitions of sICH and comparator groups across studies were somewhat heterogeneous, but most studies used a compound definition of radiological verification of intracranial hemorrhage together with a neurological deterioration of ≥4 points on the NIHSS (see online supplemental table 1). Nearly all studies were confined to patients with small or medium size core infarct volumes and some studies reported significant baseline differences between the groups, most commonly favoring the group who additionally received IA fibrinolytics (ie, younger age, or earlier presentation, see online supplemental table 1).

In total, we included 405 cases with additional administration of IA fibrinolytics (100 urokinase, 305 tPA), and 2392 controls in the meta-analysis. Of 405 patients receiving IA fibrinolytics, 209 were pretreated with intravenous tPA (51.6%). Applied median dose of IA fibrinolytics ranged from 4–13.25 mg for tPA and was 300 000 U for IA urokinase. In eight studies with available information, reasons for administration of IA fibrinolytics consisted of rescue after failed MT (TICI0/1, 4/8 studies), incomplete reperfusion (TICI2a/b, 5/8 studies), administration during stent-retriever deployment at the discretion of the operator (4/8 studies), and treatment of emboli to new territories (1/8 studies).

Except for one study,\textsuperscript{23} follow-up duration was 3 months in all studies. The Cochrane ROBINS-I risk of bias assessment for the included studies with adoption of items for observational data are summarized in online supplemental figure 2. All trials had performance bias due to non-blinding of intervention and three trials had attrition bias due to incomplete outcome data.

Symptomatic intracranial hemorrhage and mortality

Pooling the results from all studies using the random-effects model showed that added IA fibrinolytics compared with control were not associated with an increased risk of sICH (OR 1.06, 95% CI 0.64 to 1.76; \(I^2=0\%\)) (figure 1). Limiting observations to IA tPA only yielded a point estimate of OR 1.23 (95% CI 0.67 to 2.26). There was no evidence of publication bias on funnel plot analysis (online supplemental figure 3). There was no significant heterogeneity of the effect of added IA fibrinolytics with strata of IVT pretreatment status (IVT+MT+IA vs IVT+MT, OR 1.28, 95% CI 0.60 to 2.75; and direct MT+IA vs direct MT only, OR 1.48, 95% CI 0.71 to 3.11) (figure 2). Additionally, a direct comparison of patients treated with IVT+MT+IA fibrinolytics versus MT+IA fibrinolytics yielded no evidence of a significant difference (OR 1.11, 95% CI 0.43 to 2.87) (figure 3).

Meta-regression, limited to five studies reporting on median IA tPA dose applied (median dose range 4–10 mg), did not provide evidence for heterogeneity or dose-dependency regarding the association of MT+IA fibrinolytics versus MT

![Figure 1](http://jnis.bmj.com/forestplot.png) Forest plot of summary odds ratios for sICH in patients with and without adjunctive administration of IAF during MT. *For Anadani et al we used PH2 as sICH surrogate. IAF, intra-arterial fibrinolytics; MT, mechanical thrombectomy; PH2, parenchymal hematoma type 2; sICH, symptomatic intracranial hemorrhage; tPA, tissue plasminogen activator; uPA, urokinase.)
Ischemic stroke and sICH with strata of different dose regimens applied (mixed effect model, estimate $-0.15$, 95% CI $-0.48$ to $0.19$ per mg, see online supplemental figure 4) for bubble plot). No excess mortality after additional treatment with IA fibrinolytics was found (OR $0.81$, 95% CI $0.60$ to $1.08$, $I^2=0$%; OR $0.90$, 95% CI $0.63$ to $1.29$ for tPA only, figure 4). Further subgroup analyses and restriction to adjusted estimates for safety endpoints can be found in online supplemental information 2.

**Functional outcome and angiographic efficacy**

There was no difference in functional outcome (OR $1.08$, 95% CI $0.78$ to $1.49$, $I^2=35$%) (online supplemental figure 5 and 6) or...
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successful reperfusion (OR 0.85, 95%CI 0.66 to 1.29) (online supplemental figure 7) between patients treated with additional IA fibrinolytics and those without. The point estimate of reperfusion differed based on the degree of reperfusion bias (online supplemental figure 7) and on qualitative study-level analyses. The reporting of angiographic reperfusion improvement after added IA fibrinolytics was heterogeneous across the included studies, with some studies reporting improved angiographic perfusion (online supplemental table 2).

