



Editor's choice  
Scan to access more  
free content

## ORIGINAL RESEARCH

# Three-dimensional printing of anatomically accurate, patient specific intracranial aneurysm models

Jeff R Anderson,<sup>1</sup> Walker L Thompson,<sup>1</sup> Abdulaziz K Alkattan,<sup>1</sup> Orlando Diaz,<sup>2,3</sup> Richard Klucznik,<sup>2,3</sup> Yi J Zhang,<sup>2,3</sup> Gavin W Britz,<sup>2,3</sup> Robert G Grossman,<sup>3</sup> Christof Karmonik<sup>1,2,3</sup>

► Additional material is published online only. To view please visit the journal online (<http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/neurintsurg-2015-011686>).

<sup>1</sup>MRI Core, Houston Methodist Research Institute, Houston, Texas, USA

<sup>2</sup>Cerebrovascular Center, Houston Methodist Hospital, Houston, Texas, USA

<sup>3</sup>Department of Neurosurgery, Houston Methodist Hospital, Houston, Texas, USA

## Correspondence to

Dr J R Anderson, Houston Methodist Research Institute, 6670 Bertner Ave, R1-303, Houston, TX 77030, USA; [jranderson@houstonmethodist.org](mailto:jranderson@houstonmethodist.org)

Received 5 February 2015

Revised 19 March 2015

Accepted 23 March 2015

Published Online First

10 April 2015

## ABSTRACT

**Objective** To develop and validate a method for creating realistic, patient specific replicas of cerebral aneurysms by means of fused deposition modeling.

**Methods** The luminal boundaries of 10 cerebral aneurysms, together with adjacent proximal and distal sections of the parent artery, were segmented based on DSA images, and corresponding virtual three-dimensional (3D) surface reconstructions were created. From these, polylactic acid and MakerBot Flexible Filament replicas of each aneurysm were created by means of fused deposition modeling. The accuracy of the replicas was assessed by quantifying statistical significance in the variations of their inner dimensions relative to 3D DSA images. Feasibility for using these replicas as flow phantoms in combination with phase contrast MRI was demonstrated.

**Results** 3D printed aneurysm models were created for all 10 subjects. Good agreement was seen between the models and the source anatomy. Aneurysm diameter measurements of the printed models and source images correlated well ( $r=0.999$ ;  $p<0.001$ ), with no statistically significant group difference ( $p=0.4$ ) or observed bias. The SDs of the measurements were 0.5 mm and 0.2 mm for source images and 3D models, respectively. 3D printed models could be imaged with flow via MRI.

**Conclusions** The 3D printed aneurysm models presented were accurate and were able to be produced inhouse. These models can be used for previously cited applications, but their anatomical accuracy also enables their use as MRI flow phantoms for comparison with ongoing studies of computational fluid dynamics. Proof of principle imaging experiments confirm MRI flow phantom utility.

## INTRODUCTION

Hemodynamics in cerebral aneurysms has been investigated in respect of its potential for assessing aneurysm rupture risk, growth, or recanalization.<sup>1–5</sup> Standard of care imaging protocols, employing CT angiography and DSA, currently do not allow measurement or quantification of blood velocity or volumetric flow rates, such as are available by phase contrast MRI (pcMRI) or Doppler ultrasound.<sup>6–8</sup> Implementation of additional imaging examinations is a subject of research studies and may be undesirable if treatment of the patient is unduly delayed. As a surrogate technique, computational modeling has been proposed to study aneurysm hemodynamics, but the validity and applicability of its results

are yet to be established. Alternatively, *ex vivo* imaging of aneurysm replicas, using either simplified or patient specific geometries, has been proposed. To date, creating these replicas has been associated with increased expense in terms of time and money, and thereby they are only practical for a few selected aneurysms in a dedicated research environment.<sup>9–13</sup>

An alternative approach for creating aneurysm replicas has recently become available with the introduction of a new generation of personal three-dimensional (3D) printers as a consumer market product.<sup>14</sup> Additive manufacturing techniques, such as 3D printing, have been applied to various medical applications,<sup>15</sup> such as creating accurate models of individual patient anatomy for patient education,<sup>16 17</sup> as visual aids during surgery<sup>18</sup> and for surgery training/planning,<sup>19–21</sup> and as patient specific phantoms.<sup>22</sup> The new generation of consumer grade 3D printers now enables the entire 3D printing process to be onsite, fast, and cost effective. However, the accuracy of the replicas created in this manner remains to be established.

