ABSTRACT

Background The Clinical Assessment of WEB device in Ruptured aneurYSms (CLARYS) study has shown that the endovascular treatment of ruptured bifurcation aneurysms with the Woven EndoBridge (WEB) is safe and effective and provides protection against rebleeding at 1 month and 1 year. The 12-month angiographic follow-up is an important endpoint of the study.

Methods The CLARYS study is a prospective multicenter study conducted in 13 European centers. The study enrolled 60 patients with 60 ruptured aneurysms of the anterior and posterior circulation. The study was conducted with an independent assessment of safety outcomes and imaging.

Results Sixty patients with 60 ruptured bifurcation aneurysms were treated with the WEB were included. Fifty-three aneurysms (88.3%) had a broad base with a dome to neck ratio <2 (mean 1.6). Of these, 46 patients were evaluated by an independent core laboratory with follow-up imaging performed at 12 months or before eventual retreatment. At 1 year, 19/46 aneurysms (41.3%) were completely occluded (Raymond–Roy grade I), 21/46 (45.7%) had a residual neck and 6/46 (13.0%) had residual aneurysm filling. Adequate occlusion was reported in 40/46 (87%) aneurysms. Six patients underwent target aneurysm retreatment.

Conclusions The CLARYS study has previously shown that the use of the WEB in the endovascular treatment of ruptured bifurcation aneurysms provides effective protection against rebleeding with a good safety profile. The angiographic occlusion rates at 1 year reported here are comparable to those already seen in previous multicenter studies which primarily included unruptured aneurysms.
Hemorrhagic stroke

SAH.2 Mortality is reported to be as high as 80% in patients with rebleeding.3

The intrasaccular flow disruptor Woven EndoBridge (WEB, MicroVention, Aliso Viejo, California, USA) has been developed for the treatment of bifurcation aneurysms. The safety and effectiveness of the WEB device has been demonstrated in several studies for the treatment of predominantly unruptured16–12 or predominantly ruptured intracranial aneurysms.15,17

The Clinical Assessment of WEB device in Ruptured aneurysms (CLARYS) study is a post-market, open label, non-randomized, prospective study in Europe. The interim results have already been analyzed and published,18 showing that the endovascular treatment of ruptured bifurcation aneurysms with the WEB is safe and effective, as evidenced by a zero rebleeding rate and a low complication rate observed at 1-month follow-up. The present analyses focus on safety and anatomical results at 1 year.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study design

The study design has been described elsewhere.18 The primary endpoint provided an assessment of the rebleeding rate at 1-month post procedure. Secondary endpoints were defined to evaluate the safety and effectiveness of the WEB device in the treatment of ruptured aneurysms up to 12 months.

Patients were enrolled in the study if they had a single saccular intracranial aneurysm ruptured within 30 days (Hunt–Hess score I, II or III), located in the basilar artery, middle cerebral artery bifurcation, internal carotid artery terminus, anterior communicating artery, anterior cerebral artery or posterior communicating artery, with diameter and height appropriate for treatment with the WEB device only restricted to a maximum width diameter ≤10 mm.

The CLARYS study is registered in ClinicalTrial.gov under NCT02687607. It received approval based on the national regulatory requirements, including site ethics committees. Informed consent was obtained in compliance with applicable regulatory and institutional guidelines. The study was conducted under Good Clinical Practice; an independent core laboratory evaluated all angiographic data and adjudicated effectiveness outcomes.

Patient screening

A ruptured intracranial aneurysm was defined by CT, MRI, or lumbar puncture evidence of SAH attributed to the index aneurysm within the last 30 days.

Procedural modalities

The procedure was performed using standard angiographic techniques. Depending on the size of the WEB device, different microcatheters were used to catheterize the aneurysm: VIA 21, VIA 27 or VIA 33 microcatheter (MicroVention, Aliso Viejo, California, USA). Treatment with additional devices (balloon, coils and stent) was performed if deemed necessary by the treating physician.

The protocol has been designed to strictly monitor any rebleeding that may have occurred after the WEB placement. To ensure that any bleeding was carefully detected, a flat-panel CT scan was systematically performed in the angiosuite at the end of treatment and a non-enhanced CT or MRI scan was performed if any worsening of the patient’s neurological status occurred within 30 days post-procedure.

RESULTS

Patient and aneurysm description

From February 2016 to September 2017, 60 patients (51.7% women) with an average age of 54.5±11.5 years were enrolled in 13 German and French centers.

