1 Definition of anterior-circulation aneurysm and posterior-circulation aneurysm

Aneurysms located at the internal carotid artery, anterior communicating artery, posterior communicating artery, anterior cerebral artery, and middle cerebral artery were defined as anterior-circulation aneurysms. Aneurysms located at the vertebral artery, basilar artery, posterior inferior cerebellar artery, and posterior cerebral artery were defined as posterior-circulation aneurysms.

7

8 Definition of aneurysm and parental artery morphology parameters

- 9 The morphology parameters assessed in this study were defined as follows:
- 10 Aspect ratio: aneurysm height ÷ aneurysm width;
- 11 *size ratio*: maximum diameter of aneurysm ÷ parental artery diameter;
- 12 *height/width ratio*: perpendicular height of aneurysm ÷ aneurysm width;
- 13 *bottle/neck factor*: aneurysm neck length ÷ aneurysm width;
- 14 *neck ratio*: aneurysm neck length ÷ parental artery diameter;
- 15 *mean artery diameter*: (distal artery diameter + proximal artery diameter) ÷ 2;
- 16 artery difference: proximal artery diameter distal artery diameter;
- 17 *proximal-distal ratio*: proximal artery diameter ÷ distal artery diameter.
- 18 All parameters were measured and calculated based on original digital subtraction
- 19 angiography photographs.

20 Study Size Calculation

21 No sample size calculation was performed and the sample size was established by the

- 22 time window of the study.
- 23

24 Platelet function test statement

25 The experimental diagnostic center at our hospital does not support PRU (P2Y12 26 reaction units) testing at present. Instead, all patients in our study underwent platelet function 27 monitoring 1 day before PED placement. Platelet function was assessed by standard light 28 transmittance aggregometry (LTA) to measure platelet aggregation. Light transmittance 29 aggregometry was conducted using platelet-rich plasma using the turbidimetric method in a 30 4-channel aggregometer (AG800; Techlink Biomedical, Inc., Beijing, China). Maximal 31 platelet aggregation (MPA) was defined as the percentage change in light transmittance. 32 Subsequently, non-responders were defined as having an MPA response to ADP (adenosine 33 diphosphate) of >50%. For those patients, clopidogrel was switched to one dose of ticagrelor (180 mg) before the procedure, followed by twice daily doses of ticagrelor (45 mg) after the 34 35 procedure combined with aspirin (100 mg) for 6 months.

36

37 Statement for generation of PED used in this study

Pipeline Embolization Device and Pipeline Flex Embolization Device were used in our study
without include PED Shield (Pipeline embolization device with Shield technology).

40

41 **PED implantation procedure**

42 The PED was delivered and deployed through a Marksman[™] microcatheter (Medtronic, 43 Irvine, CA) or an ExcelsiorTM XT-27TM microcatheter (Stryker, Kalamazoo, MI). 44 PED-assisted coiling was considered if there was (a) a risk of shortening and displacement of the PED after release or (b) rapid blood flow (jet) at the aneurysmal neck on angiography, 45 46 which was expected to pose a high risk of recurrence and postoperative bleeding with FD implantation alone. The brands of coil included Axium[™] (Medtronic, Dublin, Ireland), 47 Microplex[™] (Microvention, Aliso Viejo, CA), Target[™] (Stryker, Kalamazoo), and Orbit[™] 48 49 (Johnson & Johnson, New Brunswick, NJ). When full vessel wall apposition was not 50 achieved, stent massage or balloon angioplasty was performed.

