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ABSTRACT

Introduction Dual antiplatelet therapy (DAPT) with aspirin and a thienopyridine (eg, clopidogrel) prevents stent related thromboembolic events in cardiac patients and is frequently utilized during neurointerventional surgery. However, recent data suggest that many patients exhibit clopidogrel resistance. Prasugrel—a newer thienopyridine—lowers the rate of cardiac stent thromboses in clopidogrel non-responders but a paucity of data exist regarding its safety and efficacy in neurointerventional surgery.

Methods All patients undergoing neurointerventional surgery by a single interventionalist (CJM) over a 20 month period were retrospectively identified. Charts were reviewed for pre- and post-procedural DAPT regimens, pre-procedural coagulation parameters and procedural complications.

Results 76 patients received pre- and post-procedural DAPT for endovascular treatment of an intracranial aneurysm, dural arteriovenous fistula or intra/extracranial arterial stenosis. 51 patients underwent 55 total procedures and were treated with aspirin/clopidogrel; 25 patients underwent 31 total procedures and were treated with aspirin/prasugrel. Those patients who received aspirin/prasugrel DAPT were identified pre-procedurally to be clopidogrel non-responders. Both treatment groups had a similar percentage of patients undergoing aneurysm coiling, stent assisted aneurysm coiling, aneurysm Onyx embolization, aneurysm pipeline embolization device treatment, extra/intracranial carotid artery angioplasty and stenting, and dural arteriovenous fistula coil embolization. A total of eight (9.3%) hemorrhagic complications were observed, two (3.6%) in the aspirin/clopidogrel group and six (19.4%) in the aspirin/prasugrel group (p=0.02). No differences were noted in hemorrhage rates for each procedure between treatment groups, nor were there any differences in thrombotic complications between groups.

Conclusion Our results suggest that DAPT with aspirin/prasugrel may predispose to a higher risk of hemorrhage during neurointerventional surgery compared with DAPT with aspirin/clopidogrel.

INTRODUCTION

Endovascular therapy has evolved as an essential tool for the treatment of complex neurovascular diseases. Despite significant advances in this area, however, the risk of thromboembolic complications has been estimated at 8% due to the thrombogenic nature of foreign guidewires and endovascular implants.1 Literature from the field of interventional cardiology suggests that adding aspirin and clopidogrel dual antiplatelet therapy (DAPT) to post-procedural management minimizes the risk of thromboembolic complications2 and is more beneficial than single agent therapy with aspirin alone.3–5 As a result, DAPT with full dose aspirin (325 mg orally daily) and clopidogrel (75 mg orally daily) has also been recommended for neurointerventional surgery.6–7

It has been estimated that approximately 30% of patients exhibit clopidogrel resistance.8–9 Importantly, cardiology studies suggest that clopidogrel non-responders exhibit a significantly higher rate of stent thrombosis than those patients who respond to this therapy (8.6% vs 2.3%; respectively; p<0.001).10 In a prospective study of over 800 patients, pre-procedural platelet aggregation was associated with a 6.7-fold risk of 30 day adverse events, including myocardial infarction, target lesion revascularization and death (p<0.05).11 Those patients unresponsive to clopidogrel may be treated with newer generation antiplatelet agents. Prasugrel (Effient) is a third generation oral thienopyridine that irreversibly inhibits the P2Y12 ADP receptor on the surface of platelets and decreases ADP-induced platelet aggregation.12 Prasugrel is a produrg that is rapidly metabolized to a pharmacologically active metabolite with a plasma half-life of ~4 h.13 While the medication costs for clopidogrel and prasugrel are similar,14 prasugrel affords more potent and rapid inhibition of platelet aggregation15 and decreased intersubject response variability.16

In randomized studies, DAPT with aspirin/prasugrel was associated with a 30% increase in the relative risk of bleeding (including fatal bleeding) compared with aspirin/clopidogrel, without a significant difference in mortality.17–18 In another prospective multicentre trial of 396 patients, Armero et al19 observed a bleeding rate of 13.6% (3.7% with major life-threatening bleeding) in patients treated with aspirin/prasugrel DAPT for acute coronary syndrome. To date, however, there have been no studies examining the safety and efficacy of prasugrel for neurointerventional procedures. In this report, we detail our experience using DAPT with aspirin/prasugrel in this patient population.

