Evaluation of time-resolved whole brain flat panel detector perfusion imaging using RAPID ANGIO in patients with acute stroke: comparison with CT perfusion imaging
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ABSTRACT

Background In contrast to conventional CT perfusion (CTP) imaging, flat panel detector CT perfusion (FD-CTP) imaging can be acquired directly in the angiosuite.

Objective To evaluate time-resolved whole brain FD-CTP imaging and assess clinically important qualitative and quantitative perfusion parameters in correlation with previously acquired conventional CTP using the new RAPID for ANGIO software.

Methods We included patients with internal carotid artery occlusions and M1 or M2 occlusions from six centers. All patients underwent mechanical thrombectomy (MT) with preinterventional conventional CTP and FD-CTP imaging. Quantitative performance was determined by comparing volumes of infarct core, penumbral tissue, and mismatch. Eligibility for MT according to the perfusion imaging criteria of DEFUSE 3 was determined for each case from both conventional CTP and FD-CTP imaging.

Results A total of 20 patients were included in the final analysis. Conventional relative cerebral blood flow (rCBF) <30% and FD-CTP rCBF <45% showed good correlation (R2=0.84). Comparisons of conventional CTP Tmax >6s versus FD-CTP Tmax >6s and CTP mismatch versus FD-CTP mismatch showed more variability (R2=0.57, and R2=0.33, respectively). Based on FD-CTP, 16/20 (80%) patients met the inclusion criteria for MT according to the DEFUSE 3 perfusion criteria, in contrast to 18/20 (90%) patients based on conventional CTP. The whole vessel occlusion could be correctly extrapolated from the hypoperfusion in 18/20 cases (90%).

Conclusions In our multicenter study, time-resolved whole brain FD-CTP was technically feasible, and qualitative and quantitative perfusion results correlated with those obtained with conventional CTP.

INTRODUCTION

In patients with acute ischemic stroke, perfusion imaging facilitates detection of the occluded vessels,1 2 influences decision-making regarding therapy options, and is recommended especially in delayed time windows.3 4 Perfusion imaging helps to delineate infarct core and hypoperfused, but not yet infarcted, tissue (tissue at risk, penumbra), with generally accepted thresholds of relative cerebral blood flow (rCBF) <30% and time to maximum (Tmax) >6s.5

In contrast to conventional CT perfusion (CTP), flat panel detector CT can be acquired directly in the angiosuite and can generate angiograms (FD-CTA) and perfusion maps (FD-CTP) on the intervention-table. This allows direct transport of patients to the angiosuite (‘direct to the angio’ workflow), reduces time to puncture, and increases the likelihood of clinical improvement.6–11 It is an essential precondition that FD-CTP provides comparable data to that obtained from validated CTP.

Until recently, FD-CTP was limited to the acquisition of parenchymal cerebral blood volume or CBV maps using a two-sweep C-arm rotation protocol lacking the temporal resolution needed for time-resolved dynamic perfusion imaging such as CBF or Tmax.12 Many studies have investigated dynamic perfusion imaging in the angiosuite.13 14 The recent clinical introduction of a multiphase FD perfusion acquisition protocol (syngo DynaCT Multiphase, Siemens Healthineers AG) enables the acquisition of time-resolved whole brain FD-CTP datasets that overcome this technical limitation. RAPID for ANGIO (RAPID, iSchemaView, USA) is the first, and currently only, clinically available postprocessing software for multiphase FD-CTP acquisitions based on the RAPID software.6

To evaluate FD-CTP imaging, we assessed clinically important qualitative and quantitative perfusion parameters in correlation to prior acquired conventional multislice CTP using the new RAPID for ANGIO software.

METHODS

Patient population

We included patients from six centers: San Francisco General Hospital covered by the University of California San Francisco (San Francisco, USA), University Hospital of Bern (Switzerland), Kantonsspital St Gallen (Switzerland), University Medical Center Göttingen (Germany), Advocate Lutheran General Hospital (Chicago, USA), and University Hospital of Basel (Switzerland) from October 2016 to February 2021. All patients underwent...
A maximum of 120 minutes delay between conventional CTP and FD-CTP imaging was based on clinical reasoning and provided additional valuable information that allowed the involved physicians to adjust the treatment strategy accordingly.