Nine patients had multiple aneurysms: four patients (6.7%) had two existing aneurysms, four (6.7%) had three aneurysms and one patient (1.7%) had four aneurysms. Each patient was treated for one aneurysm and none had received a prior surgical or endovascular intervention for that one aneurysm.

Thirty-six aneurysms (60.0%) had a wide neck, defined as a neck size of ≥4 mm (mean maximum neck size 4.6 mm) and 53 aneurysms (88.3%) had a dome to neck ratio <2 (mean 1.6), as measured by the core laboratory.

Aneurysm locations were anterior communicating artery in 26 patients (43.3%), middle cerebral artery bifurcation in 23 (38.3%), basilar apex in seven (11.7%), anterior cerebral artery in two (3.3%), internal carotid artery terminus in one (1.7%) and posterior communicating artery in one (1.7%). Note that 95% (57/60) of aneurysms included in the CLARYS study were bifurcation aneurysms.

A WEB device was successfully implanted in 93.3% (56/60) of cases. Adjunctive devices were used in three of the 56 patients treated with a WEB device (5.4%), including balloon remodeling for one aneurysm and stenting for the other two, due to the protrusion of the device.

Figure 1 Flow chart describing the efficacy population.

A description of the study population, perioperative adverse events and clinical follow-up at 1 month and 1 year have already been published.19

Data analysis

The study results were analyzed by the intention-to-treat (ITT) approach. The ITT population was defined as all subjects who were enrolled and had at least one treatment attempt with a WEB device. Patients who were not treated with the WEB were followed for up to 1 month for safety reasons and were not followed up at 1 year. Sixty patients were enrolled in the study. At 1 year, 52 patients had clinical follow-up (including the patients retreated before the 12-month visit)10 and 46 patients had available or evaluable imaging. The study flowchart is shown in figure 1. Follow-up angiographic outcomes were evaluated by an independent core laboratory.

Figure 1 Flow chart describing the efficacy population.
One-year anatomical results
At 1 year, 46 patients had imaging assessed by the core laboratory. The reasons for no assessment are shown in figure 1. Two patients died, one on day 6 due to vasospasm and the other more than 70 days after the initial bleeding because of a progressive worsening of their general status.

The main type of imaging performed was DSA (65.2%; 30/46), followed by MR angiography (28.3%; 13/46) and CT (6.3%; 3/46). For retreated patients, the images assessed were those prior to retreatment.

Nineteen of the 46 aneurysms (41.3%) were completely occluded (Raymond–Roy grade I), 21/46 aneurysms (45.7%) had a residual neck and 6/46 (13.0%) had residual aneurysm filling. Adequate occlusion,19 which includes Raymond–Roy grades I and II, represented 87.0% of the aneurysms treated with the WEB.

For two patients it was necessary to use a stent during the index procedure. At 1 year, one had a complete occlusion and the other had a residual neck.

Anatomical results at 1 year according to aneurysm characteristics
No statistically significant differences were observed in anatomical results based on aneurysm location (table 1).

Of the aneurysms followed at 1 year, 87% (40/46) had a wide neck (dome to neck ratio < 2). Of these wide-necked aneurysms, complete occlusion at 1 year was achieved in 45% (18 patients), neck remnant was depicted in 45% (18 patients) and aneurysm remnant in 10% (4 patients). There was no statistically significant difference in complete or adequate angiographic occlusion depending on whether or not the neck was wide (table 2).

Twenty-nine of the 46 treated aneurysms (63%) angiographically followed at 1 year were larger than 6 mm (maximum aneurysm width > 6 mm). There was no statistically significant difference in angiographically complete occlusion or adequate occlusion depending on whether or not the aneurysm was > 6 mm in width (table 2).

Retreatment
During the 12-month study period, six patients underwent target aneurysm retreatment (table 3). Note that the retreatment procedures for these six patients were included in the calculation of clinical safety at 1 year.18

Among the six retreated patients, two had a Raymond–Roy grade II occlusion before retreatment and four had a Raymond–Roy grade III occlusion: Two patients were retreated at 4 months One patient was retreated at 7 months post procedure Three patients were retreated at 10 months

Retreatments were performed by simple coiling in one case, stent + coils in four cases and flow diverter in one case.