In this study, we quantified variations in the luminal dimensions of replicas of cerebral aneurysms created by this new technique, by comparing the inner dimensions with source DSA images. We further demonstrated the feasibility of utilizing these replicas as flow phantoms for studying aneurysm hemodynamics *ex vivo* within a flow loop by means of pcMRI. These experiments serve to establish a way of validating results from computational simulations, but also of optimizing pcMRI imaging techniques (ie, minimizing the duration of imaging examinations in order to avoid interference with the standard treatment schedule).

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

### Image post-processing

Local institutional review board approval was obtained for this retrospective study. Three-dimensional DSA image data from diagnostic angiographic imaging examinations (Siemens Axiom Artis; Siemens AG, Munich, Germany) of 10 patients were retrospectively collected. Images were anonymized and exported in standard DICOM format to a dedicated workstation (quad-core MacBook Pro; Apple, Cupertino, California, USA). The following post-processing steps were carried out with ImageJ (NIH, Bethesda, Maryland, USA).



CrossMark

**To cite:** Anderson JR, Thompson WL, Alkattan AK, et al. *J NeuroIntervent Surg* 2016;**8**:517–520.

1. Images were cropped around the aneurysm region of interest and voxel size adjusted to 0.13 mm isotropic by bicubic interpolation.
2. Background noise below a threshold value, obtained via the Otsu method which minimizes intra-class variance between two groups, was excluded.<sup>23</sup>
3. The luminal boundary was segmented using the histogram based Intermodes method.<sup>24</sup> Small vessels were removed from the parent artery and the resultant image stack was filtered with respect to 3D connectivity ('find connected regions' plugin; ImageJ)<sup>25</sup> to select only the parent artery and aneurysm.

The segmented vasculature was dilated by four iterations/voxels to account for the wall thickness of the 3D printed replicas. The dataset was exported from ImageJ in the visualization toolkit (VTK) format.

The VTK file was imported into paraview (Kitware Inc, Clifton Park, New York, USA) and a contour filter was applied to the data to build an isosurface (ie, 3D surface model) of the artery and aneurysm with the boundary of the isosurface dictated by the segmentation results. A smoothing filter was applied to the isosurface to remove rough luminal surfaces that were artifacts of imaging/segmentation. The resultant 3D model was stored as a stereolithography (STL) file.

### Fused deposition modeling

Fused deposition modeling (FDM) is realized by passing a plastic with a relatively low melting point through an extruding tip. The xy location of the tip is manipulated while a fine filament of molten plastic is extruded. The extruded filament quickly solidifies to create a single slice of a 3D model. In this way, a 3D printed model can be made layer by layer as the process is iterated at multiple heights/z locations. All 3D printing was carried out with a MakerBot Replicator 2 3D printer (MakerBot Industries, LLC, Brooklyn, New York, USA).

### Wall thickness calibration

Various test calibration cubes (dimensions 2 cm×2 cm×1 cm) were printed and halted halfway through the printing process. Shell thicknesses of the calibration cubes were measured on each of the four vertical walls using calipers. Measurements were made for calibration cubes with number of printed shells equal to 1–3 and for calibration cubes printed in two materials, polylactic acid and MakerBot Flexible Filament. Wall thickness measurements were modeled by linear regression to establish a relationship between number of printed wall shells *S* and actual wall thickness *T*.

### Three-dimensional printing of aneurysm replicas

Replicas were printed in both polylactic acid (rigid walls) and Makerbot Flexible Filament (flexible walls). For further details, including printing parameters, see the online supplementary appendix A.1. An infill of 0% was used to obtain hollow interiors. Following printing, the rafts, supports, and capped vessel ends were removed from the models.