METHODS

Following approval by the Washington University Institutional Review Board, we retrospectively identified 115 consecutive subjects who underwent an interventional neuroradiology procedure at...
Washington University School of Medicine (Mallinckrodt Institute of Radiology, St Louis, Missouri, USA) by a single interventionalist (CJM) between 15 February 2010 and 31 October 2011. Subjects treated for intracranial aneurysms, arteriovenous malformations, dural arteriovenous fistula or intra/extracranial stenosis and who received DAPT during the pre- and post-procedural periods were included.

Patient charts were retrospectively reviewed for pre- and post-procedural antiplatelet and anticoagulation therapy, as well as preoperative platelet counts, platelet function studies and coagulation parameters. Peri-procedural activated clotting times were also analyzed. All patients were loaded with aspirin (325 mg orally daily) and clopidogrel (Flavix, Bristol-Myers Squibb/Sanofi Pharmaceuticals, Bridgewater, NJ, USA) (75 mg orally daily) at least 7 days prior to their procedures. Those patients who exhibited less than 40% platelet inhibition (P2Y12 ADP receptor inhibition) on clopidogrel, as determined by platelet screening analysis (VerifyNow, Accumetrics, San Diego, California, USA), were loaded with prasugrel (Effient; Eli Lilly, Indianapolis, IN, USA/Daiichi Sankyo) just prior to the procedure (60 mg orally once). These patients stopped their clopidogrel and were continued on prasugrel 10 mg orally daily after the procedure. Patients who were responsive to clopidogrel (greater than 40% platelet inhibition) were continued on clopidogrel (75 mg orally daily) in addition to full dose aspirin (325 mg orally daily). In some cases, a lower dose of aspirin (81 mg orally daily) was given. VerifyNow platelet inhibition assays were not routinely performed in those patients exhibiting clopidogrel resistance after prasugrel treatment. Furthermore, genetic testing for cytochrome P450 polymorphisms was not performed in patients demonstrating laboratory evidence of clopidogrel resistance. All patients were heparinized during their procedures. Those undergoing aneurysm treatment and intra/extracranial stenosis treatment were therapeutically anticoagulated with an activated clotting time of at least twice baseline.

Patient demographics, procedure type, and pre- and post-procedural antiplatelet regimens were recorded. Charts were analyzed for all procedural neurointerventional complications. Hemorrhagic complications were defined according to the previously published Thrombolysis in Myocardial Infarction criteria. Specifically, major bleeding was defined as intracerebral hemorrhage or clinically overt bleeding (including imaging) associated with a decrease in hemoglobin of ≥5 g/dl; minor bleeding was defined as any clinically overt bleeding (including imaging) associated with a decrease in hemoglobin of 3–5 g/dl. Groin hematomas without hemodynamic alterations not requiring a blood transfusion and mild, self-limiting episodes of epistaxis were not included as hemorrhagic complications.

Statistical analyses were performed using a Student’s two tailed t test or Wilcoxon rank sum test as appropriate for continuous variables and a Fisher’s exact test for categorical variables. A p value ≤0.05 was considered significant.