FD-CTP acquisition
Biplane flat panel detector angiographic systems (ARTIS Icono and Artis Q, Siemens Healthineers AG, Forchheim, Germany) were used to acquire imaging data for the whole brain. Ten rotational sweeps (with a duration of 5 s each and a turnaround of about 1 s) of the angiographic C-arm system around the patient were performed (the multi-sweep acquisition on Artis Q is based on a research prototype). Z-axis coverage of the FD-CTP was full brain. The first two rotations served as mask runs, whereas the following eight rotations recorded inflow and outflow of contrast agent, creating time–density curves with eight time points (online supplemental figure I). Injection of contrast agent (60 mL Iopamiro 400 or 300 or 70 mL Omnipaque 350) was started at the same time as the mask run via an 18 G left or right cubital venous line and was followed by a chaser of 40–60 mL saline flush (mono or dual-head power injector at an injection rate of 5 mL/s).

Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Overall (N)</th>
<th>20</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (median [IQR])</td>
<td>74.00 (64.75, 82.25)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female (%)</td>
<td>6 (30.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline NIHSS score (median [IQR])</td>
<td>11.50 (7.00, 17.00)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specific occluded vessel (%)</td>
<td>ICA 5 (25.0), M1 13 (65.0), M2 2 (10.0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FD-CTP, flat panel detector CTP perfusion; ICA, internal carotid artery; IQR, interquartile range; NIHSS, National Institutes of Health Stroke Scale; rCBF, relative cerebral blood flow; SD, standard deviation.

Outcome
Quantitative performance was determined by comparing volumes of infarct core (defined as rCBF <30% for conventional CTP using RAPID and <45% for FD-CTP using RAPID for ANGIO), penumbral tissue (defined as Tmax >6 s for both modalities), and mismatch (penumbral tissue (mL) – infarct core (mL)). In addition, the influence of the time between the scans was evaluated continuously and for different groups (<30 min, 30–60 min, and >60 min) and depicted graphically.

Eligibility for MT according to the perfusion imaging criteria of DEFUSE 3 was determined for each patient and for both modalities (infarct core <70 mL, mismatch volume ≥15 mL, and mismatch ratio ≥1.8).

Statistical analysis
Baseline characteristics of the groups were compared using the Kruskal-Wallis test for continuous variables or Fisher’s exact test for categorical variables. Data are displayed as median (IQR) and n (%) if not otherwise specified.

Correlation of baseline CTP volumes (rCBF <30%, Tmax >6 s, mismatch) with FD-CTP volumes (rCBF <45%, Tmax >6 s, mismatch), stratified by the time between the scans, was graphically depicted in scatter plots and R² (coefficient of determination) was calculated. Bland-Altman analysis was conducted using the ‘BlandAltmanLeh’ (version 0.3.1) package for R. The x-axis of the Bland-Altman plots displays the mean of the two measurements (volume measured by CTP and by FD-CTP), and the y-axis shows the difference between the two measurements.

A two-tailed p value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant. Statistical analysis was performed using R (version 4.0.2).

RESULTS
Of 29 patients with adequate conventional CTP and FD-CTP, two had to be excluded owing to reperfusion between the scans, contrast processing of conventional CTP and FD-CTP scans was performed using dedicated software based on RAPID (RAPID for ANGIO, iSchemaView). Reconstruction of the CTP and FD-CTP datasets was performed on the vendor’s product software and then transferred to RAPID and RAPID for ANGIO for further processing. The default threshold for infarct core used for conventional CTP using RAPID is rCBF <30% and for FD-CTP using the RAPID for ANGIO software rCBF <45%. The rationale behind using a different threshold for FD-CTP was based on phantom data, which showed that FD-CTP is less sensitive than conventional CTP for detecting CBF values below 30 mL/100 g/min. The phantom data are presented in online supplemental figure II. In addition, a pilot study using the <45% threshold for FD-CTP in patients with acute stroke demonstrated a strong correlation with conventional CTP (Pearson=0.91, Spearman=0.87) with an intraclass correlation coefficient of 0.89 (95% CI 0.67 to 0.96).