### Measurement of luminal boundaries in the replicas

Three independent operators measured the longest dimension of each aneurysm in both the source images and the replicas for assessing the accuracy of the inner diameter with respect to the imaged aneurysmal lumen. Printed models were cut open with a small saw to expose the interior of the aneurysm replica. Calipers were used for the measurements. In parallel, projection images were constructed from the unsegmented source images (360

images in increments of 1°). The image stack was rotated to highlight the longest dimension, which was then measured in ImageJ.

### MRI flow phantom testing

Replicas were prepared for use as MRI flow phantoms as follows. Capped ends of the printed vessels were removed, and tubing was attached to the proximal and distal parent artery segments. A thin synthetic rubber coating was applied onto the surface of the replicas for waterproofing (Plasti Dip; Plasti Dip International, Blaine, Minnesota, USA). Tubing at the parent artery segments was attached to a flow loop consisting of a continuous flow pump located in the MRI control room and tubing stretching from the control room to the MRI scanner through a communicating waveguide. Aneurysm flow phantoms were filled with water containing 0.2 mM gadopentetate dimeglumine (Magnevist; Bayer Inc, Toronto, Ontario, Canada) and visually inspected for leaks. MRI examinations were carried out on a clinical scanner (3 T Philips Ingenia; Philips Healthcare, Best, The Netherlands) with an eight channel wrist coil. T1 weighted images were acquired without flow. Proof of principle, high resolution quantitative flow images were acquired using 3D QFlow while the contrast agent/water solution was circulated continuously through the replicas. For acquisition parameters, please see the online supplementary appendix A.2. Three QFlow images were acquired per aneurysm to encode flow on all three primary axes. Flow data were imported into paraview and visualized using vectors denoting strength and direction of flow.

## RESULTS

### Fused deposition modeling

#### Wall thickness calibration


Shell thickness measurements were carried out prior to the segmentation and printing of the replicas. The results (ie, a single shell thickness corresponding to 0.46 mm) could be translated into the number of dilations (*n*=4) in the segmentation step as one pixel was equivalent to a length of 0.13 mm. For further details, including printing parameters, see the online supplementary appendix A.3.

#### Three-dimensional printing of aneurysm replicas

Visual inspection showed good agreement between the virtual 3D luminal surface reconstruction and the printed replicas (figure 1). Comparison of luminal measurements from both the DSA source images and the printed replicas showed good agreement (table 1, figures 1 and 2). The average inter-operator SD for the caliper measurement (ie, the physical measurement of the replicas) was 0.2 mm. This is comparable with the average SD of 0.5 mm for the digital measurement (ie, the virtual measurement in ImageJ of the lumen in the DSA source images). The two measurements correlated very well, with a correlation coefficient (*r*) of 0.999 and a *p* value <0.001 for the correlation. Bland–Altman plots of the results did not show an apparent bias in the measurements (figure 2). No statistically significant difference between the physical and virtual measurements was found (*p*=0.4 from a two tailed paired *t* test).

### MRI flow phantom testing

T1 weighted images reproduced the morphology and geometry of the replicas (figure 2). Bubbles detected in these images were removed by manual agitation before performing the pcMRI imaging. Flow vectors reconstructed from the high resolution 3D QFlow images succeeded in reproducing well know flow features in cerebral aneurysms (recirculating flow in the aneurysm dome, figure 2).



**Figure 1** (A) Surface reconstructions and corresponding replicas for all 10 aneurysms. (B) Four representative maximum intensity projections of DSA images, with the aneurysm diameter highlighted in red, and corresponding replicas that have been cut open for measurement of the aneurysm diameter of the replicas.

## DISCUSSION

A prerequisite for the use of patient specific, anatomically accurate 3D replicas of human anatomy require fast and cost effective manufacturing methods that, at the same time, are also accurate. As we have demonstrated for cerebral aneurysms, FDM or 3D printing with consumer grade 3D printers can fulfill these requirements.

Alternative additive manufacturing methods to FDM exist and include selective laser sintering (SLS) and stereolithography (SLA). SLS has finer resolution than FDM and larger models can be produced. However, no consumer grade SLS printers currently exist. SLA printers also have finer resolution than FDM printers, and a small number of consumer grade SLA printers are available. A trade off of consumer grade SLA printers versus professional grade SLA printers is that the build volume is much smaller. A disadvantage of SLA compared with FDM is that consumables are more expensive and additional curing


steps are required following printout. The resolution of the FDM printer used, although coarser than SLS and SLA printers, was found to be sufficient for the models at hand.