RESULTS

Seventy-six patients were identified who received DAPT with either aspirin/clopidogrel (n=51) or aspirin/prasugrel (n=25) during and after their neurointerventional procedures. In both treatment groups, some patients underwent multiple procedures resulting in a total of 86 interventions (n=55 for the aspirin/clopidogrel group; n=31 for the aspirin/prasugrel group) (table 1). The average patient age at the time of intervention was 61.2±12.4 years for the aspirin/clopidogrel group and 59.4±14.8 years for the aspirin/clopidogrel group (p=0.565). In the aspirin/clopidogrel DAPT group, 17 (30.9%) patients underwent aneurysm coiling, 22 (40%) patients underwent stent assisted aneurysm coiling, three (5.5%) patients underwent aneurysm Onyx embolization, seven (12.7%) patients underwent aneurysm pipeline embolization device (PED) placement, one patient (1.8%) underwent dural arteriovenous fistula coil embolization and five patients (9.1%) underwent extra- or intracranial carotid angioplasty and stenting (table 1). For the aspirin/prasugrel DAPT group, five (16.1%) patients had aneurysm coil embolization, eight (25.8%) patients had stent assisted aneurysm coiling, three (9.7%) patients had aneurysm Onyx embolization, nine (29%) patients had aneurysm PED treatment and six (19.4%) patients had extra- or intracranial carotid angioplasty and stenting. There were no significant differences in the number of patients undergoing each procedure between treatment groups.

A total of eight (9.5%) hemorrhagic complications were observed. Hemorrhages were seen in two (3.6%) patients treated with aspirin/clopidogrel DAPT and in six (19.4%) patients treated with aspirin/prasugrel DAPT (p=0.02) (table 2). Excluding one patient in the aspirin/prasugrel DAPT group who experienced a basilar artery perforation, the difference in hemorrhage rates between the DAPT groups trended towards significance but did not reach statistical significance (p=0.09). In the aspirin/clopidogrel group, hemorrhage was observed in one (33.3%) patient treated with Onyx aneurysm embolization and one (14.3%) patient treated with aneurysm PED placement. For the aspirin/prasugrel group, hemorrhagic events were seen in one (22.6%) patient treated with aneurysm coil embolization, one (12.5%) patient treated with aneurysm stent assisted coil embolization, two (22.2%) patients treated with aneurysm PED placement, one (33.3%) patient treated with extracranial carotid angioplasty and stenting, and one (30%) patient treated with intracranial carotid angioplasty and stenting (table 2). No statistically significant differences in hemorrhage rates were observed between treatment groups for each procedure. There were no significant differences in the rate of neurological (eg, intracerebral) and non-neurological (eg, extracerebral) hemorrhage between treatment groups (p<0.13 in both cases).

In the aspirin/clopidogrel group, one patient experienced a groin hematoma with retroperitoneal extension requiring a blood transfusion after Onyx embolization of a right
Table 2 Hemorrhagic complications between treatment groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment group</th>
<th>Total (n (%))</th>
<th>Anx coil (n (%))</th>
<th>Anx stent coil (n (%))</th>
<th>Anx Onyx embol (n (%))</th>
<th>Anx PED (n (%))</th>
<th>Extracranial carotid angioplasty and stenting (n (%))</th>
<th>Intracranial carotid angioplasty and stenting (n (%))</th>
<th>dAVF coil embol (n (%))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aspirin and clopidogrel</td>
<td>2 (3.6)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>1 (33.3)</td>
<td>1 (14.3)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aspirin and prasugrel</td>
<td>6 (19.4)</td>
<td>1 (20)</td>
<td>1 (12.5)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>2 (22.2)</td>
<td>1 (33.3)</td>
<td>1 (50)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>11 (5.4)</strong></td>
<td><strong>1 (9.1)</strong></td>
<td><strong>1 (9.1)</strong></td>
<td><strong>2 (18.2)</strong></td>
<td><strong>3 (27.3)</strong></td>
<td><strong>2 (18.2)</strong></td>
<td><strong>2 (18.2)</strong></td>
<td><strong>1 (9.1)</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Anx, aneurysm; dAVF, direct arteriovenous fistula; embol, embolization; PED, pipeline embolization device.

A single thromboembolic complication (transient ischemic attack) was observed in the aspirin/prasugrel DAPT treatment group following stent assisted coiling of a left superior hypophyseal aneurysm. There were no significant differences in the rate of thromboembolic events between the two DAPT treatment groups. All patients had normal platelet counts (>100 000 K/cumm) and coagulation parameters (international normalized ratio <1.3 s, partial thromboplastin time <40 s) prior to their procedures.