Antiplatelet treatment
The perioperative antiplatelet medications have been previously described.18
At 30 days, among the 50 patients with follow-up, 76% (38/50) received no antiplatelet, 18% (9/50) received a single antiplatelet and 6% (3/50) were on dual antiplatelets.
At 1 year, 52 patients had clinical follow-up.18 Among them, 76.9% (40/52) had no antiplatelet treatment, 15.4% (8/52) received single antiplatelet treatment, 5.8% (3/52) were on a dual antiplatelet regimen and one patient was receiving three antiplatelet agents (aspirin, clopidogrel and dipyridamol).

Of the six retreated patients, five were receiving antiplatelet therapy at the 12-month clinical visit: three anti-aggregants in one patient, two anti-aggregants in three patients and one anti-aggregant in one patient.

Of the two patients in whom a stent had to be deployed during the initial procedure with the WEB,18 one was still on antiplatelet treatment at the 1-year clinical visit and the other was on double antiplatelet aggregation.

DISCUSSION
Since the results of the International Subarachnoid Aneurysm Trial (ISAT),20 endovascular coiling has demonstrated better clinical outcomes for patients with ruptured intracranial aneurysms compared with surgical clipping. However, aneurysmal occlusion by standard coiling may be limited or unsuitable due to aneurysm anatomy. In particular, wide-necked and bifurcation aneurysms may require a stent to reshape the parent artery,21 and the use of stents in the acute phase carries additional risks including the use of dual antiplatelet therapy.22

The CLARYS study was designed to evaluate the ability of the WEB device to be used in the treatment of recently ruptured intracranial aneurysms. In addition to treating the aneurysm, this evaluation includes the device’s ability to protect against early rebleeding.

---

**Table 1** Angiographic occlusion at 12 months according to aneurysm location

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Aneurysm Location</th>
<th>Complete occlusion</th>
<th>Neck Remnant</th>
<th>Aneurysm Remnant</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Anterior cerebral artery</td>
<td>1 (100%)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anterior communicating</td>
<td>10 (62.5%)</td>
<td>4 (25.0%)</td>
<td>2 (12.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basilar apex</td>
<td>1 (14.3%)</td>
<td>5 (71.5%)</td>
<td>1 (14.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle cerebral artery</td>
<td>7 (33.3%)</td>
<td>11 (52.4%)</td>
<td>3 (14.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Posterior communicating</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1 (100%)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>19 (41.3%)</td>
<td>21 (45.7%)</td>
<td>6 (13.0%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2** Angiographic occlusion at 12 months according to aneurysm neck and width characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Neck Size</th>
<th>Neck size</th>
<th>Aneurysm size</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Broad base aneurysm</td>
<td>DNR &lt;2 (n=40)</td>
<td>DNR ≥2 (n=6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complete occlusion</td>
<td>18 (45.0%)</td>
<td>1 (16.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adequate occlusion</td>
<td>36 (80%)</td>
<td>4 (66.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DNR, dome to neck ratio</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum aneurysm width ≤6 mm (n=17)</td>
<td>9 (52.9%)</td>
<td>10 (34.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum aneurysm width &gt;6 mm (n=29)</td>
<td>16 (94.1%)</td>
<td>24 (82.8%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Protection against early rebleeding is the primary endpoint of the study. Additional safety points of the study are also of paramount importance. The safety, complication rate, as well as the morbidity at 1 month and 1 year have been evaluated and published: overall mortality at 1 month and 1 year was 1.7% and 3.8%, respectively, and overall morbidity at 1 month and 1 year was 15.0% and 9.6%, respectively. WEB-related 1 month and 1 year morbidity and mortality was 0%.

These results are encouraging, and demonstrate the benefits of the use of the WEB device in the treatment of ruptured aneurysms. The importance of these promising results could lead to a significant change in the treatment of ruptured bifurcation aneurysms, which has previously been based on surgical clipping or endovascular coils.

It is essential to confirm the clinical safety through an evaluation of the angiographic efficacy 1 year after WEB treatment.

Fifty-seven (95%) of the aneurysms included in the CLARITY study were bifurcation aneurysms. It is widely accepted that reconstruction of the bifurcation anatomy is considerably more difficult than that for sidewall aneurysms.

In the present study, complete occlusion was observed in 41.3% of cases and adequate occlusion, corresponding to complete occlusion or neck remnant, was reported in 87% of the cases. The results were similar to those from studies with intrasaccular devices which included mainly unruptured aneurysms. In the four multicenter clinical studies (French Observatory, WEBCAST, WEBCAST 2, and WEB-IT studies), complete aneurysm occlusion 1 year after treatment with the WEB device was observed in 51.7–54% of aneurysms and adequate occlusion was seen in 79.3–85.4% of the aneurysms.