Several additive manufacturing references for aneurysm models have previously been reported.<sup>17 18 21 26 27</sup> In contrast with the technique described here, these models used professional grade SLA printers. Furthermore, the created replicas were not hollow, limiting their direct use as flow phantoms. An alternative is the use of silicon models manufactured to accurately reproduce patient anatomy.<sup>28 29</sup> The manufacture of such models involves casting, a multiple step technique that starts with a solid object that can be used to make the initial mold. Solid (ie, non-hollow) additive manufactured models as well as excised epoxy filled cadaveric vasculature have been used as this initial casting object.<sup>10–12</sup> Due to the complex manufacturing process, studies performed with these models only used a relatively small number of cases where the actual models were not created inhouse but purchased from a vendor.<sup>9–13 22</sup>

One potential application of these replicas is their use as MRI flow phantoms with the goal of establishing an imaging protocol for visualizing and quantifying aneurysm hemodynamics. MRI flow measurement results<sup>12 30</sup> can then be compared with computational fluid dynamics modeling for validation<sup>31 32</sup> or used directly to characterize a particular aneurysm. The proof of principle images we acquired shows that the replicas created with consumer grade 3D printers and modified accordingly are adequate for this purpose. It should be noted that computational fluid dynamics simulations, by design, are an approximation of a real system. Dependent on the detail of the approximation, the computed solution will be more accurate (ie, closer to the realistic behavior of aneurysmal hemodynamics). Wall compliancy depends on the material properties of the aneurysm wall and might be affected by local collagen deficiencies or other disease. As such, it is inherently difficult to incorporate these aneurysm specific variations into computational

**Table 1** Measurement results for the aneurysms

Aneurysm	DSA Images		Replicas	
	Longest dimension (average) (mm)	SD	Longest dimension (average) (mm)	SD
A	3.6	0.5	3.3	0.2
B	4.8	0.2	4.9	0.3
C	6.8	0.2	6.9	0.1
D	7.8	0.6	6.9	0.3
E	11.8	0.6	11.5	0.3
F	26.6	1.1	26.8	0.2
G	23.9	0.4	24.2	0.1
H	7.5	0.7	7.85	0.07
I	7.8	0.8	7.3	0.5
J	11.4	0.2	11.1	0.3



**Figure 2** (A) Measurement results of the aneurysms and aneurysm replicas; the virtual measurement results of the luminal boundary in the source DSA images and the caliper measurements of the replicas are shown ( $\pm$ SD). (B) Correlation between the two measurement methods ( $p < 0.001$ ). (C) Corresponding Bland–Altman plot for (A) and (B); 95% CIs are shown (red), the bias (solid line), and 95% CIs of the bias (blue). (D) MRI scanner setup of the aneurysm phantom (arrow) in the wrist coil. (E) Maximum intensity projections of T1 weighted MRI images for a representative MRI flow phantom from the front (top) and side (bottom). (F) Quantitative flow vector image (side perspective) highlighting the flow patterns for aneurysm replica shown in (E); arrowheads denote flow direction and color denotes flow velocity (magnified in the inset).

methods. Matching aneurysm wall dynamics to imaged dynamics in aneurysm replicas might provide a potential remedy. In any case, wall compliance most likely will have an effect on wall shear stress and related quantities,<sup>33</sup> and the order of the effect will directly depend on the degree of wall motion—that is, strongly pulsating blebs will show the largest variations from computed simulations assuming rigid walls. Nevertheless, models with a rigid wall (virtual and/or 3D printed) are assumed to provide an approximation for aneurysmal hemodynamics and imaging rigid 3D models can serve as a direct validation of computational fluid dynamics results for rigid computational models.