**PRASUGREL RELATED HEMORRHAGIC COMPLICATIONS: CASE SUMMARIES**

**Case No 1**
A woman in her fourth decade of life with a history of moyamoya disease status post encephaloduroarteriosynangiosis in 1997 presented with headache for 2 weeks and was found to have an unruptured 7×4 mm basilar apex aneurysm (figure 1A). She was started on full dose aspirin and clopidogrel therapy and underwent stent assisted endovascular coiling of the aneurysm. She was loaded with prasugrel (60 mg orally) on the day of treatment due to clopidogrel resistance. During stent deployment, the microwire was noted to pass through the lateral wall of the basilar artery with contrast extravasation (figure 1B). Serial injections demonstrated decreased contrast extravasation over time. The patient’s left pupil became fixed and dilated. A non-contrast head CT showed extensive subarachnoid hemorrhage with intraventricular hemorrhage and obstructive hydrocephalus (figure 1D–F). She received intravenous mannitol with resolution of her pupillary abnormality. Neurosurgery was consulted and a ventriculostomy was placed. On post-procedure day (PPD) 1, the patient was continued on full dose aspirin and prasugrel. She developed a left sixth nerve palsy that gradually resolved. Her ventriculostomy was weaned and discontinued. She experienced a meaningful neurological recovery and was discharged on PPD 15. She presented several months later with headaches and was noted to have hydrocephalus on follow-up imaging. A ventriculoperitoneal shunt was placed with resolution of her symptoms. She later presented electively for definitive coil embolization of her aneurysm (figure 1C).

**Case No 2**
A man in his sixth decade of life who presented with decreased vision in his right eye was found to have a giant right cavernous carotid aneurysm (figure 2A). The patient was placed on full dose aspirin and clopidogrel prior to endovascular therapy. He was loaded with prasugrel (60 mg orally) on the day of treatment due to clopidogrel resistance. He presented for elective placement of PEDs to the right cavernous segment. The procedure was complicated by perforation of the proximal right cervical carotid artery with active contrast extravasation (figure 2B) and development of a right neck hematoma. Several PEDs were quickly deployed across the aneurysm neck (figure 2C); an additional PED was placed across the perforated segment to control the hemorrhage. A non-contrast neck CT showed soft tissue stranding and likely hemorrhage adjacent to paraclinoid aneurysm. Another patient, treated with PED for a left cavernous carotid aneurysm, presented 5 days after treatment with headache and was found to have an anterior interhemispheric subarachnoid hemorrhage. In the aspirin/prasugrel group, one patient had a basilar artery perforation with subarachnoid hemorrhage, intraventricular hemorrhage and symptomatic hydrocephalus; one patient had a right cervical carotid perforation with neck hematoma as well as spontaneous flank, groin and scrotal hematomas; one patient had a left fronto-intraparenchymal hemorrhage and upper gastrointestinal bleed; one patient experienced a right cerebellar intraparenchymal bleed; and two patients had brisk epistaxis requiring surgical packing and blood transfusion (table 3).
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**Figure 1**. A series of images showing the hemorrhagic complications in Case No 1. (A) Preoperative lateral view of a 7×4 mm basilar apex aneurysm. (B) Intracranial angiography demonstrating contrast extravasation from aneurysm. (C) Immediate postoperative angiogram demonstrating complete occlusion of aneurysm. (D) Postoperative head CT demonstrating extensive subarachnoid hemorrhage, intraventricular hemorrhage and obstructive hydrocephalus; and (E) Postoperative head CT demonstrating complete resolution of hemorrhage.

**Figure 2**. A series of images showing the hemorrhagic complications in Case No 2. (A) Preoperative lateral view of a giant right cavernous carotid aneurysm. (B) Intracranial angiography demonstrating contrast extravasation from aneurysm. (C) Immediate postoperative angiogram demonstrating complete occlusion of aneurysm. (D) Postoperative head CT demonstrating complete resolution of hemorrhage.