51

52 Antiplatelet therapy after PED implantation

53 The duration of dual antiplatelet therapy (DAPT) after PED implantation was 6 months for 54 aspirin (100 mg/day) combined with clopidogrel (75 mg/day), and aspirin (100 mg/day) was 55 continued for at least 1 year. For patients with inadequate platelet inhibition with clopidogrel 56 (platelet function test showed maximal platelet aggregation of >50%), clopidogrel was 57 switched to one dose of ticagrelor (180 mg) before the procedure, followed by twice daily 58 doses of ticagrelor (45 mg) for 6 months after the procedure. In fact, some patients with poor 59 adherence spontaneously withdrew the antiplatelet drugs. We have added these data to our 60 revised manuscript. The duration and type of antiplatelet therapy have been included in the 61 manuscript and analyzed as variables

62 Analysis for drug withdraw between Non-ISS and ISS group

	No-ISS	ISS	Total	P value
Drug withdraw	82 (21.03%)	21 (30.43%)	103 (22.44%)	0.116

63

Univariate logistic regression	OR	95% CI	P value
Drug withdraw	1.641	0.932—2.903	0.116

64

65 Analysis for clopidogrel switched to ticagrelor between Non-ISS and ISS group

	No-ISS	ISS	Total	P value
Clopidogrel switched to ticagrelor	58 (14.9%)	14 (20.3%)	72 (15.7%)	0.254

66

Univariate logistic regression	OR	95% CI	P value
Clopidogrel switched to ticagrelor	1.457	0.762—2.789	0.256

67

68

69 Subgroup analysis

70

71 Subgroup analysis for the average duration of follow-up between resolution

72 group vs non-resolution group in ISS patients

73 There was a significant difference between the resolution group and the non-resolution group

74 (25 [14–36] months vs. 14 [9–20] months; P = 0.005) in terms of the average duration of

75 follow-up in patients with ISS. According to the ST-T curve, patients who developed ISS

showed a clear trend toward resolution 24 months after PED implantation, which is in line

- 77 with the statistical data.
- 78

Subgroup analysis for the difference in resolution and progression to artery occlusion between ISS patients who were on DAPT and non-DAPT

81 Patients who had ISR had a higher rate of resolution if they extended their dose or resumed

B2 DAPT (4 [7.8%] vs. 5 [27.8%]; P = 0.045). For patients with ISS who developed parental

83 artery occlusion, there was no significant difference between the aspirin group and the DAPT

84 group (12 [22.6%] vs. 6 [26.1%]; P = 0.240).

	Non-DAPT	DAPT	Total	P value
ISS to resolution	4 (7.8%)	5 (27.8%)	9 (13.0%)	0.045
ISS to occlusion	12 (22.6%)	6 (37.5%)	18 (26.1%)	0.240

⁸⁵

86 Subgroup analysis for the difference between responders and non-responders

87 (according to the platelet function test) respect to ISR

88 There was no difference between responders and non-responders with respect to ISR in the

89 subgroup analysis (55 [14.2%] vs. 14 [19.4%]; P = 0.254)

	Responders	Non-responders	Total	P-value
In stent-stenosis	55 (14.2%)	14 (19.4%)	69 (15.0%)	0.254

90

91 Evaluation of the ISS patients for proximal or distal "fishmouthing" and diffuse

92 or focal mechanical distortion of the actual Pipeline Embolization Device

93

Types of in-stent stenosis	Numbers of patients (%)	Numbers of patients developed to parental artery occlusion (%)
Tissue growth in normal appearing	40 (57.97%)	0 (0.00%)
PED		
Proximal distortion (fish-mouthing)	9 (13.04%)	8 (44.4%)
of the PED		
Distal distortion (fish-mouthing) of	14 (20.29%)	8 (44.4%)
the PED [#]		
Distortion of the mid-portion of the	3 (4.35%)	1 (5.56%)
PED		
Tissue growth with distal distortion	2 (2.90%)	1 (5.56%)
of the PED		
Tissue growth with proximal	1 (1.45%)	0 (0.00%)
distortion of the PED		
Total	69 (100%)	18 (100%)

[#]The type of ISS was evaluated as distal distortion (fish-mouthing) of the PED in two patients thatdied.

