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Efficacy of beveled tip aspiration catheter in mechanical thrombectomy for acute ischemic stroke


ABSTRACT

Background Direct aspiration thrombectomy techniques use large bore aspiration catheters for mechanical thrombectomy. Several aspiration catheters are now available. We report a bench top exploration of a novel beveled tip catheter and our experience in treating large vessel occlusions (LVOs) using next-generation aspiration catheters.

Methods A retrospective analysis from a prospectively maintained database comparing the bevel shaped tip aspiration catheter versus non-beveled tip catheters was performed. Patient demographics, periprocedural metrics, and discharge and 90-day modified Rankin Scale (mRS) scores were collected. Patients were divided into two groups based on which aspiration catheter was used.

Results Our data showed no significant difference in age, gender, IV tissue plasminogen activator administration, admission NIH Stroke Scale score, baseline mRS, or LVO location between the beveled tip and flat tip groups. With the beveled tip, Thrombolysis in Cerebral Infarction (TICI) 2C or better recanalization was more frequent overall (93.2% vs 74.2%, p=0.017), stent retrieval usage was lower (9.1% vs 29%, p=0.024), and patients had lower mRS on discharge (median 3 vs 4, p=0.001) and at 90 days (median 2 vs 4, p=0.008).

Conclusion Patients who underwent mechanical thrombectomy with the beveled tip catheter had a higher proportion of TICI 2C or better and had a significantly lower mRS score on discharge and at 90 days.

INTRODUCTION

In recent years several trials have established mechanical thrombectomy as a treatment option in patients with large vessel occlusions.1–8 While many of these trials used stent retrievers to achieve recanalization, recent data suggest that direct aspiration as a first pass approach is a viable alternative to stent retriever-based methods.9–11 Direct aspiration thrombectomy uses a large bore aspiration catheter to aspirate the thrombus without the use of a stent retriever. If aspiration is unsuccessful, the large bore aspiration catheter can serve as a platform to deliver a stent retriever or other devices.12–14

The renewed interest in mechanical thrombectomy has led to the rapid evolution of catheter technology, with several large bore aspiration catheters now available. Suction force at the tip of an aspiration catheter is thought to be a crucial factor in the success of aspiration thrombectomy, and since the force at the catheter tip is directly proportional to the cross-sectional area of the catheter tip, many newer generation catheters feature a larger catheter tip diameter.15–17 Additionally, an aspiration catheter with a beveled tip design has recently been developed (figure 1). We report our initial clinical experience in treating large vessel occlusions using these next-generation aspiration catheters.

METHODS

Clinical data

A retrospective analysis from a prospectively maintained database was performed on patients undergoing stroke thrombectomy for large vessel occlusions (middle cerebral artery (MCA) M1 and proximal M2 segments, vertebral or basilar arteries, or the carotid terminus) with direct aspiration thrombectomy at our institution from November 2018 until May 2020 using an institutional review board-approved protocol. Specific parameters captured included age, gender, whether the patient received IV tissue plasminogen activator (tPA), pre-hospital modified Rankin Scale (mRS) score, admission NIH Stroke Scale (NIHSS) score, location of the vascular occlusion, and Thrombolysis in Cerebral Ischemia (TICI) flow post procedure. The number of aspiration attempts (passes) made to achieve recanalization of the target vessel and the number of passes made to achieve TICI 2B flow or better and TICI 2C flow or better were recorded, and if a stent retriever was used. Discharge mRS and 90-day mRS scores were collected where available.

