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ABSTRACT

Purpose To evaluate the clinical outcome and MRI findings after carotid artery stenting (CAS) without post-dilatation.

Methods Between May 2005 and April 2012, a total of 169 consecutive patients (61.4% symptomatic) underwent 176 CAS procedures performed with an embolic protection device (GuardWire, n=116; FilterWire EZ, n=60). All stents were deployed without post-dilatation. Periprocedural complications and midterm outcomes were analyzed.

Results The stroke rate was 2.3% within 30 days post-CAS (asymptomatic patients 2.8%; symptomatic patients 2.8%). Cerebral infarction occurred in one asymptomatic patient (2.8%) and one symptomatic patient (0.9%). Intracranial hemorrhage occurred in two symptomatic patients (1.9%). Post-CAS diffusion-weighted imaging (DWI) revealed a high-intensity area in 26 of 176 procedures (14.8%). Ipsilateral stroke after 31 days occurred in two patients (1.1%) and restenosis occurred in six (3.4%). A post-CAS comparison of the embolic protection devices revealed no difference in stroke incidence within 30 days and in DWI high-intensity area.

Conclusions Our CAS procedure without post-dilatation is feasible, safe and associated with a low incidence of stroke and restenosis.

INTRODUCTION

Carotid artery stenting (CAS) is the standard modality for patients with severe carotid artery stenosis at high risk for carotid endarterectomy. However, a major drawback of CAS is the potential risk of embolic stroke caused by the dislodgement of atheromatous plaque during the procedure. The traditional CAS procedure involves initial vascular balloon dilatation (pre-dilatation) to achieve access for other devices, followed by optional placement of an embolic protection device (EPD), positioning of a stent across the stenosis and, finally, post-stenting balloon dilatation (post-dilatation) to achieve maximum vessel expansion. Emboli can arise in each procedural step, but most emboli are produced during the post-dilatation stage, which might occur if the balloon pushes the stent struts against the atheromatous plaque. Post-dilatation is therefore considered to be the riskiest part of the procedure.¹ ² To minimize procedural thromboembolic complications, we sometimes perform CAS without post-dilatation; the aim of this study was to evaluate the efficacy and safety of our technical strategy for CAS.

METHODS

Patient population
A retrospective registry between May 2005 and April 2012 was accessed to recover 171 consecutive patients with 178 carotid artery stenoses who underwent CAS with an EPD at either the National Hospital Organization Mito Medical Center (Ibaraki, Japan) or an affiliated hospital. CAS was indicated in symptomatic or asymptomatic patients by a carotid artery stenosis of >50% or >80%, respectively, according to North American Symptomatic Carotid Endarterectomy Trial (NASCET) criteria.³ Our study included 169 patients (148 men, 21 women) with 176 carotid artery stenoses who underwent CAS without post-dilatation. The mean age of the patients was 71.9 years (range 43–88). A total of 108 lesions (61.4%) were symptomatic and 68 (38.6%) were asymptomatic. Our study excluded two patients who underwent CAS with post-dilatation. In addition, patients with normal-risk carotid endarterectomy underwent CAS.

CAS procedure
Patients received two of the three following antiplatelet agents: aspirin (100 mg), clopidogrel (75 mg) and cilostazol (200 mg) for at least 1 week before the procedure. CAS was performed under general anesthesia in 168 procedures (95.4%). An 8 F introducer sheath was inserted into the common femoral artery. Ten patients underwent CAS via the transbrachial artery. Systemic heparinization was administered following insertion of a sheath, and an activated clotting time of 300 s was maintained during the procedure. A guiding catheter or long sheath was advanced into the common carotid artery. Under roadmap guidance, the stenotic lesion was crossed with an EPD which was navigated distal to the stenotic lesion. A total of 107 CAS procedures through May 2010 were performed using the GuardWire Temporary Occlusion and Aspiration System (Medtronic, Santa Rosa, California, USA). After June 2010 we primarily performed CAS using the FilterWire EZ Embolic Protection System (Boston Scientific, Natick, Massachusetts, USA). CAS was performed using the GuardWire system for patients with soft plaque deposits, as identified by a black blood MRI indicating high-intensity and long lesions (>2.5 mm; figure 1). After June 2010 we performed CAS using the FilterWire EZ system for 60 lesions and the GuardWire system for nine lesions. Pre-stenting balloon dilatation was subsequently applied to the stenotic lesions after EPD placement and was usually performed using a 4.0–
5.5 mm × 30 mm angioplasty balloon. The most commonly used stents were Wallstents (Boston Scientific, Natick, Massachusetts, USA), which were applied in 174 lesions (98.9%), and Precise stents (Cordis, Johnson & Johnson, Miami, Florida, USA), which were applied in two lesions. Angiographic views of the head and neck were obtained at the onset of the procedure and after successful stent placement. Moreover, 16 patients with pre-operative hemodynamic compromise or postoperative angiographic hyperemia of the lenticulostriate arteries underwent intravenous deep sedation for 24 h following the procedure. All patients underwent a clinical examination (including a neurological examination) and MRI including DWI both before and 24 h after the procedure. Two antplatelet agents were administered for a minimum of 3 months after surgery, after which one of the agents was discontinued. Following discharge, the patients were examined and ultrasound follow-up studies were performed at 30 days, 3 months and then once every 3 months indefinitely.