96

97 After further back-to-back blinded review of follow up angiography of 69 patients with ISS,

98 we found that 40 (57.97%) patients presented with tissue growth in normal appearing PED,

nine (13.04%) patients had proximal distortion (fish-mouthing) of the PED, 14 (20.29%)

100 patients had distal distortion (fish-mouthing) of the PED, and three (4.35%) patients had

101 distortion of the mid-portion of the PED. Furthermore, two (2.90%) patients had normal

102 tissue growth with distal distortion of the ISS, and one (1.45%) patient had tissue growth with

103 proximal distortion of the PED. For the 18 patients who developed parental artery occlusion,

104 dynamic assessment of postoperative follow-up angiography showed eight (44.44%) patients

105 with proximal distortion (fish-mouthing) of the PED, eight (44.44%) patients with distal

106 distortion (fish-mouthing) of the PED, and one (5.56%) patient with distortion of the

107 mid-portion of the PED. One (5.56%) patient presented with normal tissue growth with distal

108 distortion of the PED.

109

- 110 Interestingly, we found that nearly 2/3 of patients presenting with late stent distortion had
- 111 their procedure between 2015–2018, with many Chinese physicians having only 1–3 years of

experience in using PEDs. At that time, some of the physicians used the biaxial system (e.g., a

112

113 6F guiding catheter combined with a Marksman micro catheter) to deliver and deploy the 114 PEDs. Although the biaxial system is the classic approach in cerebrovascular interventions, it 115 has poor support in curved vessels, which can lead to poor PED apposition. Furthermore, 116 even if the PED achieves an adequate apposition, the operator has to perform more pushing 117 and pulling maneuvers, which can increase the risk of irreversible damage to the PED 118 structure (e.g., twisting in the middle of the stent). Finally, the distal or proximal part of the 119 PED can be occasionally or inevitably placed into the curved vessel area of patients with a 120 tortuous blood vessel. All these factors can lead to late distortion of the PED. 121 122 When selecting the PED size, the operator will often measure the proximal and distal parental 123 artery diameters. However, to achieve adequate proximal wall apposition, the operator often 124 prefers to accommodate the proximal parental artery diameter. This inevitably results in a 125 'mismatch' between the PED size and the distal vessel diameter, while the pressure from stent 126 expansion can result in intima damage at the distal part of the stent. We believe that these 127 factors may account for the greater number of patients with distal distortions than proximal 128 distortions. 129 130 To prevent the late mechanical distortion of the PED, we recommend that the operator use a 131 triaxial system to deliver and release the PED (e.g., the Neuron MAX 088 catheter combined 132 with the Navien intracranial support catheter and the Phenom-27 microcatheter). If poor wall 133 apposition is identified intraoperatively, the microguide wire massage stent should be used 134 carefully (careless handling can cause damage to the proximal part of the stent and may lead

135 to proximal distortion), and balloon-angioplasty or even further stent deployment (e.g.,

136 Neuroform EZ) should be used to achieve adequate apposition. Note that this additional

137 manipulation is also associated with increased risk of ISS.

138

Supplementary Table 1-1. Aneurysm characteristics of patients after PED treatment

Characteristics	Non-ISS (n=390)	ISS	Total (n=459)	P value
		(n=69)		
Aneurysm location				0.008
ACA	2 (0.5%)	0 (0%)	2 (0.4%)	
AComA	1 (0.3%)	0 (0%)	1 (0.2%)	
BA	10 (2.6%)	2 (2.9%)	12 (2.6%)	
ICA	298 (76.4%)	40 (58%)	338 (73.6%)	
МСА	8 (2.1%)	2 (2.9%)	10 (2.2%)	
РСА	2 (0.5%)	1 (1.4%)	3 (0.7%)	
PComA	2 (0.5%)	0 (0%)	2 (0.4%)	
РІСА	0 (0%)	2 (2.9%)	2 (0.4%)	
VA	67 (17.2%)	22 (31.9%)	89 (19.4%)	
Aneurysm position				0.390
middle	11 (2.9%)	2 (2.9%)	13 (2.8%)	
left	207 (53.1%)	30 (43.5%)	237 (51.6%)	
right	172 (44.1%)	37 (53.6%)	209 (45.5%)	
Aneurysm type				0.001
saccular	316 (81.03%)	44 (63.77%)	360 (78.43%)	
fusiform	74 (18.97%)	25 (36.23%)	99 (21.57%)	
Aneurysm in bifurcation	16 (4.1%)	5 (7.2%)	21 (4.6%)	0.401
Aneurysm with lobulation	43 (11.0%)	8 (11.6%)	51 (11.1%)	0.890
Aneurysm with daughter sac	28 (7.2%)	5 (7.2%)	33 (7.2%)	1.000
Multiple aneurysms	116 (29.7%)	18 (26.1%)	134 (29.2%)	0.538
Symptomatic aneurysms	172 (44.1%)	35 (50.7%)	207 (45.1%)	0.308
Recurrent Aneurysms	7 (1.8%)	2 (2.9%)	9 (2.0%)	0.890