All operators selected patients for thrombectomy according to their usual practice. Direct aspiration thrombectomy has been previously described.12 13 Briefly, access to the cerebral vasculature requires a 6 French guide catheter. This is advanced as far distally into the internal carotid artery as is safely possible. For posterior circulation thrombi, the guide catheter is navigated into the largest caliber vertebral artery and positioned into the distal V2 segment. A large bore aspiration catheter is navigated to the level of the thrombus over any microcatheter and microwire the operator chooses. Aspiration is applied by using an aspiration pump. Inability to draw back on aspiration confirms the optimal position of the aspiration catheter abutting the thrombus. At this point, the catheter is slightly advanced to ensure firm engagement with the thrombus, and under aspiration the catheter is withdrawn into the guide catheter.
The large bore aspiration catheters used at our center during the study period included the JET7 (Penumbra, Alameda, California, USA), React71 (Medtronic, Minneapolis, Minnesota, USA), Large Bore Catheter (Cerenovus, Irvine California, USA), Vecta (Stryker Neurovascular, Kalamazoo Michigan, USA), and Sofia Plus (Microvention, Aliso Viejo, California, USA). Additionally, beginning in October 2019, the beveled tip Zoom71 (Imperative Care, Campbell, California, USA) catheter was used. Additional devices were used at the discretion of the operator if first pass failed.

In vitro experiment
To study mechanically the effect of the beveled tip aspiration catheter, we used a vascular phantom with flow conditions as previously described.18 To remove confounding variables, a simple tapering tube bent 90° was used as the vascular phantom in which a clot model consisting of thrombin-induced clotting of bovine blood (2.5 NIHU thrombin/mL blood) with the addition of fibrinogen (9 mg/mL blood) and calcium-phosphate apatite (44 mg/mL blood) was introduced to create a large vessel occlusion.19 The clot measured 3.2 mm in diameter and was 7 mm in length. Flow conditions mimicked those typically found in the human MCA.20 Twenty experiments were conducted with either a Sofia Plus or a Zoom71, randomized one-to-one per device (each device was operated in 10 replicate experiments). We recorded the rate of complete ingestion within the catheter versus corking the clot at the catheter tip followed by retraction. We further measured the rate of complete recanalization after a single attempt. Finally, using a closed system and digital manometer, we recorded the pressure at the catheter tip for both devices, as previously described.21 Aspiration was generated using a commercially available vacuum pump (Stryker Neurovascular).

Statistical analysis
Patients were grouped depending on which large bore aspiration catheter was used for thrombectomy. We compared the baseline characteristics of patients such as age, sex, baseline mRS score, and IV tPA administration. The number of attempts to recanalize the target vessel, the number of attempts to achieve TICI 2B or better and TICI 2C or better, and whether a stent retriever was used were analyzed. The number of attempts during each procedure were recorded. When comparing all catheters, continuous variables are reported as mean±SD or median (interquartile range) and were tested using a one-way ANOVA or Kruskal–Wallis test, respectively. Discrete variables are reported as number (%) and tested using a χ² test or Fisher’s exact test for small sample sizes (n<5).

Additionally, we grouped patients into those who underwent a thrombectomy using the beveled tip catheter and those in whom the flat tip catheters were used. For these analyses, continuous variables were tested using the Student’s t-test or Wilcoxon rank-sum test, and discrete variables were tested using a χ² test or Fisher’s exact test. Values of p<0.05 were considered statistically significant. All analyses were carried out using R statistical software (The R Foundation, version 4.0.2).

RESULTS
Patient characteristics
There was no significant difference in age, sex, rate of IV tPA administration, and admission NIHSS scores between the beveled tip and flat tip groups (table 1), nor was there a difference between baseline mRS scores between the two groups (table 2). There was no significant difference in the location of vessel occlusion (table 3).

Recanalization efficacy
Recanalization efficacy is summarized in table 4. The percentage of cases in which a stent retriever was used as a rescue device was significantly lower in cases where the beveled tip catheter was used (9.1% vs 29%, p=0.024). Overall, TICI 2C was achieved in significantly more cases with the beveled tip catheter compared with the flat tip catheters (93.2% vs 74.2%, p=0.017). The beveled tip catheter was able to achieve TICI 2C or better on first pass in 58.5% of cases compared with 49.3% of cases with flat tip catheters. In cases where TICI 2C or better outcomes were achieved, there was no significant difference between the percentage of patients who received IV tPA (48.8% for the beveled tip catheter vs 37.7% for the flat tip catheters). There was a trend towards a higher percentage of one pass thrombectomies with the beveled tip catheter compared with the flat tip catheters (56.8% vs 39.8%), but this did not reach statistical significance. When compared with flat tip catheters, the number of passes per procedure was also lower with the beveled tip catheter (1.978 vs 2.47).