DISCUSSION

We found the following: (1) The quality of evidence regarding the relative benefits of additive IA fibrinolytics versus standard care during contemporary MT is low and limited to observational data. (2) IA fibrinolytics are often not administered according to a standardized protocol, but with the following indications—after failed or incomplete MT, during MT at the discretion of the operator, and for treatment of emboli in new territories during MT. (3) In a highly selected subgroup, additional administration of IA fibrinolytics was not associated with a clear excess risk of sICH or 90 day mortality, but there is large uncertainty. (4) Considering adjusted estimates and qualitative angiographic efficacy analyses reported by some studies, IA fibrinolytics may improve reperfusion after failed or incomplete MT.

Frequency of IA fibrinolytics in contemporary MT

Currently it is unknown whether administration of IA fibrinolytics is safe when applied as additive to MT. In the MRCLEAN26 and ESCAPE27 trials, additional use of IA thrombolysis was reported, although no subgroup analyses were available. Also in the THRACE trial, IA tPA was used to a maximum dose of 0.3 mg/kg. Here, IA tPA was administered in 15/141 patients (10.6%) with a mean dose of 8.8 mg after full dose IV tPA, without significant difference regarding functional outcome between patients treated with or without additional tPA (7/15 (46.7%) vs 64/126 (50.8%), p=0.76).27 Corroborating these findings, administration of IA fibrinolytics during or after MT also seems relatively common in clinical real-life practice outside randomized controlled trials according to recent survey data.18 19 In the TREVO registry, IA tPA was administered in 28 of 65 cases as a rescue therapy (43%)13 and IA tPA was used in 14% of patients harboring a middle cerebral artery occlusion treated with MT in in the STRATIS registry.12 Although not representative, the relative frequency of administering IA fibrinolytics was 14.8% in patients treated with MT in our meta-analysis. Interestingly, IA fibrinolytics were also administered after preceding treatment with intravenous thrombolysis, which was the case in 51.6% of patients in this meta-analysis. In addition, the current review also shed light on indications for IA fibrinolytics, ranging from incomplete or failed MT to administration before or during stent-retriever deployment or as a treatment option for emboli to new territory.

Risk of sICH

We did not find a significant association between sICH and administration of IA fibrinolytics and there was no significant heterogeneity as to intravenous tPA pretreatment status or dose regimens applied (median range 4–13.25 mg). However, point estimates favored MT without IA and 95% confidence and prediction intervals were large and patients were highly selected (mostly small core patients included). Beside favorable patient selection, an overall lack of a clear association between the administration of IA fibrinolytics and increased risk of sICH may have several other reasons. First, improved reperfusion is generally associated with reduced rates of hemorrhagic transformations and sICH.6 34 35 Hence, improved reperfusion after IA fibrinolytics could counterbalance the potential increase of sICH after administration of IA fibrinolytics. Second, IA fibrinolytics were not administered in a randomized manner, and the comparison of baseline characteristics revealed significant differences, some of which have been associated with an a priori lower risk of sICH in the IA fibrinolytics group, potentially leading to selection bias (eg, earlier presentation or younger age). Third, associations may be weak when applied as low-dose adjunct to MT and larger sample sizes would be needed to detect a significant association. Lastly, we pooled different types of fibrinolytics and risk of sICH might differ, although previous studies suggested a comparable risk profile when administered intra-arterially.16

Some evidence on the safety of IA fibrinolytics as adjunct to MT is derived from the transition phase between first- and second-generation devices, and here results were contradictory and may thus not be easily transferable to current practice. In the SWIFT (Solitaire With the Intention For Thrombectomy) trial, rescue with IA thrombolysis was associated with an increased risk of bleeding (OR 12.1, 95%CI 1.082 to 134.5), although most bleedings occurred in the MERCI group and no analysis

Figure 4 Forest plot of unadjusted odds ratios for mortality at 3 months in patients with and without adjunctive administration of IAF during MT.