Performing MRI measurements *ex vivo*, as opposed to imaging of the same anatomy *in vivo*, affords an unique opportunity. One advantage consists in achieving higher spatial and temporal resolution (and/or improved signal to noise ratio) as time is less of a constraint and motion artifacts are non-existent. That said, the results of such experiments, due to the anatomical exactness of the MRI phantoms, are expected to foster a better understanding of the lower resolution *in vivo* MRI measurements. Other potential applications, due to the anatomical exactness of the replicas, may be as an aid to better visualizing the vascular pathology in question and to assist in facilitating presurgical planning. Future research efforts will include perfecting current methods to make the aneurysm replicas and MRI flow data even more realistic and also pulsatile pumping of solutions with viscosity matched to that of blood and more compliant printed vessel walls. An important first step to incorporating wall elasticity into the models has been presented by printing replicas in MakerBot Flexible Filament. Even in the absence of compliant vessel walls, however, the phantoms created will make it possible to quickly develop and optimize imaging protocols for a particular aneurysm (patient) without the patient having to be subjected to lengthy optimization scans inside the scanner room that potentially can compromise patient comfort and/or safety.

## CONCLUSION

Anatomically accurate replicas of cerebral aneurysms were created by a consumer grade 3D printer in a fast and cost effective manner, promoting their routine inhouse use. Potential applications include creating realistic flow phantoms or providing advanced visualizations of these vascular pathologies for facilitating presurgical planning.

**Twitter** Follow Abdulaziz Alkattan at @azizkat

**Contributors** JRA and CK designed the study and are guarantors. JRA carried out the study, acquired *ex vivo* data, analyzed the data, and drafted the manuscript. WLT and AKA analyzed the data. OD, RK, YJZ, and GWB acquired the *in vivo* data. RGG and CK critically revised the manuscript. All authors reviewed the manuscript.

**Competing interests** None.

**Ethics approval** The study was approved by Houston Methodist Institutional Review Board.

**Provenance and peer review** Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

## REFERENCES

- Cebral JR, Vazquez M, Sforza DM, *et al.* Analysis of hemodynamics and wall mechanics at sites of cerebral aneurysm rupture. *J Neurointerv Surg* Published Online First: 14 May 2014.
- Schnell S, Ansari SA, Vakil P, *et al.* Three-dimensional hemodynamics in intracranial aneurysms: influence of size and morphology. *J Magn Reson Imaging* 2014;39:120–31.
- Levitt MR, McGah PM, Aliseda A, *et al.* Cerebral aneurysms treated with flow-diverting stents: computational models with intravascular blood flow measurements. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol* 2014;35:143–8.
- Chien A, Sayre J. Morphologic and hemodynamic risk factors in ruptured aneurysms imaged before and after rupture. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol* 2014;35:2130–5.
- Karmonik C, Diaz O, Klucznik R, *et al.* Quantitative comparison of hemodynamic parameters from steady and transient CFD simulations in cerebral aneurysms with focus on the aneurysm ostium. *J Neurointerv Surg* Published Online First: 10 Apr 2014.
- Ansari SA, Schnell S, Carroll T, *et al.* Intracranial 4D flow MRI: toward individualized assessment of arteriovenous malformation hemodynamics and treatment-induced changes. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol* 2013;34:1922–8.
- Futami K, Sano H, Misaki K, *et al.* Identification of the inflow zone of unruptured cerebral aneurysms: comparison of 4D flow MRI and 3D TOF MRA data. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol* 2014;35:1363–70.