Table 3 Hemorrhagic complications within aspirin/prasugrel treatment group

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient No</th>
<th>Decade of life</th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Procedure</th>
<th>Pre-procedural antiplatelet therapy</th>
<th>Post-procedural antiplatelet therapy</th>
<th>Complications</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>4th</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Stent assisted coiling of basilar apex Anx</td>
<td>ASA 325 mg PO daily; prasugrel 60 mg PO load</td>
<td>ASA 325 mg PO daily; prasugrel 10 mg PO daily</td>
<td>Basilar artery perforation, SAH, IVH, hydrocephalus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>6th</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>PED treatment of right ICA Anx</td>
<td>ASA 325 mg PO daily; prasugrel 60 mg PO load</td>
<td>ASA 325 mg PO daily; prasugrel 10 mg PO daily</td>
<td>Right cervical ICA perforation with neck hematoma, spontaneous flank, groin and scrotal hematomas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>8th</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>PED treatment of left cavernous carotid artery Anx</td>
<td>ASA 325 mg PO daily; prasugrel 60 mg PO load</td>
<td>Prasugrel 10 mg PO daily</td>
<td>Left frontal ICH; upper GI bleed requiring blood transfusion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>6th</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Coiling of left superior cerebellar artery Anx</td>
<td>ASA 325 mg PO daily; prasugrel 60 mg PO load</td>
<td>ASA 81 mg PO daily; clopidogrel 75 mg PO daily; prasugrel 10 mg PO PPD 1–2</td>
<td>Right cerebellar ICH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5th</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Balloon angioplasty and stenting of right ICA stenosis</td>
<td>ASA 325 mg PO daily; prasugrel 60 mg PO load</td>
<td>ASA 325 mg PO daily; prasugrel 10 mg PO daily</td>
<td>Epistaxis requiring nasal packing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>8th</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Balloon angioplasty and stenting of right ICA stenosis</td>
<td>ASA 325 mg PO daily; prasugrel 60 mg PO load</td>
<td>ASA 325 mg PO daily; prasugrel 10 mg PO daily</td>
<td>Epistaxis requiring nasal packing and blood transfusion</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Anx, aneurysm; ASA, acetylsalicylic acid (aspirin); ICA, internal carotid artery; IPH, intraparenchymal hemorrhage; IVH, intraventricular hemorrhage; PED, pipeline embolization device; PO, orally; PPD, post-procedure day; SAH, subarachnoid hemorrhage.
the internal carotid artery (figure 2D). The patient remained intubated and was transferred to the intensive care unit. On PPD 2, he became hemodynamically unstable and was found to have a large rectus sheath and right groin hematoma (figure 2E). He was taken to the operating room with vascular surgery for placement of a covered iliofemoral stent for hemorrhage control. On PPD 3, he was restarted on full dose aspirin and prasugrel. The same day, the patient was noted to be less responsive, tachypneic and tachycardic. Chest CT demonstrated large bilateral pulmonary emboli. He was started on a bivalirudin intravenous drip. He was extubated on PPD 5. Coumadin therapy was started for deep venous thromboses and pulmonary emboli. He was discharged to a rehabilitation facility on PPD 15 and had a meaningful neurological recovery.

Case No 3
A woman in her eighth decade of life with a history of a left ophthalmic artery aneurysm status post coiling 25 years previously presented with left-sided ophthalmoplegia and ptosis secondary to mass effect from a recurrent, giant left internal carotid artery aneurysm (figure 3A). She was started on full dose aspirin and clopidogrel prior to her procedure. She was loaded with prasugrel (60 mg orally) on the day of the endovascular treatment secondary to clopidogrel resistance. The patient underwent placement of multiple PEDs across the aneurysm neck without complications (figure 3B,C). Full dose aspirin and prasugrel were continued on PPD 1. Her hospital course was uncomplicated and she was discharged to home on PPD 3. One month after her procedure she was admitted to the intensive care unit with an upper gastrointestinal bleed and severe anemia requiring transfusion with 6 units of packed red blood cells. Upper endoscopy showed gastric erosion that was treated by endoscopic hemostasis and the patient recovered.