Definitions
A major adverse event was defined as any incidence of stroke, myocardial infarction or death within 30 days after CAS. Stroke was defined as a neurological deficit that persisted for >24 h, whereas a minor stroke was defined as a new neurological deficit that resolved completely or returned to baseline within 30 days. A transient ischemic attack (TIA) was defined as a new neurological deficit that persisted for <24 h and completely resolved or returned to baseline. Restenosis was defined as >50% stenosis. In cases with ultrasonic signs of restenosis, the severity was confirmed using repeated carotid angiograms. Angiographic stenosis of >80% was indicated for repeated intervention.

Statistical analysis
Statistical analysis was performed to evaluate the efficacy of our CAS procedure according to EPD as well as the proportion of residual stenosis immediately after the procedure. Nominal data were analyzed using the Fisher exact test and numerical data were analyzed using the t test for parametric values or the Mann–Whitney U test for non-parametric values. A p value <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

RESULTS
CAS was performed successfully for all lesions, although major adverse events occurred in four patients (2.3%). The overall stroke rate was 2.3% within 30 days after CAS; none of the patients experienced myocardial infarction and there were no deaths. Cerebral infarction and intracranial hemorrhage occurred in two patients each (1.1%) and TIA occurred in three patients (1.7%). DWI obtained after CAS revealed a high-intensity area in 26 of 176 procedures (14.8%). In 68 asymptomatic patients, one minor stroke (2.8%) occurred because of subacute instent thrombosis, one TIA (1.5%) occurred within 30 days after CAS and DWI obtained 24 h after CAS revealed a high-intensity area in seven procedures (10.3%). In 108 symptomatic patients, one minor stroke (0.9%) occurred because of thromboembolic complications. Two intracranial hemorrhages and two TIA’s (1.9%) occurred within 30 days after CAS, and DWI obtained 24 h after CAS revealed a high-intensity area in 19 (17.6%) procedures (table 1). Subacute instent thrombosis complicated with TIA developed in one of the symptomatic patients. Furthermore, among the patients with intracranial hemorrhage, one developed permanent hemiparesis.

Follow-up data beyond 1 year for all 176 lesions included two cases of symptomatic restenosis. The ipsilateral stroke rate
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**Table 1** Procedural outcomes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Total (n=176)</th>
<th>Asymptomatic (n=68)</th>
<th>Symptomatic (n=108)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Any stroke</td>
<td>4 (2.3%)</td>
<td>1 (2.8%)</td>
<td>3 (2.8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ischemic stroke</td>
<td>2 (1.1%)</td>
<td>1 (2.8%)</td>
<td>1 (0.9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hemorrhagic stroke</td>
<td>2 (1.1%)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2 (1.9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myocardial infarction</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Death</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Major adverse event*</td>
<td>4 (2.3%)</td>
<td>1 (2.8%)</td>
<td>3 (2.8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TIA</td>
<td>3 (1.7%)</td>
<td>1 (1.5%)</td>
<td>2 (1.9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DWI high intensity</td>
<td>26 (14.8%)</td>
<td>7 (10.3%)</td>
<td>19 (17.6%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Major adverse event defined as any stroke/myocardial infarction/death. DWI, diffusion-weighted imaging; TIA, transient ischemic attack.
was 1.1% (symptomatic 1.9%; asymptomatic 0%) after 31 days. Two symptomatic patients with restenosis had minor strokes and were treated conservatively. Moreover, four symptomatic restenosis cases were diagnosed during follow-up ultrasound examinations; subsequently, three of these patients underwent angioplasty and the overall restenosis rate was 3.4%.

