Oral Abstracts

C. Ruiz Guerrero: None. P. Sasannejad: None. G. Rodesch: None. M. Piotin: None. B. Lapergue: None.

O-001 | IMPACT OF RECANALIZATION IN PATIENTS WITH PRETREATMENT DWI-ASPECTS ≤6 TREATED WITH ENDOVASCULAR THERAPY

[1]J Desilles, [2]A Consoli, [1]S Escalard, [1]H Redjem, [1]R Blanc, [2]P Guedin, [2]O Coskun, [1]G Ciccio, [1]S Smajda, [1]C Ruiz Guerrero, [1]P Sasannejad, [2]G Rodesch, [1]M Piotin, [3]B Lapergue. [1]Department of Interventional Neuroradiology, Fondation Ophtalmologique de Rothschild, Paris, France; [2]Department of Interventional Neuroradiology, Hopital Foch, Suresnes, France; [3]Department of Neurology, Hopital Foch, Paris, France

Background and purpose In acute ischemic stroke (AIS) patients, a diffusion-weighted imaging (DWI) Alberta Stroke Program Early Computed Tomography Score (ASPECTS) is correlated with infarct volume and is an independent factor of functional outcomes. Patients with pretreatment DWI-ASPECTS ≤6 were excluded or underrepresented in the recent randomized endovascular therapy (EVT) trials. Our aim was to assess the impact of recanalization in patients with pretreatment DWI-ASPECTS ≤6 treated with EVT.

Methods We analyzed data collected between January 2012 and August 2015 in 2 prospective clinical registries of AIS patients treated with EVT. Every patient with a documented internal carotid artery or middle cerebral artery occlusion with pretreatment DWI-ASPECTS ≤6 was eligible for this study. The primary outcome was a favorable outcome defined by a modified Rankin Scale of 0 to 2 at 90 days.

Results Two hundred eighteen patients were included. Among them, 145 (66%) had a good recanalization (TICI ≥ 2 b) at the end of EVT. There was no statistically difference in the baseline clinical characteristics between recanalised and non-recanalised patients. Recanalized patients had an increased rate of favorable outcomes (38.7% vs 17.4%, p = 0.002) and a decreased rate of mortality at 3 months (22.5% vs 39.1%, p = 0.013) compared with non-recanalised patients. The symptomatic intracerebral hemorrhage rate was not different in the 2 groups (13% vs 14.1%, p = 0.83).

Conclusion Patients with a pretreatment DWI-ASPECTS ≤6 may still benefit of EVT when a good recanalization is achieved. In particular, EVT-induced recanalization was associated with a reduced rate of mortality without increased risk of symptomatic intracerebral hemorrhage.

Disclosures J. Desilles: None. A. Consoli: None. S. Escalard: None. H. Redjem: None. R. Blanc: None. P. Guedin: None. O. Coskun: None. G. Ciccio: None. S. Smajda: None.

O-002 | PRESENTING DIFFUSION-RESTRICTED CORE VOLUME, NOT TIME, PREDICTS FINAL INFARCT VOLUME AFTER THROMBECTOMY IN ANTERIOR CIRCULATION LARGE VESSEL OCCLUSION STROKE

B Cristiano, M Pond, S Basu, U Oyoyo, J Jacobson. Neuroradiology, Loma Linda University Hospital, Loma Linda, CA

Purpose With thrombectomy for anterior circulation large vessel occlusion (ACLVO) stroke, time to recanalization is considered important, but collateral status may be a more important driver of outcome than time. Here we used data from an institutional cohort to test the hypothesis that small DWI core volume on presenting MRI, a biomarker of robust collateral perfusion, is the dominant predictor of favorable outcome after thrombectomy.

Methods A cohort of 56 ACLVO patients treated with thrombectomy at our institution between 11/1/2012 and 5/15/2015 was studied by logistic regression using final infarct volume ≤50 mL, a validated predictor of good clinical outcome, as the outcome variable. The following univariate predictor variables were assessed: age, NIHSS score, time to CTA, time to CTA ≤ 6 hours, presenting diffusion-restricting core volume, presenting core ≤ 50 mL, time to recanalization, time to recanalization ≤6 hours, time to recanalization ≤8 hours, and recanalization ≥ TICI2B. Finally, multiple logistic regression models were created comparing time and presenting core as predictors adjusting for age and TICI2B recanalization status.

Results Of the univariate predictors studied, only presenting core volume, presenting core volume ≤50 mL, age, and post procedure TICI ≥ 2 B were significant predictors of the outcome variable at the $\alpha = 0.15$ level (Table 1). Paradoxically, the administration of IV tPA was associated with larger core volumes in our dataset ($\beta = 1.53$, $p = 0.100$). Of the time-based predictors, time to recanalization and time to recanalization ≤8 hours performed best, although none of them approached significance at the $\alpha = 0.15$ level. The performances of time, core volume, age and TICI ≥ 2 B as predictors of small final infarct were then evaluated in a multiple logistic regression model. In that model, presenting core volume ≤50 mL, not time to recanalization, was the dominant predictor of small final infarct volume (OR 15.2 [95CI 1.3, 180] vs. 0.94 [95CI 0.78, 1.1]). Finally, a 3 variable logistic regression

Abstract O-002 Table 1

Predictor	Univariate ß	Univariate p	Multivariate ß	Multivariate p	Odds ratio	95 CI for Odds ratio Lower	Upper
Presentation DWI Vol. (mL)	-0.07	<0.001	-0.06	0.005	0.94	0.90	0.98
Presentation DWI Vol. ≤50	3.06	0.007	2.72	0.032	15.21	1.26	182.98
Time to Recanalization (h)	-0.05	0.400	-0.06	0.561	0.94	0.78	1.14
Time to recanalization ≤8	0.68	0.242	0.24	0.774	1.26	0.26	6.28
Time to CTA (h)	0.01	0.869	–	–	–	–	–
Time to CTA ≤ 6	-0.12	0.836	–	–	–	–	–
Age (yr)	0.04	0.081	0.03	0.357	1.03	0.96	1.11
Post procedure TICI ≥ 2 B	0.96	0.140	1.24	0.244	3.46	0.43	28.01