Case No 4
A woman in her sixth decade of life with a 10 year history of an asymptomatic left superior cerebellar artery aneurysm presented with a 2 month history of diplopia. Catheter angiography revealed a 12×22 mm left superior cerebellar artery aneurysm (figure 4A). The patient was loaded with clopidogrel (300 mg orally) and then started on full dose aspirin and clopidogrel. She was started on prasugrel (60 mg orally) on the day of the neurointerventional treatment due to clopidogrel resistance and underwent successful endovascular coiling (figure 4B). Her aspirin and prasugrel were continued post-procedurally. On PPD 2, she developed a severe occipital headache with nausea and vomiting. Head CT showed a small intraparenchymal hemorrhage in the right cerebellar hemisphere (figure 4C). Antiplatelet therapy was held and she was transfused with single donor platelets. She was discharged in a stable condition on PPD 6 without antiplatelet agents (started at a later date).

Case No 5
A man in his sixth decade of life with a recent history of ischemic stroke and residual left-sided hemiparesis presented with severe (>99%) right internal carotid artery stenosis. He was started on full dose aspirin and clopidogrel prior to endovascular treatment. Given his unresponsiveness to clopidogrel, he was loaded with prasugrel (60 mg orally) immediately before the procedure. He underwent a balloon angioplasty with stent placement without complications. While in the recovery room, he developed brisk epistaxis. Otolaryngology was consulted and his right nare was packed. He developed continued epistaxis that night requiring repacking of the nare. The packings were removed and he was restarted on full dose aspirin and prasugrel on PPD 3. Despite a decrease in hematocrit (44.9% to 30%), he did not require a blood transfusion. He was discharged in a stable condition.

Case No 6
A man in his fifth decade of life presented with a left facial droop, left-sided hemiparesis and dysarthria. Head CT and MRI
studies were suggestive of an ischemic stroke in the distribution of the right middle cerebral artery. Cerebral catheter angiography showed a near occlusion of the right internal carotid artery. Given the patient’s multiple medical comorbidities, he was deemed a candidate for carotid stenting. Full dose aspirin and clopidogrel were started. He was loaded with prasugrel (60 mg orally) on the day of the endovascular treatment due to clopidogrel resistance. He underwent carotid artery stenting without complications. Full dose aspirin and prasugrel were continued post-procedurally. He was discharged home without incident. On PPD 20, he experienced an episode of brisk epistaxis requiring readmission and posterior nasal packing. While hemodynamically stable, he was transfused 2 units of packed red blood cells for a hematocrit <23%. He was later discharged in a stable condition.

DISCUSSION
In the present study, we observed an increased rate of hemorrhage in patients treated with aspirin/prasugrel compared with those treated with aspirin/clopidogrel (19.4% vs 3.6%,...
respectively). There were no differences in hemorrhage rate for each procedure between the DAPT treatment groups, and there were no differences in the rate of thromboembolic complications between groups. The incidence of hemorrhage seen in our patients treated with aspirin/prasugrel was higher than that reported in the interventional cardiology literature (~2–4%), but this may be attributable to differences in vessel tortuosity, hemodynamics and vessel fragility between the two vascular networks.

Notably, if we excluded one aspirin/prasugrel DAPT patient who experienced a basilar artery perforation during aneurysm coiling—given the possibility that the hemorrhage was caused by a technical complication rather than from excessive platelet inhibition—our data trended towards statistical significance but did not reach significance. We elected to include this patient in our study for several reasons. First, nearly all other variables between DAPT treatment groups were similar (eg, patient characteristics, case length, procedure type, technical complexity, personnel involved, etc). This observation suggests that the antiplatelet regimen—and not the technical aspects of the procedure—may be responsible for the increased hemorrhage rate in the aspirin/prasugrel group. Second, there can be varying degrees of vascular injury during endovascular procedures ranging from minor clinically insignificant arterial wall injury to frank perforation with active extravasation. Therefore, it is possible that the magnitude of platelet inhibition achieved with aspirin/prasugrel could convert a clinically occult vascular injury into a major hemorrhagic event.