Thus, in CLARITY there were slightly fewer totally occluded aneurysms compared with other WEB studies (41.3% vs 51.7–54%) but slightly more aneurysms with adequate occlusion (87% vs 79.3–85.4%). Nevertheless, the two populations had significant differences, as the CLARITY population included 100% ruptured aneurysms compared with 59–11.1% in the other WEB series. Concerning ruptured aneurysms, it is likely that the most important issue that needs to be addressed is the prevention of early rebleeding, which has a very high mortality rate, as well as the safety of the treatment. The immediate achievement of a cosmically perfect result does not appear to be as predominant as in the treatment of unruptured aneurysms.

In the two recent meta-analyses by Essibayi et al. and Monteiro et al. on WEB treatment of ruptured aneurysms, adequate occlusion was found in 87.3% and 84.8%, respectively. However, in these two studies the follow-up times were shorter than those of CLARYS, with a medium follow-up of 7 months and a mean follow-up of 9 months, respectively.

The rate of adequate occlusion in our study (87%) can be considered a significant outcome given the complex anatomy and location of the treated aneurysms; 60.0% of the aneurysms had a wide neck (≥4 mm) and 88.3% had a dome to neck ratio <2.

Until now, the gold standard of endovascular treatment for ruptured aneurysms has been coil treatment. CLARISS is a prospective multicenter consecutive series including patients with ruptured aneurysms treated in routine practice with either GDC coils (276 patients) or Matrix coils (241 patients). Complete occlusion at mid-term follow-up (mean 16.1 months) was reported in 95/276 aneurysms (34.4%) in the GDC group and 80/241 aneurysms (33.2%) in the Matrix group. The complete occlusion rate is lower in CLARISS compared with the 41.3% complete occlusion rate observed in CLARITY. Conversely, poor angiographic results at 1 year—classified as residual aneurysms—were slightly higher in CLARISS (18–20%) than in CLARYS (13%).

Comparison of the findings of this study with neurosurgical treatment is more difficult because of the limited studies with systematic control of the anatomical result after surgical clipping and the few multicenter studies.

In the ISAT follow-up, angiographic follow-up was performed in only 47% of the treated aneurysms in the surgical arm. Complete occlusion was encountered in 82%, but this result must be balanced with the fact that 32% of these control angiographies were performed before the patients were discharged and 13% between discharge and 2 months. In their meta-analysis of the occlusion rates of surgical treatment of unruptured intracranial aneurysms, Kotowski et al. report that data were missing for 82.2% of all clipped aneurysms.

Limitations of study

Our study is limited by (1) its single-arm design and small sample size and (2) the absence of long-term follow-up. However, the study was focused on safety outcomes and protection against rebleeding. This study provides significant information on the treatment of ruptured aneurysms with the WEB device. In addition, the data collected were 100% monitored and the endpoints were independently evaluated.

CONCLUSIONS

The present study indicates that the WEB device is a safe and effective technique with which to treat ruptured bifurcation

---

Table 3: Characteristics of retreated aneurysms according to size, location and neck size

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient</th>
<th>Localization</th>
<th>Max DNR</th>
<th>Mean width</th>
<th>RR grade before retreatment</th>
<th>Timing of retreatment (months)</th>
<th>Retreatment modality</th>
<th>Complications after retreatment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>PCom</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Flow diverter</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>MCA bifurcation</td>
<td>2.38</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Coils and stent</td>
<td>Headache</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>ACom</td>
<td>1.69</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Coils and stent</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>MCA bifurcation</td>
<td>1.61</td>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Coils and stent</td>
<td>Acute stent thrombosis during retreatment with coils+stent. Treatment with tirofiban bolus and infusion. Resolved without sequelae</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>ACom</td>
<td>1.92</td>
<td>11.23</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Coils and stent</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>ACom</td>
<td>2.49</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Coils</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ACom, anterior communicating artery; DNR, dome to neck ratio; MCA, middle cerebral artery; PCom, posterior communicating artery; RR, Raymond–Roy.

---

Hemorrhagic stroke
aneurysms in the acute phase with an adequate occlusion rate in 87.0% of the aneurysms at 12 months.
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