CPT and FD-CTP postprocessing
Pos-processing of conventional CTP and FD-CTP scans was performed using dedicated software based on RAPID (RAPID for ANGIO, iSchemaView). Reconstruction of the CTP and FD-CTP datasets was performed on the vendor’s product software and then transferred to RAPID and RAPID for ANGIO for further processing. The default threshold for infarct core used for conventional CTP using RAPID is rCBF <30% and for FD-CTP using the RAPID for ANGIO software rCBF <45%. The rationale behind using a different threshold for FD-CTP was based on phantom data, which showed that FD-CTP is less sensitive than conventional CTP for detecting CBF values below 30 mL/100 g/min. The phantom data are presented in online supplemental figure II. In addition, a pilot study using the <45% threshold for FD-CTP in patients with acute stroke demonstrated a strong correlation with conventional CTP (Pearson=0.91, Spearman=0.87) with an intraclass correlation coefficient of 0.89 (95% CI 0.67 to 0.96).
two owing to vessel occlusions of the posterior circulation, two because the time limit between the scans (>2 hours) was exceeded, and three patients received magnetic resonance perfusion as baseline imaging, leaving a final population of 20 (online supplemental figure III). Of these 20 patients, the majority were treated in Bern (12 patients). Baseline characteristics are shown in table 1.

Quantitative correlation FD-CTP versus conventional CTP
Conventional rCBF <30% and FD-CTP rCBF <45% generally showed good correlation (R²=0.84, figure 1A). Underestimation of infarct core in FD-CTP occurred in two cases and infarct core was missed in one case, all with low volumes of infarct core (<50 mL). Conventional CTP Tmax >6s versus FD-CTP Tmax >6s (figure 1B) and CTP mismatch versus FD-CTP mismatch (figure 1C) showed more variability and a lower correlation (R²=0.57, and R²=0.33, respectively).

Bland-Altman analysis for rCBF showed a bias of −11.7 mL (95% CI −23.7 to 0.4 mL) but had the smallest range of agreement levels of −62.1 to 38.8 mL (figure 2A). FD-CTP Tmax >6s was also lower on average than Tmax >6s derived from CTP (bias= −19.5 mL, 95% CI −48.7 to 9.7 mL; agreement levels −141.8 to 102.8 mL) (figure 2B). However, agreement on mismatch between the two modalities showed the smallest bias...
Neuroimaging of $-7.9 \text{ mL (95\% CI } -36.3 \text{ to } 20.64 \text{ mL)}$ and agreement levels of $-127.2 \text{ to } 111.5 \text{ mL (figure 2C).}$

Overall, the differences in perfusion volumes between CTP and FD-CTP did not change with increasing time between the scans (online supplemental figure IV).

Based on FD-CTP, 16/20 (80%) patients met the inclusion criteria for MT according to the DEFUSE 3 perfusion criteria, in contrast to 18/20 (90%) patients based on conventional CTP. The reasons for exclusion based on FD-CTP were infarct core $>70 \text{ mL (n=3)}$ and mismatch ratio of $<1.8 \text{ (n=2)},$ and, based on conventional CTP, infarct core $>70 \text{ mL (n=2)}$ and mismatch ratio $<1.8 \text{ (n=1).}$

Illustrative examples are shown in figure 3.

**DISCUSSION**

This exploratory study has the following main findings: (1) time-resolved whole brain FD-CTP is technically feasible, (2) overall, quantitative FD-CTP maps showed good agreement and correlated well with conventional CTP maps, and (3)
identification of MT-eligible patients according to the DEFUSE 3 criteria was feasible in most cases. The Bland-Altman analyses showed that, on average, volumes of tissue with \( rCBF < 45\% \), \( T_{\text{max}} > 6\) s, and mismatch were larger in FD-CTP than when derived from conventional CTP (with \( rCBF < 30\% \), respectively). This could be due to measurement error or because, despite the relatively short time between the scans, infarct core and tissue with \( T_{\text{max}} > 6\) s tend to become larger with longer duration of vessel occlusion. Furthermore, we saw no signs of systematic errors or biases in the Bland-Altman plots as the differences showed a tendency to increase with larger average measurements (in the sense of a random relative error) and our biases were even smaller than those reported in a similar single-center study comparing \( rCBF \) and \( T_{\text{max}} \) from CTP and FD-CTP.\(^{11}\)