- 8 Karmonik C, Zhang YJ, Diaz O, *et al.* Magnetic resonance imaging as a tool to assess reliability in simulating hemodynamics in cerebral aneurysms with a dedicated computational fluid dynamics prototype: preliminary results. *Cardiovasc Diagn Ther* 2014;4:207–12.
- 9 Costalat V, Lebars E, Sarry L, *et al.* In vitro evaluation of 2D-digital subtraction angiography versus 3D-time-of-flight in assessment of intracranial cerebral aneurysm filling after endovascular therapy. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol* 2006;27:177–84.
- 10 Goubergrits L, Thamsen B, Berthe A, *et al.* In vitro study of near-wall flow in a cerebral aneurysm model with and without coils. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol* 2010;31:1521–8.
- 11 Imbesi SG, Knox K, Kerber CW. Aneurysm flow dynamics: alterations of slipstream flow for neuroendovascular treatment with liquid embolic agents. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol* 2003;24:2044–9.
- 12 Isoda H, Hirano M, Takeda H, *et al.* Visualization of hemodynamics in a silicon aneurysm model using time-resolved, 3D, phase-contrast MRI. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol* 2006;27:1119–22.
- 13 Plotin M, Iijima A, Wada H, *et al.* Increasing the packing of small aneurysms with complex-shaped coils: an in vitro study. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol* 2003;24:1446–8.
- 14 A view from inside the 3D manufacturing movement. [http://www.washingtonpost.com/blogs/innovations/post/a-view-from-inside-the-3d-manufacturing-movement/2010/12/20/gIQAVYzCgR\\_blog.html](http://www.washingtonpost.com/blogs/innovations/post/a-view-from-inside-the-3d-manufacturing-movement/2010/12/20/gIQAVYzCgR_blog.html) (accessed 30 May 2014).
- 15 Rengier F, Mehndiratta A, von Tengg-Kobligh H, *et al.* 3D printing based on imaging data: review of medical applications. *Int J Comput Assist Radiol Surg* 2010;5:335–41.
- 16 Noecker AM, Chen JF, Zhou Q, *et al.* Development of patient-specific three-dimensional pediatric cardiac models. *ASAIO J* 2006;52:349–53.
- 17 Opolski AC, Erbaro BO, Schio NA, *et al.* Experimental three-dimensional biomodel of complex aortic aneurysms by rapid prototyping technology. *3D Print Addit Manufact* 2014;1:88–94.
- 18 Wurm G, Tomancok B, Pogady P, *et al.* Cerebrovascular stereolithographic biomodeling for aneurysm surgery. Technical note. *J Neurosurg* 2004;100:139–45.
- 19 Mashiko T, Otani K, Kawano R, *et al.* Development of three-dimensional hollow elastic model for cerebral aneurysm clipping simulation enabling rapid and low cost prototyping. *World Neurosurg* 2013;83:351–61.
- 20 Kimura T, Morita A, Nishimura K, *et al.* Simulation of and training for cerebral aneurysm clipping with 3-dimensional models. *Neurosurgery* 2009;65:719–25; discussion 725–716.
- 21 Khan IS, Kelly PD, Singer RJ. Prototyping of cerebral vasculature physical models. *Surg Neurol Int* 2014;5:11.
- 22 Mordasini P, Kraehenbuehl AK, Byrne JV, *et al.* In vitro and in vivo imaging characteristics assessment of polymeric coils compared with standard platinum coils for the treatment of intracranial aneurysms. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol* 2013;34:2177–83.
- 23 Otsu N. A threshold selection method from gray-level histograms. *Syst Man Cybern IEEE Trans* 1979;9:62–6.
- 24 Prewitt JMS, Mendelsohn ML. The analysis of cell images. *Ann N Y Acad Sci* 1966;128:1035–53.
- 25 Schindelin J, Arganda-Carreras I, Frise E, *et al.* Fiji: an open-source platform for biological-image analysis. *Nat Methods* 2012;9:676–82.
- 26 Erbaro BO, Opolski AC, Olandoski M, *et al.* Rapid prototyping of three-dimensional biomodels as an adjuvant in the surgical planning for intracranial aneurysms. *Acta Cir Bras* 2013;28:756–61.
- 27 D'Urso PS, Thompson RG, Atkinson RL, *et al.* Cerebrovascular biomodeling: a technical note. *Surg Neurol* 1999;52:490–500.
- 28 Liepsch D, Zimmer R. [A method for the preparation of true-to-scale inflexible and natural elastic human arteries (author's transl)]. *Biomed Tech (Berl)* 1978;23:227–30.
- 29 Chueh JY, Wakhloo AK, Gounis MJ. Neurovascular modeling: small-batch manufacturing of silicone vascular replicas. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol* 2009;30:1159–64.
- 30 van Ooij P, Schneiders JJ, Marquering HA, *et al.* 3D cine phase-contrast MRI at 3T in intracranial aneurysms compared with patient-specific computational fluid dynamics. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol* 2013;34:1785–91.
- 31 Ford MD, Nikolov HN, Milner JS, *et al.* PIV-measured versus CFD-predicted flow dynamics in anatomically realistic cerebral aneurysm models. *J Biomech Eng* 2008;130:021015.
- 32 Anderson JR, Diaz O, Klucznik R, *et al.* Validation of computational fluid dynamics methods with anatomically exact, 3D printed MRI phantoms and 4D pcMRI. *Conf Proc IEEE Eng Med Biol Soc* 2014;2014:6699–701.
- 33 Valencia A, Muñoz F, Araya S, *et al.* Comparison between computational fluid dynamics, fluid–structure interaction and computational structural dynamics predictions of flow-induced wall mechanics in an anatomically realistic cerebral aneurysm model. *Int J Comput Fluid Dyn* 2009;23:649–66.