The mean stenosis diameter according to the NASCET criteria\(^3\) was reduced from 75.0±11.8% to 17.3±11.2%. The immediate angiographic outcomes after CAS were as follows: residual stenosis ≤10% (n=54, 30.7%); residual stenosis >10% and ≤20% (n=52, 29.5%); residual stenosis >20% and ≤30% (n=43, 24.4%); residual stenosis >30% and ≤40% (n=22, 12.5%); and residual stenosis >40% (n=5, 2.8%). Restenosis or subacute in-stent thrombosis after CAS was observed in eight patients. The mean stenosis rate immediately after CAS was 32.1% in this group, compared with 16.9% in the group without restenosis or subacute in-stent thrombosis. Thus, the mean stenosis rate immediately after CAS was significantly higher in the group with restenosis or subacute in-stent thrombosis (p=0.0004). Five of 27 patients (18.5%) with residual stenosis immediately after CAS >30% suffered restenosis or in-stent thrombosis and three of 149 patients (2.0%) without residual stenosis immediately after CAS >30% suffered restenosis or in-stent thrombosis. The rate of restenosis or in-stent thrombosis was significantly higher in the group with residual stenosis immediately after CAS >30% (table 2).

A comparison of EPD (table 3) revealed no difference in the incidence of stroke within 30 days after CAS and high intensity areas on DWI obtained 24 h after CAS.

**DISCUSSION**

Strokes arising from carotid stenosis are most often due to atheroembolisms.\(^3\)\(^-\)\(^6\) During carotid endarterectomy the plaque is completely removed; however, with carotid stenting, the plaque remains contained between the stent and the vessel wall. Stroke occurring after CAS is probably caused by the release of fractured plaque deposits through the struts of the stent. In the Carotid Revascularization Endarterectomy versus Stenting Trial,\(^7\) the periprocedural stroke rate was significantly higher in the stenting group than in the endarterectomy group (4.1% vs 2.3%, p=0.01).

Transcranial Doppler studies have demonstrated the generation of emboli with each passage across a stenosis with a guidewire, EPD, balloon or stent,\(^8\)\(^-\)\(^9\) with the highest potential for embolization occurring during post-dilatation when the balloon crushes friable plaque against the metal stent struts.\(^8\)\(^-\)\(^9\) Although the clinical significance of microemboli is unclear, Ackerstaff et al\(^10\) demonstrated in a study of 350 patients that multiple microemboli (>5 showers) at post-dilatation were independently associated with cerebral deficits. The traditional CAS technique includes initial balloon pre-dilatation during which the EPD or stent is accessible distal to the stenosis, subsequent optional placement of the EPD followed by deployment of a stent covering the stenosis, and finally post-dilatation to achieve maximum vessel expansion after stent deployment. We performed CAS without post-dilatation to minimize plaque disruption and the incidence of periprocedural stroke. The results of this study revealed a relatively low incidence of complications within 30 days after the procedure (2.3% overall stroke, death or myocardial infarction).

Symptomatic patients are known to have embolicigenic plaque.\(^11\) In the Carotid Revascularization Endarterectomy Versus Stenting Trial, the rate of any post-procedural stroke within 30 days was 5.5% in symptomatic patients treated with CAS and 3.2% in symptomatic patients treated with carotid endarterectomy.\(^7\) In this study, the rate of any post-procedural stroke within 30 days was 2.8% in asymptomatic patients and 2.8% in symptomatic patients. In symptomatic patients, the incidence of periprocedural stroke was relatively low in this study because the omission of post-dilatation might have minimized plaque disruption and reduced thromboembolic complications.

Most embolic complications occur after the procedure and are probably caused by late emboli through the struts of the stent, as described above.\(^11\) A closed cell stent has smaller struts than an open cell stent.\(^12\) Bosiers et al\(^13\) reported that the rate of post-procedural events was higher when using the open cell stent than when using the closed cell stent. These differences were highly pronounced among symptomatic patients.\(^11\) Wallstents, the most commonly used stents in this study, were applied in 174 lesions (98.9%). In addition to the omission of post-dilatation, the use of a Wallstent might also reduce thromboembolic complications.