Complications
There were four non-access site-related intraprocedural complications, consisting of three dissections and one perforation. There were no complications related to the aspiration catheters in either group.
Outcomes
Table 2 compares mRS scores of patients on admission, at discharge, and at 90 days between flat tip and beveled tip catheters. In the beveled tip group the baseline mRS score was available in 42 of 44 cases (95.6%), the discharge mRS was available in all 44 cases (100%), and the 90-day mRS score was available in 28 of 44 cases (63.3%). In the flat tip catheter group the baseline mRS score was available in 68 of 93 cases (73.1%), the discharge mRS score was available in 91 of 93 cases (97.8%), and the 90-day mRS score was available in 81 of 93 cases (87.1%). In cases where data were obtained, patients who underwent thrombectomy with the beveled tip catheter had a significantly lower mRS score on discharge (median 3 vs 4, p=0.008) and at 90 days (median 2 vs 4, p=0.008).

In vitro study
The vacuum generated at the tip of the Sofia and Zoom catheters was the same (631±8 mmHg, p=0.97). The force acting to remove the clot is linearly proportional to the area of the catheter tip, and therefore 15% larger for the Zoom71 device due to the bevel tip. This larger force resulted in complete ingestion of the clot within the Zoom71 catheter in 90% of the experiments compared with 20% of the experiments with the control device (p=0.006). In the one case of failed complete ingestion for the Zoom71 catheter, the angled tip was not oriented 180° with the long axis of the clot. With both devices, complete recanalization was achieved on first pass.

DISCUSSION
We sought to evaluate the effect of a beveled tip aspiration catheter by comparing the performance of similar sized flat tip catheters with the beveled tip Zoom71 catheter. Our results show that, when the beveled tip catheter was used, there was an associated lower number of total aspiration attempts, reduced stent retriever usage, more frequent TICI 2C or better recanalization, and a lower discharge and 90-day mRS score.

Table 2 Modified Rankin Scale (mRS) scores on admission, at discharge, and at 90 days for flat tip and beveled tip catheter groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Beveled (n)</th>
<th>Median (IQR)</th>
<th>Flat (n)</th>
<th>Median (IQR)</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Admission</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>0 (0–1)</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>0 (0–1)</td>
<td>0.698</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discharge</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>3 (2–4)</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>4 (3–5)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90 Days</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>2 (1–3)</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>4 (2–6)</td>
<td>0.008</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Bold text denotes statistically significant findings.

Table 3 Anatomical locations of vessel occlusion in the flat tip and beveled tip catheter groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Beveled</th>
<th>Flat</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>0.423</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Target vessel, n (%)</td>
<td>4 (2.55)</td>
<td>8 (8.6)</td>
<td>0.698</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basilar</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LICA</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.177</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LM1</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>0.523</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LM2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.804</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RICA</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.804</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RM1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>0.218</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RM2</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R Vertebral (V4 segment)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1 (1.08)</td>
<td>0.804</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4 Thrombectomy results: total number of passes, number of single passes to achieve target recanalization, stent retriever utilization, number of passes to achieve TICI 2B or better and TICI 2C or better, one pass versus multiple passes for target vessel recanalization

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Beveled</th>
<th>Flat</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>93</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All cases</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of passes</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>230</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>One pass, n (%)</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>0.100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Means±SD</td>
<td>2.0±1.4</td>
<td>2.5±1.8</td>
<td>0.079</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stent retriever, n (%)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>9 (0.9)</td>
<td>0.024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TICI 2B or better</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>0.177</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>One pass, n (%)</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>0.100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TICI 2C or better</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n (%)</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>0.017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>One pass, n (%)</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>0.430</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tPA, n (%)</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>0.318</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stent retriever, n (%)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>9 (0.76)</td>
<td>0.193</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Bold text denotes statistically significant findings.