*For Anadani et al we used PH2 as sICH surrogate. IAF, intra-arterial fibrinolytics; MT, mechanical thrombectomy; PH2, parenchymal hematoma type 2; sICH, symptomatic intracranial hemorrhage; tPA, tissue plasminogen activator; uPA, urokinase.
of sICH stratified for the use of additive IA fibrinolytics is available. In contrast, administration of IA tPA was associated with lower chances of PH (aOR 0.57, 95% CI 0.35 to 0.90) in a large heterogeneous cohort of patients treated with first- and second-generation devices in the USA.

Angiographic efficacy
If IA fibrinolytics were administered in order to improve unsuccessful or incomplete reperfusion, rates of successful reperfusion tended to be lower than in the control group for obvious selection reasons. There was, however, no significant difference between the groups if low reperfusion bias studies were considered. Given that the reperfusion result is inherently associated with the indication to administer IA fibrinolytics, we think that a mere pooling of the rates of successful reperfusion across the groups is not advisable, which is why we added a qualitative analysis regarding reperfusion efficacy. Despite homogenous indications and ways of reporting, hints towards an improved angiographic reperfusion after the additive use of IA fibrinolytics were observed on an individual study level.

Outlook and future direction
Currently, we are aware of two trials evaluating IA fibrinolytics as adjunct to MT. In one small single-arm pilot trial from China (NCT04202438) patients will receive IA administration of 4 mg tenecteplase (TNK), which is continuously given after the first attempt of thrombectomy device pass for 30 min. The BRETIS-TNK (Boosting ReCanalization of Thrombectomy for Ischemic Stroke by Intra-arterial TNK) trial will include 30 patients. Currently the data on the safety and efficacy of IA TNK are sparse and limited to small observational studies or case reports, and no data of IA TNK as adjunct to MT are available. The European randomized controlled trial CHOICE (CHEMical Optimization of Cerebral Embolectomy in patients with acute stroke treated with mechanical thrombectomy) will evaluate the administration of IA fibrinolytics after incomplete (TICI2b) reperfusion with MT. In this trial, patients will be randomized to receive either a 30 min IA infusion with weight-adapted tPA or IA placebo. The study will enroll 200 patients, with an expected increase from TICI2b to TICI2c of 60% in the experimental arm and 5% in the placebo arm. Given reports synthesized here, 60% improvement in the experimental arm seems high considering that one study reported 50% overall reperfusion improvement, with only around 30% being TICI grade relevant. However, the presented data provide some reassurance that administration of IA fibrinolytics does not markedly increase the risk of sICH in highly selected patients and when low dose regimens are applied.

Lastly, the data presented stress the need for a future standardized reporting pattern when describing patients treated with IA fibrinolytics as adjunct to MT. This includes a precise description of indication, time-point of administration, dosage, and evaluation of angiographic or follow-up perfusion efficacy. Further prospective multicenter studies are urgently needed to elaborate the role of IA fibrinolytics in contemporary stroke MT.

Limitations
This study has several limitations, some related to potential sources of bias inherent to the included observational studies. In all included studies, indications for and administration of IA fibrinolytics was neither randomized nor standardized, thus prompting selection bias. Some studies provided adjusted analyses (matching or logistic regression), which may have mitigated, but presumably could not entirely account for selection bias effects. Moreover, we pooled data on the occurrence of sICH, despite heterogeneous definitions. Angiographic efficacy analysis was limited to qualitative analysis, because heterogeneous indications and discrepant ways of reporting limited the usefulness of summary estimates. Lastly, new devices and different modes and combination of flow-arrest during MT have entered the market and clinical routine in recent years, thus potentially changing the need for and respective value of additional administration of IA fibrinolytics.

CONCLUSION
The quality of evidence regarding the relative benefits of additional IA fibrinolytics versus standard of care during contemporaneous MT is low and limited to observational data. In highly selected patients treated with MT ± preceding intravenous thrombolysis, additional administration of IA fibrinolytics (uPA or tPA) does not seem to increase the risk of sICH. Further studies evaluating its potential to improve reperfusion after incomplete or failed MT are warranted.
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