## APPENDIX A

### A.1 Printing Parameters

Replicas were printed in both PLA (rigid walls) and Makerbot Flexible Filament (flexible walls) using a MakerBot Replicator 2 desktop 3D printer. (For printing parameters, please see Table A.1.) The printer incorporates fused deposition modeling (FDM). That is, the printing is achieved by extruding a thin filament of molten plastic that is deposited layer by layer. An advantage of FDM is its accessibility as multiple printer models are currently offered that cost less than \$3,000 USD. Furthermore, the consumables are inexpensive with a 1 kg roll of bulk PLA costing about \$50 USD (each aneurysm replica constitutes on the order of 3-4 g of material).

A critical step in creating a 3D printable model is to convert from an image-based file format, such as DICOM, to a surface-based file format, such as STL. Some DICOM viewers contain functionality to do this (e.g., OsiriX, OsiriX Foundation, Geneva, Switzerland). Here, surface mesh representations of the segmented aneurysm replicas were created using the contour filter command in Paraview and saved as STL files.

The STL files were opened in MakerBot Industries 3D printing software MakerWare. An infill of 0% was used to obtain hollow interiors. Following printing, the rafts, supports, and capped vessel ends were removed from the models. Each aneurysm model requires roughly 1 hr to print in PLA and 2.5 hr to print in Makerbot Flexible Filament.

Table A.1. Printing parameters for both PLA and Makerbot Flexible Filament (MFF).

Material	Raft	Supports	Infill (%)	Number of Shells	Layer Height (mm)	Extruder Temp. (°C)	Tip Speed (Extruding, mm/s)	Tip Speed (Traveling, mm/s)
PLA	Yes	Yes	0	1	0.10	230	90	150
MFF	Yes	Yes	0	1	0.10	105	10	150

## A.2 MRI Imaging Parameters


T1-weighted images were acquired as “anatomical” images of the replicas. QFlow images were acquired to encode flow in the aneurysm replicas. For acquisition parameters, please see Table A.2.

Table A.2. MRI imaging parameters for T1-weighted and 3D QFlow images.

<b>Pulse Sequence</b>	<b>Weighting</b>	<b>TR (ms)</b>	<b>TE (ms)</b>	<b>Averages</b>	<b>Field of View (mm)</b>	<b>Voxel Resolution (mm)</b>
<b>Spin Echo</b>	T1-weighted	2020	9.3	1	64 × 64 × 64	0.5 × 0.5 × 0.5
<b>Phase Contrast Gradient Echo</b>	3D QFlow	8.8	4.5	4	64 × 64 × 64	1 × 1 × 1

## A.3 3D Printing Calibration and 3D Printing Scaffolding

Good reproducibility was found between the shell thicknesses of each of the four sides of the cubes with the standard deviation in thickness ranging from 0.02 mm to 0.05 mm for a single cube. (Figure A.1). Linear regression results were as follows:  $T = 0.44S + 0.02$  and  $T = 0.36S + 0.04$ , for PLA and MakerBot Flexible filament respectively. For aneurysms to print correctly, a scaffolding and base are needed so that overhanging structures are supported as the print proceeds. The base and scaffolding are manually removed after printing. The scaffolding and base can be seen with a representative aneurysm replica (Figure A.1).



**Figure A.1.** A: PLA calibration cubes. B: Shell thicknesses for calibration cubes for PLA (dashed, black line) and MakerBot Flexible Filament (solid, red line); error bars represent plus or minus the standard deviation. C: Representative aneurysm replica with the base and scaffolding, both needed for correct printing, partially removed.