Data from subgroup analyses comparing the efficacy of aspirin/clopidogrel with aspirin/prasugrel DAPT in patients with acute coronary syndrome demonstrate that age (>75 years), prior thromboembolic events, bleeding propensity, body weight (<60 kg) and various concomitant medication usage may increase the risk of hemorrhage from thienopyridine therapy. For this reason, it has been recommended by some authorities that patients at increased risk of bleeding from prasugrel be treated with a lower maintenance dose (5 mg orally daily). Notably, the majority of patients who experienced major hemorrhagic complications from prasugrel therapy in our series had few, if any, of these risk factors. Further, given the lack of prospective data regarding the safety and efficacy of prasugrel at doses other than those utilized in this series (eg, 60 mg orally loading dose, with 10 mg orally daily maintenance dose), we adhered to this regimen—with some exceptions—even in the setting of bleeding complications (see table 3 and case summaries).

To our knowledge, there has been only one prior case report examining the use of aspirin/prasugrel DAPT in the setting of neurointerventional surgery. Leslie-Mazwi et al describe a patient with a previously coiled anterior communicating artery and basilar artery apex aneurysm who presented with a recurrent basilar apex aneurysm. She was pretreated with 10 days of aspirin/clopidogrel prior to stent assisted coiling of the aneurysm. During the procedure, in-stent thrombosis was observed in the right P1 and P2 segments that was treated with intravenous eptifibatide in addition to a 60 mg oral load of prasugrel. The thrombus resolved on serial angiograms but the patient did have a retroperitoneal hematoma at the arteriotomy site requiring a blood transfusion. While it remains unclear which additional antiplatelet agent may have contributed to the hematoma, this report underscores both the necessity and potential risk of treatment with more potent thienopyridine agents.

While our series is the largest to date documenting the safety and efficacy of DAPT with aspirin/prasugrel in the neurointerventional setting, we acknowledge multiple limitations to our study. First, this study is a retrospective case series with a limited number of patients. Second, not all patients who were treated with either DAPT regimen received comparable doses of antiplatelet agents. It is therefore possible that subtle variations in dosing regimens between treatment groups could have impacted the overall rate of hemorrhage. Third, all procedures were performed by a single senior neurointerventionalist at a high volume academic institution with a low complication rate for neurointerventional procedures. As such, our results cannot necessarily be extrapolated to all interventionalists at any endovascular center. Fourth, our study is technically limited by a gold standard assay for platelet inhibition. While light transmission aggregometry is considered by some authorities to represent the current standard assay, this technique is expensive, labor intensive, requires specialized equipment and personnel, and is not readily available at many centers. Although newer point of care methodologies have shown clinical promise with regard to quantification of platelet inhibition, agreement between these assays to identify patients with sufficient platelet inhibition is low. While the senior author has adopted the VerifyNow assay based on its superior ability to quantify the biological activity of clopidogrel over other assays, we acknowledge that the lack of clear criteria for establishing platelet inhibition and the wide range of available tests are a limitation to our study. Finally, it deserves further mention that those patients who were treated with aspirin/prasugrel DAPT were discovered pre-procedurally to be clopidogrel non-responders. As such, this represents a source of selection bias for our study.

In conclusion, our results suggest that in clopidogrel non-responders, DAPT with aspirin/prasugrel may increase the risk of hemorrhage during neurointerventional surgery compared with DAPT with aspirin/clopidogrel. We recommend caution and meticulous microcatheter techniques when using antiplatelet regimens involving this agent. Clearly, further randomized investigations will be required to determine the clinical effects of
clopidogrel resistance in the neurointerventional patient population and to validate the need for platelet inhibition laboratory testing in this subgroup. We look forward with enthusiasm to further research efforts in this area and to increased communication among neurointerventional surgeons about their experiences with these antplatelet agents.
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