The closed cell stent has a lower radial force than an open cell stent,\(^12\) and poor stent expansion immediately after the procedure was associated with a higher risk of restenosis.\(^13\) Furthermore, omission of post-dilatation and the use of a closed cell stent might cause restenosis. A systematic review by Gröschel et al\(^14\) reported a restenosis rate with conventional CAS of 4–6% at 1 year; the rate of restenosis with our CAS protocol (3.4%) was therefore lower than that previously reported. In our procedure, the goal of pre-dilatation was to enlarge the stenotic lumen suboptimally with only the balloon. Therefore, pre-dilatation alone without post-dilatation can achieve a sufficient luminal opening to prevent restenosis. On the other hand, arterial injury with both balloons and stents can initiate an inflammatory response and activate a proliferative repair process, the end result of which can lead to luminal narrowing and in-stent restenosis.\(^15\)\(^-\)\(^19\) In fact, omission of post-dilatation and the use of a closed cell stent might be beneficial to minimize such restenosis incidents. On the other hand, the rate of restenosis or in-stent thrombosis was significantly higher in the patient group with >30% residual stenosis immediately
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**Table 3** Comparison of the procedural outcomes between patients treated with the GuardWire (GW) and FilterWire EZ (FW) systems

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Procedure Outcomes</th>
<th>Total (n=176)</th>
<th>GW (n=116)</th>
<th>FW (n=60)</th>
<th>p Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Any stroke</td>
<td>4 (2.3%)</td>
<td>4 (3.4%)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ischemic stroke</td>
<td>2 (1.1%)</td>
<td>2 (1.7%)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hemorrhagic stroke</td>
<td>2 (1.1%)</td>
<td>2 (1.7%)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DWI high intensity</td>
<td>26 (14.8%)</td>
<td>16 (13.8%)</td>
<td>10 (16.7%)</td>
<td>0.82</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2** Comparison of the procedural outcomes according to the residual stenosis immediately after carotid artery stenting (CAS)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Residual stenosis rate immediately after CAS</th>
<th>&gt;30% (n=27)</th>
<th>≤30% (n=149)</th>
<th>p Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Restenosis</td>
<td>3 (11.1%)</td>
<td>3 (2.0%)</td>
<td>0.047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In-stent thrombosis</td>
<td>2 (7.4%)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Restenosis or in-stent thrombosis</td>
<td>5 (18.5%)</td>
<td>3 (2.0%)</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

after CAS in the present study. Post-dilatation might therefore be added to avoid restenosis and in-stent thrombosis in patients with >30% residual stenosis. Nevertheless, additional post-dilatation might induce thromboembolic complications, particularly in symptomatic patients with unstable risky plaque deposits. In cases with >30% residual stenosis immediately after CAS, we should consider whether or not to add post-dilatation to the procedure for symptomatic patients and decide how much post-dilatation to add.

In a previous study to evaluate microembolic signals detected by transcranial Doppler during CAS, the amount of microembolic signals was significantly higher using the FilterWire system than with the GuardWire system. The distal occlusion device is thought to be more effective than the filter in reducing distal embolization. However, balloon occlusion (whether proximal or distal) is associated with cerebral intolerance in 5–10% of cases. On the other hand, the main advantage of filters is the maintenance of blood flow to the brain throughout the procedure. A comparison of EPDs revealed no difference in the incidence of stroke within 30 days after CAS and the high-intensity area on DWI obtained 24 h after CAS in the present study. CAS without post-dilatation can take advantage of filter protection because of the reduction in distal embolisms.

We acknowledge several limitations to our study. First, the study was observational and not case-controlled. Second, we could not observe any advantage of omission of post-dilatation using the open cell stent, although closed cell stents were used in 174 lesions (98.9%) while open cell stents were used in only two lesions. Lastly, this analysis could be improved by a longer radiological and clinical follow-up period to further evaluate the clinical significance.

**CONCLUSIONS**

Carotid stenting without post-dilatation might achieve minimal plaque disruption and reduction of thromboembolic complications. Our CAS procedure is effective, particularly in symptomatic patients. Although it might be possible to employ new types of stents and EPDs in the future, concepts to minimize plaque disruption are important because plaque deposits remain contained in the vessel wall in carotid stenting procedures.
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