Although measurement of penumbra volumes showed a smaller correlation between the two scans, mismatch volume showed the smallest mean error in the Bland-Altman analyses. Also, there was agreement in every case regarding the presence or absence of a favorable mismatch profile (ie, penumbral tissue) and only a few patients who would not have been eligible for MT according to the perfusion imaging criteria of DEFUSE 3, where penumbra volume plays a key role. Furthermore, depiction of the infarct core and penumbra worked well and determination of the occlusion site was reasonably straightforward. This is particularly important from a clinical standpoint and helps in the decision-making for diagnosis and choice of therapy.

Previous work comparing conventional CTP and FD-CTP mainly examined CBV as another perfusion parameter, with values of CBV < 2 ml/100 g indicating infarct core.\(^{27}\) Fiorella \textit{et al}. reported 100% sensitivity and 81.3% specificity for detecting any CBV deficit.\(^{28}\) Other studies reported a correlation coefficient of \( R^2=0.79 \) when comparing six regions of interest (ROIs)\(^{19}\) and \( R^2=0.85 \) when comparing CBV and CBF ROIs directly in the perfusion deficit.\(^{20}\) Immediately after MT, correlation of ROIs of FD-CTP CBV and follow-up CTP CBV was even better (\( R^2=0.9 \)).\(^{21}\) Given that CBV can be calculated as CBF \( \times \) mean transit time\(^{22}\), and is therefore related to CBF, our findings are in line with these results and further strengthen the viewpoint that FD-CTP is clinically applicable.

To the best of our knowledge, the present multicenter study evaluated the largest cohort to date of patients with acute ischemic stroke undergoing time-resolved whole brain FD-CTP. Our results reiterate the validity of technically adequate FD-CTP using the first commercially available software as compared with conventional CTP.

Our results also support the establishment and further evaluation of direct-to-angiography approaches in everyday clinical practice, as FD-CT can produce FD-CTP as well as soft tissue brain imaging and multiphase FD-CT angiography, similar to conventional CT 'stroke protocol’ sequences. This, in turn, can speed up in-hospital workflows and may ultimately increase the chances of good clinical outcome.\(^{6–11}\) Of paramount importance to the success of the direct-to-angiography workflow is the familiarity of the technical and nursing staff as well as the diagnostic neuroradiologists with the practice. Understanding how to effectively use the angiostate as a conventional CT scanner and interpret its imaging output will be central to translating trials into improved general practice.\(^{23}\)

The use of FD-CTP post-thrombectomy is another possible application and the next frontier where FD-CTP could add significant value. In cases of incomplete thrombectomy with residual occlusion—for example, the decision whether to perform rescue maneuvers (eg, with distal stent retrievers\(^{24,25}\) or administration of a thrombolytic drug\(^{26,27}\)) or to stop, is currently open to discussion. Intraprocedural magnetic resonance may also aid in such real-time treatment decision-making,\(^{28}\) although FD-CT is considerably simpler from a workflow standpoint. FD-CTP could delineate penumbra and infarcted tissue and help to prevent futile (no more salvageable brain tissue) or unnecessary angiographic improvement (no infarction would have developed if no rescue maneuver had been performed).\(^{29}\)

**Limitations**

We selected only patients with vessel occlusions in the intracranial internal carotid artery, M1 or M2 segments and further validation for occlusions of the posterior circulation and of peripheral occlusions is warranted. Also, our study had a relatively small sample size. To overcome these limitations, studies including larger populations would be needed. FD-CTP is derived from fewer time points than CTP and this could potentially influence the resulting perfusion calculations. Finally, all patients had recently received a bolus of intravenous contrast. One report has suggested that contemporaneous contrast administration may affect core estimation.\(^{30}\)

**CONCLUSIONS**

In our multicenter study, time-resolved whole brain FD-CTP was technically feasible, and qualitative and overall quantitative perfusion results correlated well with those obtained using conventional CTP. This suggests that establishment of a direct-to-angiography approach is feasible and may increase the chances of good clinical outcome. Application of FD-CTP post-thrombectomy could be the next frontier where FD-CTP could add significant value by helping in decision-making in cases of incomplete thrombectomy.
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