139 ACA: anterior cerebral artery; AComA: anterior communicating artery; MCA: middle cerebral artery; ICA:

140 internal carotid artery; VA: vertebral artery; BA: basilar artery; PICA: posterior inferior cerebellar

141 artery; PComA: posterior communicating artery; PCA: posterior cerebral artery

Supplementary Table 1-2. Aneurysm ch	Supplementary Table 1-2. Aneurysm characteristics of patients after PED treatment				
Characteristics	Non-ISS	ISS	Total	P value	
	(n=390)	(n=69)	(n=459)		
Unsatisfiable Device Deployment	11 (2.8%)	5 (7.2%)	16 (3.5%)	0.136	
Balloon angioplasty	68 (17.4%)	21 (30.4%)	89 (19.4%)	0.012	
PED associated with coiling	146 (37.4%)	29 (42.0%)	175 (38.1%)	0.469	
Used PED>1	46 (11.8%)	9 (13.0%)	55 (12.0%)	0.769	
Aneurysm Neck	6.69	10.10	7.04	P<0.00	
	(4.45—11.1	(6.52—15.7	(4.50—11.6	1	
	0)	5)	0)		
Maximum Diameter	10.40	13.30	10.90	0.003	
	(6.29—16.7	(9.07—22.2	(6.40—17.1		
	0)	0)	0)		
Aneurysm Height	7.37	7.87	7.43	0.025	
	(4.76—11.8	(6.57—14.4	(4.95—12.1		
	0)	5)	0)		
Aneurysm Width	8.48	12.9	9.02	P<0.00	
	(4.81—14.6	(6.80—20.9	(5.07—15.3	1	
	3)	5)	0)		
Aneurysm Perpendicular Height	6.96	7.87	7.18	0.017	
	(4.50—11.2	(5.83—14.2	(4.68—11.6		
	3)	5)	0)		
Parental Artery Diameter	3.64	3.82	3.66	0.304	
	(3.16—4.17)	(3.30-4.36)	(3.17—4.19)		
Proximal Artery Diameter	3.91	4.00	3.92	0.890	
	(3.45-4.49)	(3.15-4.79)	(3.42-4.53)		
Distal Artery Diameter	3.43	3.49	3.44	0.327	

	(3.04—3.86)	(2.82-4.22)	(2.98—3.88)	
Mean Artery Diameter	3.68	3.80	3.68	0.702
	(3.21-4.08)	(3.19—4.36)	(3.21-4.09)	
Difference between Proximal and Distal Ar	0.45	0.49	0.46	0.882
tery	(0.09—1.01)	(0.06—1.08)	(0.09—1.01)	
Proximal/Distal Ratio	1.13	1.12	1.13	0.900
	(1.03—1.30)	(1.02—1.34)	(1.03—1.31)	
Aspect Ratio	1.07	0.94	1.04	0.141
	(0.74—1.59)	(0.66—1.50)	(0.73—1.59)	
Height/Width Ratio	0.89	0.81	0.87	0.012
	(0.74—1.02)	(0.64—0.95)	(0.72—1.01)	
Bottle Neck Factor	0.94	1.00	0.95	0.865
	(0.69—1.00)	(0.68—1.00)	(0.69—1.00)	
Size Ratio	2.87	3.56	3.00	0.007
	(1.64-4.73)	(2.18—6.32)	(1.73—4.81)	
Neck Ratio	1.91	2.93	1.99	P<0.00
	(1.15—3.02)	(1.56-4.40)	(1.19—3.40)	1

PED: Pipeline Embolization Device

Supplementary Figure 1 Flow Chart