Table 5 Comparison of catheter inner diameters (ID) and area of catheter tip

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Catheter cross-sectional area of catheter tip opening (mm²)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Catheter</td>
<td>Jet7 1.83 2.63</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


where $F$ is force, $P$ is pressure, and $A$ is area. Therefore, an increase in area is directly proportional to an increase in force. Our in vitro model showed that force acting to remove the clot is 15% larger for the Zoom71 device due to the bevel tip.13

Another factor that has been linked to the success of thrombectomy in the anterior circulation is the amount of vessel curvature.25 A retrospective review of 159 patients found that mechanical thrombectomy for acute ischemic stroke using stent retrievers was less successful in patients with strongly curved M1 segments.26 In the cardiac literature, the success of aspiration thrombectomy has also been linked to vessel curvature, with more success in straighter segments.27 The angle of interaction between the aspiration catheter and the thrombus has been found to impact the success of mechanical thrombectomy, with an angle of interaction of greater than 125.5 degrees (ie, catheter tip more in line with thrombus face) associated with higher success in clot removal.28 We propose that a beveled tip catheter allows for better alignment along the vessel wall and a higher angle of interaction with the thrombus face. In the in vitro study there was one case of failed complete ingestion for the beveled tip catheter in which the angled tip was not oriented 180° with the long axis of the clot, further supporting the role of the angle of interaction.

First pass efficacy has been postulated to be an important measure of procedural success, as reports suggest a correlation between first pass success and good clinical outcomes.18 In terms of first pass efficacy, the target vessel was successfully recanalized to TICI 2B or better with one attempt in 56.8% of cases with the beveled tip catheter compared with 41.4% with comparable flat tip catheters, although this did not reach statistical significance. While TICI 2B or better has historically been used as a measure of success, we feel that improvements in technology now allow TICI 2C to be achieved in the majority of cases and that this should be the minimal procedural outcome goal that is accepted. The first pass efficacy for TICI 2C or better recanalization was slightly higher for the beveled tip catheter group (58.5% vs 49.3%), and while this was also not a significant difference, it suggests that in cases where TICI 2C or better was not achieved on the first pass, the beveled tip catheter served as a viable platform to deliver smaller aspiration catheters or stent retrievers with which to pursue downstream emboli. The overall TICI 2C or better efficacy with the beveled tip catheter of 93.2% was further supported by the overall lower average number of aspiration attempts and the lower percentage of stent retriever use, which in our practice is used as a second or third line option. The higher percentage of cases achieving TICI 2C or better in the beveled tip catheter group could be an important factor in the significantly lower discharge and 90-day mRS scores seen in the beveled tip catheter group. Finally, a decrease in the number of cases in which a stent retriever was used implies cost savings, which we did not investigate in this study.

**Limitations**

Our study has several limitations. The in vitro model is a simplistic vascular phantom that had 100% first pass success regardless of device. However, both devices were exposed to identical conditions to remove numerous confounding variables to study the mechanism by which the beveled catheter tip engages clot. Our clinical experience is a single-center retrospective experience and the data reported here are observational in nature. A small number of cases were performed with the LBC, Sofia, and the Vecta, which precludes any meaningful conclusions about the individual performance of these catheters. However, there is no evidence to suggest that there should be a difference in clot ingestion performance due to similar device construction and design.

**CONCLUSION**

We found the unique design of the Zoom71 beveled tip aspiration catheter appears to translate clinically into a more efficacious thrombectomy device with improved functional outcomes. This is the only study to date detailing this novel device. While the observations are from a single center, the differences observed are significant and warrant a larger multicenter study.
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